
UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number: 0-26824

CollabRx, Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its Charter)

Delaware

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

68-0370244

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

44 Montgomery Street, Suite 800

San Francisco, California

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

94104

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (415) 248-5350

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on which Registered

Common Stock, \$0.01 Par Value

The NASDAQ Capital Market

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Sec.232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Sec.229.405) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of “large accelerated filer”, “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).
Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the closing sale price of the common stock on September 30, 2013 (the last day of the second quarter) as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market, was \$7,616,544. As of June 3, 2014, 2,012,288 shares of the registrant’s common stock were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The Registrant intends to incorporate by reference the information required by Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K from the Registrant’s definitive proxy statement for its 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, provided that the Registrant understands that such definitive proxy statement must be filed with the Commission no later than July 29, 2014 (120 days after the end of the registrant’s fiscal year).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
Item 1. Business	4
Item 1A. Risk Factors	12
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	20
Item 2. Properties	20
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	20
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	20
PART II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issue Purchases of Equity Securities	21
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	22
Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	22
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk	35
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	36
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	60
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	60
Item 9B. Other Information	61
PART III	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	61
Item 11. Executive Compensation	61
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	61
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	61
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	61
PART IV	
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedule	62
Signatures	62

PART I

Item 1. *Business*

Information contained or incorporated by reference in this report contains forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and beliefs and involve numerous risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from expectations. These forward-looking statements should not be relied upon as predictions of future events as we cannot assure you that the events or circumstances reflected in these statements will be achieved or will occur. You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of forward-looking terminology such as “may,” “will,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “estimate” or “continue” or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology which constitutes projected financial information. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions including, but not limited to, industry conditions, economic conditions, and acceptance of our current and future products and services. For a discussion of the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements, see “Part Item 1A—Risk Factors” and the “Liquidity and Capital Resources” section set forth in “Part II, Item 7—Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” beginning on page 22 and such other risks and uncertainties as set forth below in this report or detailed in our other Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) reports and filings. We assume no obligation to update forward-looking statements.

All dollar amounts are in thousands unless specified otherwise. All share amounts and prices give effect to the 1-for-5 reverse stock split effected by the Company on June 15, 2011.

The Company

Corporate Information

CollabRx, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“CollabRx,” the “Company” or “we,” “us,” and “our”), is the formerly named Tegal Corporation, a Delaware corporation (“Tegal”), which acquired a private company of the same name on July 12, 2012. Following approval by our stockholders on September 25, 2012, we amended our charter and changed our name to “CollabRx, Inc.” (the “Name Change”).

We were formed in December 1989 to acquire the operations of the former Tegal Corporation, a division of Motorola, Inc. Our predecessor company was founded in 1972 and acquired by Motorola, Inc. in 1978. We completed our initial public offering in October 1995.

Our principal executive offices are located at 44 Montgomery St., Suite 800, San Francisco, California 94104 and our telephone number is (415) 248-5350. Our Common Stock trades on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol “CLR.X.”

Company Background

CollabRx (f/k/a Tegal) was formed in December 1989 to acquire the operations of the former Tegal Corporation, a division of Motorola, Inc. We designed, manufactured, marketed and serviced specialized systems used primarily in the production of semiconductors and micro-electrical mechanical devices, including integrated circuits, memory devices, sensors, accelerometers and power devices. Beginning in late 2009, we experienced a sharp decline in revenues resulting from the collapse of the semiconductor capital equipment market and the global financial crisis. In a series of transactions from 2010 to 2012, we sold the majority of our operating assets and intellectual property portfolio. During the same time period, our Board of Directors evaluated a number of strategic alternatives, which included the continued operation of the Company as a stand-alone business with a different business plan, a merger with or into another company, a sale of the Company’s remaining assets, and the liquidation or dissolution of the Company. We investigated opportunities within and outside the semiconductor capital equipment industry and evaluated a number of transactions involving other diversified technology-based companies. Throughout this process, we developed and refined our criteria for a business combination, with an eventual focus on the healthcare industry, and specifically information technology and services within the healthcare industry.

The CollabRx Merger

On July 12, 2012, we completed the acquisition of a private company called CollabRx, Inc. (the “Merger”), pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of June 29, 2012 (the “Merger Agreement”). As a result of the Merger, CollabRx became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. In consideration for 100% of the stock of CollabRx, we agreed to issue an aggregate of 236,433 shares of common stock, representing approximately 14% of the Company’s total shares outstanding prior to the closing, to former CollabRx stockholders. As of July 12, 2012, these shares had a fair value of \$932. We also assumed \$500 of existing CollabRx indebtedness through the issuance of promissory notes. The principal amount of the promissory notes is payable in equal installments on the third, fourth and fifth anniversaries of the closing date of the Merger, along with the accrued but unpaid interest as of such dates. Prior to the closing of the Merger, we provided \$300 of bridge financing to CollabRx. After the completion of the Merger, this loan was reclassified to be included as part of the purchase price, and the loan was thereby extinguished. In addition, in connection with the Merger, we granted a total of 368,417 restricted stock units (“RSUs”) and options as “inducement grants” to newly hired management and employees, all subject to four-year vesting and other restrictions. In December 2012, an aggregate of 215,475 RSUs were forfeited in connection with the resignation of James Karis as our Co-Chief Executive Officer.

Overview of our Current Business

We acquired a development stage company that is just entering the commercialization phase of business. We are focused on developing and delivering content-rich knowledge-based products and services that inform healthcare decision-making, with an emphasis on genomics-based “precision” medicine and big data analytics. Our proprietary content is organized in a knowledge base that expresses the relationship between genetic profiles and therapeutic options, including molecular diagnostics, medical tests, clinical trials, drugs, biologics, and other information relevant for cancer treatment planning. We have developed a method for capturing how practicing physicians use this information in the clinical setting, by incorporating within the knowledge base the views of a large network of independent key opinion leaders in medicine and medical research. We currently deliver our proprietary content to users via web-based applications and services in the “cloud” serving physicians and their patients in two settings: (i) at the point-of-care in the “clinic”, and (ii) indirectly, as a part of a genetic test report provided to an ordering physician by a diagnostic testing laboratory, (i.e., the “lab”). Portions of our web-based applications are currently available free to physicians and patients through commercial on-line media partners under a license plus advertising or sponsorship revenue sharing arrangement. The content that we offer to laboratories is sold based on a variation of a “Software as a Service” or SaaS business model, in which our content is provided on a one-time, subscription or per test basis. We also receive fee-for-service payments in connection with customized user interfaces to our database.

We use the term “big data” to refer to datasets whose size is beyond the ability of typical database software tools to capture, store, manage, and analyze.

We use the term “cloud” to mean a product or service that can be delivered via the Internet, usually on a pay-for-use or subscription basis, versus the purchase and installation of enterprise-based software, which typically requires investments in both software and hardware, often also requiring large-scale customization efforts.

We search publicly available databases as source documents for our knowledgebase. Such databases include those that are available, either free or on a commercial basis, in the areas of clinical trials, drugs, investigational compounds, biomarkers, bioinformatics, cancer oncology and literature. We then aggregate, annotate and integrate these datasets for the purpose of defining the relationship of biomarkers to therapeutic strategies, drugs and clinical trials. None of the individual databases we utilize as sources provide information on the interrelationships of these discrete elements. In addition, CollabRx has developed a process for incorporating the guidance of our network of physician and research advisors in the selection of the most relevant data for specific diagnoses, histopathological data, prior treatments and biomarkers. The result of this software and expert-assisted process is proprietary content that includes decision rules, succinct statements of therapeutic strategy and a comprehensive listing of appropriate drugs and clinical trials, all related to specific aberrations which might be observed in connection with genomic testing.

Although the process and results are proprietary, we always refer to the relevant source documentation that provides the support for the identification of an actionable biomarker, typically a peer-reviewed, published paper. In this way, we avoid the “black-box algorithm problem” which is prevalent in other companies’ predictive analytical models, but is not currently a trusted methodology in medical practice. Our proprietary content is incorporated into our knowledgebase, which is updated regularly with the assistance of a large network of independent advisors, and which forms the basis for all our products and services.

In addition to analyzing the sequencing data that we collect, we intend to pursue collaborative arrangements with other companies and entities that provide contract research services to oncology practices, conduct in-house clinical and translational research, collect information on patient outcomes and link this information to genetic sequencing data, and calculate the relative costs and benefits associated with different diagnostic tests and therapies. We expect such efforts to lead to novel insights and advances to improve the quality of cancer care and reduce the costs of delivering it.

Our knowledgebase informs two distinctly different products and services. The “Genetic Variant Application” or “GVA” is a service offered to diagnostic testing laboratories, including academic medical centers and commercial laboratories. Our lab customers provide us with a test result, usually in the form of an electronic file that represents the results of a genomic test, typically from a “Next Generation Sequencing” (“NGS”) or similar testing platform. We analyze the test results for the purpose of identifying those aberrations that we have annotated in advance as being “actionable” (i.e., related to a therapeutic strategy). We provide the testing lab with a report, incorporating specific information regarding identified biomarkers and associated therapeutic strategies for each, along with relevant drugs and clinical trials, to a level and in a format that we have agreed in advance with our customer. We are compensated for this service either on a per-test or on a volume-adjusted subscription basis. This service is not available to the public and is not available on our website.

Our Therapy Finders™ are a series of cancer-specific, web-based apps which are accessed by physicians and patients, usually in the physician's office. After indicating a number of pre-set options related to stage of cancer, histopathology, prior treatments and presence of biomarkers on an input page, the physician is presented with a results page which explains the role of the biomarker, identifies a possible therapeutic strategy for that particular set of inputs, along with tabs associated with searchable lists of relevant drugs and clinical trials. Therapy Finders are an interactive, informational and educational resource for both physicians and patients, and can be used for decision-support. Therapy Finders do not provide the level of detailed information on specific test results that are available from the GVA. Therapy Finders are offered free of charge and the advisors associated with the development and updating of each app are prominently featured. The development and distribution of Therapy Finders is partially supported by sponsorships and advertising revenue. Our aim is to make this tool available as widely as possible, through as many channels as possible, to help community physicians understand the relevance of biomarker testing and the availability of potential therapies for their advanced cancer patients.

Our Therapy Finders™ products are available on both our website and on the MedPage Today website. There is no material difference between the Therapy Finders presented on both websites. The only differences are cosmetic, such as background color and placement of individual frames. Initially, the language used in our Therapy Finders presented on our website was geared toward patients. When the opportunity arose in connection with MedPage Today, we modified the language to be more physician-friendly and indicated this by appending "Professional" to the title "Therapy Finder." In order to avoid confusion, we replaced the patient oriented version on our website with the professional version that is distributed through MedPage Today. At the same time, we also undertook to revise the other Therapy Finders appearing on our website, but not yet distributed through MedPage today, to the professional (physician-friendly) version, and labeled them as such. Nevertheless, we anticipate offering both professional and patient oriented versions of our Therapy Finders in the future.

The physicians and researchers within our network of advisors have agreed to participate in our efforts for an indefinite term, on an uncompensated basis, and without a formal agreement. The board assignments, biographies and current affiliations of all of our advisors are posted on our website.

The systems and approach that we have developed for knowledge aggregation, content creation and expert advisory management can be applied to many disease states, but we have chosen to focus initially on genomic medicine in cancer, which is sometimes referred to as "precision oncology." This is an area of tremendous activity and promise, where clinical research in genomics has given rise to scores of "targeted" therapies that have proven in many cases to prolong the lives of cancer patients. We believe that oncology is also the area of greatest need, where physicians and patients lack convenient access to clear and easy-to-understand information about which drugs, tests and clinical trials should be considered in constructing a cancer treatment plan based on the genetic profile of a tumor. Our overall vision is that we are at the dawn of an era of explosive growth of data and information generated at the molecular level that must be interpreted and contextualized into knowledge before it can be used effectively by either physicians or patients. We regard this knowledge as being the most valuable portion of the molecular diagnostic process and we believe that all sectors of the healthcare industry, including providers, insurers, drug developers and patients are potential users of this knowledge. We aim to deliver our proprietary interpretive content as quickly as possible and in as many usable forms as possible, via the Internet.

Products

Our Therapy Finders™ web-based application serves as an initial user-interface to the underlying knowledge base. It is available free of charge on our website. Our Therapy Finders™ are also offered to registered physicians through MedPage Today, an offering of Everyday Health, Inc. MedPage Today is a rapidly growing online site that serves 96% of all oncologists and 1.6 million monthly online unique users. Our agreement with Everyday Health provides for an annual license fee payable to our Company and sharing of sponsorships and advertising revenue generated by Everyday Health.

Recently, we redesigned our Therapy Finders™ so that they could be accessed by physicians using the iOS operating system from Apple, Inc. via an iPhone or an iPad, and have named this mobile application "CancerRx®." CancerRx was co-developed with MedPage Today of Everyday Health, Inc., with the ownership of the application retained by CollabRx. A special feature of CancerRx is a daily oncology newsfeed from MedPage Today, all with real-time over the air updates. Under our agreement with MedPage Today each company absorbs its own costs for the development, and we share the gross advertising, sponsorship and data analytics revenues associated with the app. We launched CancerRx during the first fiscal quarter of fiscal year 2015.

Within the lab, our current offering provides the clinical interpretation of genetic variants present in human tumor biopsies, and is sold directly to diagnostic labs that perform molecular testing on patients. Our "*Genetic Variant Application*" or "*GVA*" is compiled dynamically by our software platform to provide specific insights to a patient's diagnostic test results on a test-by-test basis. The GVA results are provided to laboratories in a variety of forms, including with a front-end user interface or directly integrated into a customer's laboratory information management system. Drawing on our interactive and up-to-date knowledge base, a diagnostic lab medical director can select the most relevant insights for a particular patient at the time of testing, and incorporate those insights on potential therapeutic strategies within the report that is transmitted directly back to the ordering physician (typically an oncologist or pathologist). Our content is branded and identified as "*Powered by CollabRx*" within the test report. We deliver the GVA product via a cloud-based variation on a SaaS business model, and we receive payment directly from the diagnostic laboratory, typically on a per-test basis. Because we are independent and focused exclusively on providing information on actionable biomarkers, we are able to offer our service to many of the hundreds of laboratories globally that offer genetic testing of cancer tumors.

Technologies

The knowledge base that underlies our clinical and laboratory is focused on the “actionable” molecular biomarkers and evidence-based medicine that guides the selection of therapeutic options. We determine “actionability” based on a defined set of measures of the strength of evidence and other objective criteria. The information that we aggregate, synthesize and report to physicians is based solely on data available publicly in the medical literature. It is referenced with respect to its source documentation and is vetted for appropriateness and relevance as needed by our network of more than 75 independent key opinion leaders, whose identities and biographies are posted on our website. In these important ways we are transparent in our approach to providing the information that supports the day-to-day decisions made by practicing physicians. We have simplified and made more efficient the process by which many physicians would otherwise collect the needed information to make or support clinical decisions (e.g., web search followed by reading). We have performed the searches and compiled the relevant information in advance on behalf of users, ensuring that the information is comprehensive, relevant and up-to-date. Generally speaking, we provide an easy-to-use, efficient, interactive on-line library for practicing oncologists and laboratory medical directors.

We have developed sophisticated, artificial-intelligence-based software programs that allow us to aggregate data from publicly available sources of published, peer-reviewed scientific and medical literature, abstracts and case reports. Our “*Semantic Information Platform*”, or SIP, allows us to update on a regular and frequent basis a proprietary knowledge base that links several external and internal databases with information on known and emergent biomarkers, molecular tests that are available to assist with further diagnoses, drugs and compounds that have either been approved as drugs or are under investigation, and the relevant clinical trials that are recruiting patients for further research. All of this information is referenced to published source documentation. We annotate and curate the basic information, creating high-level summaries designed to contextualize for physicians and patients the relationships between the identified biomarkers and the available testing and treatment options.

Fundamental to our business is the concept that “thought-leader” medicine drives advances in clinical practice. Physicians and researchers in the major cancer centers in the United States and abroad that oversee cutting-edge clinical research are discovering new treatment and testing options for patients at an increasingly rapid pace, due in large part to advances in testing and information technology. Treatment options that are incorporated into routine clinical practice “standard of care” guidelines fail to keep up with the rapid pace of discovery in the research laboratories. We have addressed this problem by assembling a network of over 75 leading oncologists and researchers and by providing them with a platform to integrate their knowledge into clinical practice and to distribute that knowledge widely to other practicing physicians. Generally speaking, most advanced-staged patients are “beyond the standard of care.” We believe this “democratization” of thought-leader medicine is disruptive to the status-quo of compartmentalized, institution-based diagnosis and treatment.

Building on the well-established conceptual framework for publishing in medicine, we have assembled a network of Editorial and Advisory Boards of independent physicians and researchers, based around specific expertise in organ or location-based cancers (e.g., melanoma, colorectal, breast, prostate, etc.) and “pan-cancer” (a biomarker-centric, non-location specific view). Each Editorial Board has a Chairperson and consists of 6 – 12 additional experts recruited by the Chairperson and assembled specifically to help us model each disease on a molecular level, to create decision nodes for the consideration of additional testing or therapy options, and to weigh alternative treatments against the highest quality of peer-reviewed scientific and medical evidence. Several of our models have been co-authored by our Editorial Board members and published in open access, peer-reviewed journals. The decision-support features of the knowledge have been developed into easy-to-use, web-based Therapy Finder™ applications that we have made available to physicians and patients free of charge on our website and through other online media outlets. In this way, we fulfill our commitment to transparency and the democratization of thought-leader medicine.

The Market

Cancer is a worldwide health concern. In 2002, cancer eclipsed heart disease as the number one cause of death in the U.S. for individuals under the age of 85, according to the National Cancer Institute. In the U.S., nearly 12 million individuals are living with a cancer diagnosis, approximately 7 million individuals are being treated for cancer, approximately 1.5 million new cases are diagnosed each year, over 600,000 people die from cancer each year (6 million deaths worldwide), and nearly 1 in 3 females and 1 in 2 males will be diagnosed with cancer in their lifetime, according to the American Cancer Society. Age is the number one risk factor for the development of cancer. Nearly 80% of cancers are diagnosed in individuals age 55 years and older, which is the fastest growing segment of the U.S. population.

Cancer treatment and research has been experiencing an unprecedented explosion of knowledge in the past 5-10 years. There are over 500 new cancer therapies in pre-clinical development, approximately 400-500 cancer diagnostic labs, more than 10,000 cancer-related clinical trials are currently ongoing, and over 100,000 cancer-related papers are published in the scientific literature annually, according to PubMed and ClinicalTrials.gov. ClinicalTrials.gov is a website that provides patients, family members, healthcare professionals, and other members of the public easy access to information on clinical studies on a wide range of diseases and conditions and PubMed is a freely accessible and searchable database of references and abstracts on life sciences and biomedical topics. Both resources are maintained by the United States National Library of Medicine (NLM) at the National Institutes of Health (NIH). The knowledge explosion is associated with two interrelated key drivers: (i) cancer is fundamentally a disease of the genome, (i.e., genetic mutations cause cancer), and (ii) the costs and time to sequence genes (and discover mutations that can be targeted for therapy) have been falling at an increasing rate. The cost to sequence an entire genome tracked Moore's Law from 2001 (\$100M) to 2007 (\$10M) and has since accelerated. In 2012, the cost to sequence a genome was approximately ten thousand dollars and was projected to dip below the one thousand dollar mark in two years. The concept of a "\$1,000 genome" has broad psychological implications since it represents the price point at which leading experts believe that every cancer genome will be sequenced. As a result, the clinical cancer sequencing market is predicted to grow 100% per year, reaching approximately \$1Billion in value by 2015, according to a report issued by marketsandmarkets.com in April of 2012 entitled "Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) Market – Global Trends and Forecasts (2011-2016)".

Large-scale genetic sequencing studies in tumors are rapidly uncovering mutations in genes that can be selectively targeted by pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. There were approximately 5 cancer genes in 2008 in which genetic aberrations were strongly associated with treatment implications. By comparison, there are currently 15-20 such genes, a number that is expected to double in the next year even as additional mutations are being discovered in genes already associated with cancer. Currently there are approximately 85 biomarkers representing hundreds of mutations in aggregate that are associated with some level of clinical actionability. This number is expected to increase as new discoveries are made.

Cancer therapy companies are using this information to fill their research and development pipelines with "targeted" therapies to leverage ongoing trends towards a genetic-based approach to drug development. Cancer diagnostic companies are using this information to develop an increasing number of molecular and genetic tests to direct cancer care. In the United States, the sales of anticancer drugs are now second only to those of drugs for heart disease, and 70% of these sales come from products introduced in the past 10 years which will drive the total cost of cancer care from \$100B as of 2011 to nearly \$170B by 2020 according to the National Cancer Institute (NCI) (Mariotto et al., J Natl Cancer Inst., 2011 Jan 19;103 (2):117-28). Today the U.S. cancer testing market is approximately \$10 Billion in value. The molecular diagnostic market, which includes genetic sequencing, is approximately \$4 Billion, and our approach addresses approximately 40-50% of this market, corresponding to discrete molecular and genetic testing events. Currently approximately 8-10 million solid tumor specimens are collected each year for testing, including molecular analysis. "Next Generation Sequencing" (or "NGS"), a technology which is driving down the cost of testing, is in its infancy, accounting for approximately 100,000 total tests this year. However, NGS is the fastest growing segment of the testing market, exceeding 100% growth per year.

Changes in how cancer drugs are being developed and prescribed is creating a wealth of new data and insights and enables a more "precision-based" or "personalized" approach to cancer treatment planning. However, most physicians lack access to clear and easy-to-understand information about which drugs, tests, and clinical trials should be considered in constructing a personalized cancer treatment plan based on the genetic profile of a given tumor. Market surveys conducted by Medco Research/American Medical Association in 2009 indicate that while 90% of physicians desire to practice personalized medicine, only 10% feel adequately prepared to do so.

This "knowledge gap" is unfolding during a time when physicians are increasingly turning to the Internet for information since the breadth of cancer-related content (and the pace at which it changes) is beyond their ability to track using traditional means. For example, nearly 50% of all physicians regularly use the Internet for treating, diagnosing or caring for their patients, according to Wolters Kluwer Health, and 81% of physicians use Web-enabled smartphones, up from 64% in 2009, according to Manhattan Research. However, there are no adequate online resources that translate emerging genomics data into personalized and actionable cancer therapy considerations and are dynamically updated to reflect the rapidly changing state of the science and medicine.

Finally, the explosion of genetic sequencing data is creating new opportunities in "big data" analytics. World capacity is now 13 quadrillion DNA bases a year, an amount that would fill a stack of DVDs two miles high, according to a 2011 New York Times article on DNA sequencing. When combined with additional clinical information from a patient's medical record, the result is a dataset of unprecedented size and scope. Mining these datasets for novel insights is expected to produce over \$300B in value to the US healthcare system in the coming decade, of which \$165B is directly attributed to comparative effectiveness research and clinical decision support, according to McKinsey Global Institute.

Please see the "Overview of our Current Business—The Market" section regarding the factors we believe are most relevant to the market that we serve. We believe that overall size of the market for cancer diagnostics and therapeutics is a good indicator of the increasing importance of this market to a wide spectrum of health care providers, researchers and value-chain participants, and should be of interest to investors and potential investors in CollabRx. The number of people affected by cancer, the information generated in connection with cancer research, the amount of money spent in the United States on cancer diagnostics and therapeutics are all relevant to the opportunity that we have identified. Further, we know that within these large markets, certain areas, including those that are directly addressed by CollabRx, are growing disproportionately because of advances in technology. However, because our customers (particularly those within the diagnostic laboratory segment) are still developing their own diagnostic tests in oncology, we are currently unable to accurately estimate the size of that particular market.

Because the markets are emergent, there are no reliable, publicly-available estimates for the sizes of the markets that CollabRx addresses. With regard to our GVA, while genomic testing for cancer has been performed for a number of years by academic medical centers, such testing was largely focused on single biomarkers, for which the interpretation is relatively straightforward. It is with the recent emergence of multi-biomarker testing and multi-gene panels, to date largely performed by a single private company, that the requirement for complex integration of interpretive data has become a recognizable need. As the providers of testing platforms enable such testing at the individual laboratory level through increasingly more cost-effective technologies, and as more diagnostic labs develop their own tests based on these technologies, we believe that the market for independent interpretive analysis will expand rapidly. With regard to the market for our Therapy Finders and related products, we expect to garner some portion of the advertising budgets related to the marketing of cancer diagnostics and therapies, but we are not aware of any reliable, publicly-available estimates of market sizes for web-based tools of the type that we have developed.

Business Strategy

Founded in 2008 by an Internet software pioneer and cancer survivor, CollabRx pursued multiple paths in molecular oncology that ultimately formed a strong basis for our current business model. Initially, CollabRx began offering services under the brand name “CollabRx ONE” to two oncology stakeholders: disease foundations and patients. To disease foundations, CollabRx offered a set of software products and consulting services to effectively function as a “virtual biotech.” CollabRx ONE was also a concierge level, personalized oncology “patient funded” research service that was among the first consulting services offered in connection with the virtual biotech IT platform. To patients with advanced, often terminal cancers, CollabRx ONE provided an assessment of the molecular pathways that may be activated in their tumors and interpretation on how this information may be used to inform treatment planning. As a result of the economic conditions in the U.S. in 2008 and 2009, the CollabRx ONE business was discontinued in late 2009.

Concurrently with the discontinuation of CollabRx ONE, CollabRx’s founder embarked on an ambitious effort to develop an overarching IT platform that would unite participants in the cancer ecosystem in an e-commerce-based business model. This platform, and the initiative in which it was conceived, was referred to inside the Company as “Cancer Commons.” In early 2012 Cancer Commons was formed as an independent not-for-profit organization under the full-time direction and control of CollabRx’s founder. At the same time, the decision was made to seek additional funding with a newly appointed CEO and to sell CollabRx to a third-party. This effort culminated in the acquisition of CollabRx by Tegal Corporation in June, 2012.

Since 2010 CollabRx made significant progress in building four specialized knowledge bases and the associated Therapy Finder applications (for colorectal cancer, lung cancer, melanoma and breast cancer). CollabRx developed the software tools and processes to keep the knowledge bases up to date, and secured initial distribution deals among notable foundations such as the Melanoma Research Foundation. At the same time, CollabRx developed and grew a network of independent clinical advisers, booked initial advertising revenue for the melanoma app, signed an agreement with the influential American Society of Clinical Oncologists (“ASCO”) (which has since been concluded), and conducted extensive market research with pharmaceutical and diagnostic companies. In 2011, Pfizer made a one-time grant of \$250 thousand to the Cancer Commons initiative, which contracted with CollabRx to develop and publish a molecular disease model for lung cancer in that same year. CollabRx received \$150 thousand for this effort. Pfizer gave no material grants to CollabRx, nor did it invest any capital in CollabRx, and CollabRx has no continuing obligations to either Cancer Commons or Pfizer.

In early 2012, we repositioned the Company in “cloud-based” expert systems to inform healthcare decision-making. Many of the required assets for this repositioning had been built since our founding including our deep expertise in molecular oncology, the credibility of our academic and industry partners, the extensive network of independent experts, and a scalable software platform to populate and update a proprietary knowledge base. Against a background of rapidly declining cost and increasing frequency of genomic testing, we understood that the existing gaps in knowledge between research and clinical practice would increase rather than diminish. Our overall vision is that we are at the dawn of an era of explosive growth of data and information generated at the molecular level that must be interpreted and contextualized into knowledge before it can be useable by either physicians or patients. Our mission is to organize the world’s knowledge in molecular medicine and to make it universally accessible and useful. We regard such knowledge as being the most valuable portion of the molecular diagnostic process and we believe that all sectors of the healthcare industry, including providers, insurers, drug developers and patients are potential customers for such knowledge. We aim to deliver our proprietary interpretive content as quickly as possible and in as many usable forms as possible, via the Internet.

We intend to set a standard for the clinical interpretation space for genomics-based, precision medicine, starting with cancer. Key to accomplishing this mission are the following:

- we will continue to build out our knowledge base and the systems that support it;
- we will develop additional information products and applications for diverse healthcare industry participants; and

we will continue to expand our network of over 75 editorial and advisory board members, composed of key opinion leaders spanning diverse backgrounds such as medicine and translational research, public policy, government, legal, ethical, and patient advocacy.

The combination of our knowledge base and the SIP that supports it provides a platform for the development of web-based and mobile applications and information services serving physicians and patients at the point-of-care, as well as indirectly through laboratories conducting diagnostic tests. Although built on the same platform, our strategy for building out products and services in each of these segments varies.

Customers

As we transitioned into healthcare, our customers changed materially. Until February 9, 2011, our sales were primarily to large semiconductor and micro-electrical mechanical systems (“MEMS”) device manufacturers. In fiscal year 2013, three customers accounted for 100% of our revenues. Specifically, two of our customers, Life Technologies, Inc. and Everyday Health Inc. accounted for 75% of our revenues, and our management contract with Sequel Power accounted for 25% of our revenues. In fiscal year 2014, five customers accounted 96% of our revenues and one of our customers, Life Technologies, Inc., accounted for 76% of our revenues. No other customer accounted for 10% or more of our revenues in fiscal years 2013 or 2014. Our management contract with Sequel Power was terminated on March 31, 2013, and we have received no revenue from that source since that time. As we continue to make inroads into the commercialization phase of our current business, we expect that our customer base will expand and that our sales will be less concentrated. As of March 31, 2014, four customers accounted for 100% of our accounts receivable balance. One customer accounted for 100% of our accounts receivable balance as of March 31, 2013.

Life Technologies, Inc. has been a major contributor to our revenues and gross profits for the past two years. However we have funded the Company’s operating expenses primarily with cash on hand and the net proceeds from the sale of discontinued assets. Please see the “*Liquidity and Capital Resources*” section set forth in Item 2 herein. We are actively engaged in negotiations with several other companies who are interested in purchasing our content on similar terms or under annual subscriptions or SaaS arrangements.

Marketing, Sales and Service

We focus on content creation through the aggregation of peer-reviewed published data and its review and interpretation by clinical experts, and the incorporation of that content into products that provide current, credible and actionable information to users. Updated frequently, such information is highly valuable to several segments of the healthcare market, including patients, healthcare providers (e.g., oncologists and pathologists), provider networks, laboratories, diagnostic companies, medical institutions, pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, and contract research organizations. The diversity of potential users of such information requires a corresponding diversity in marketing approaches and sales strategies. For this reason, we have chosen to enter the markets through strategic partnering arrangements with companies that already have a significant presence in each of the market segments.

For our clinical products, we formed our first strategic partnership with Everyday Health, Inc. a leading on-line media company in the healthcare market. Our agreement with Everyday Health includes license fees and advertising revenue sharing in connection with making our CollabRx Therapy Finders™ available to registered physicians through *MedPage Today*, Everyday Health, Inc.’s rapidly growing online site that serves 96% of all oncologists and has 1.6 million monthly online unique users.

For our laboratory products, we entered into a multi-year agreements with several companies, including Life Technologies, Inc., Quest Diagnostics, Inc., Affymetrix, Inc., The Jackson Laboratory, Cellnetix, Inc., Genoptix, Inc., Cynvenio Biosystems, Inc., OncoDNA, SA (Brussels, Belgium), and Sengenics, Pte., Ltd. (Singapore).

We are in the process of pursuing and negotiating strategic partnerships with other companies in the major healthcare segments as part of a broad business development strategy in which several of our employees, including our senior executives, are involved. Our other marketing efforts consist primarily of our website and presentations by our executives at industry trade shows and conferences. At the present time, we do not engage in direct sales activities to users, and our service activities are limited to supporting and maintaining our software applications that run on several cloud-based servers.

Research and Development

Our research and development, or R&D, efforts span a broad range of activities, including research into peer-reviewed published literature and databases, the development and publication of Molecular Disease Models, or MDMs, the creation of proprietary knowledge bases of medical and scientific content, the development of applications and user interfaces to access the knowledge bases, and the development of a suite of artificial intelligence-based tools that assist in the research, aggregation, organization, curating and updating of the knowledge bases.

[Table of Contents](#)

We employ approximately ten full-time scientists and engineers in our R&D organization, supplemented by a number of contract consultants and interns.

Research and development expenses for continuing operations for fiscal 2014 and 2013 were \$284 and \$536, respectively. The decrease in research and development expenses in fiscal 2014 compared to fiscal 2013 was a result of the acquisition of CollabRx by the former Tegal Corporation. There had been no R&D expenses in the prior year, since we had sold or discontinued those operations.

Since R&D is an essential part of our business, we expect that our absolute spending will remain at current levels or increase in the future.

Competition

Competition in the “content” space can originate from the Internet, online medical journals, consumer-facing healthcare websites, other proprietary databases, and subscription-based services. However, we believe that none of the existing competitors offer the array of experts, vetted content, tools and services that are embodied in the CollabRx organization.

We understand that, at one time, Foundation Medicine utilized a company in Boston called “N-of-One” to assist with the test interpretation. N-of-One is the closest competitor to CollabRx that we have been able to identify. However, based on our discussions with many labs other than Foundation Medicine, we do not believe that N-of-One offers the array of tools and services that we offer. We believe our distinguishing features include our Semantic Integration Platform, which allows for the automated processing of test results, our formal network of over 75 key opinion leaders, and our web-based tools, such as our Therapy Finders, which allow access by physicians and patients to portions of our knowledgebase. Among these, the most important to our prospective lab customers is our automated process, which can scale to the volume of tests that some labs are expecting in the future.

We are aware that other companies that have traditionally served the clinical research market with data services, including Compendia Bioscience (now a part of Life Technologies / Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), Ingenuity (part of Qiagen) and Thomson Reuters Corp. have announced their intention to offer products in the clinical therapeutic market.

Intellectual Property

We have applied for one patent, and have thus far relied primarily on trade secrets and copyrights to protect our processes and tools for aggregating data, assembling the knowledge bases and providing access to its data through its applications software and user interfaces.

Following the sale of the Tegal legacy assets and the sale of the last of the remaining patents, we no longer hold any assets related to our discontinued operations.

Employees

As of March 31, 2014, we had a total of fifteen regular employees and two part-time contract personnel. Of our regular employees, ten are in research and development, and five are in executive and administrative positions. Of the fifteen regular employees, twelve hold advanced degrees, including PhDs, MDs and MBAs.

None of our remaining employees are represented by a labor union or covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

NanoVibronix

On November 22, 2011, we completed a \$300 strategic investment in the form of a convertible promissory note issued by NanoVibronix, Inc., a private company that develops medical devices and products that implement its proprietary therapeutic ultrasound technology. The convertible promissory note bears interest at a rate of 10% per year compounded annually and matures in November 2014. Our investment was intended to precede a possible merger of the two companies. However, those discussions were terminated by mutual agreement between the parties and NanoVibronix, Inc. continues to operate as a private company as of March 31, 2014. Should NanoVibronix, Inc. become a public company, then the Company’s Chief Executive Officer will become a member of the NanoVibronix, Inc. Board of Directors.

Sequel Power

On January 14, 2011, we entered into a Formation and Contribution Agreement with se2quel Partners and Sequel Power. We contributed \$2 million in cash to Sequel Power in exchange for an approximate 25% ownership interest in Sequel Power. Sequel Power was focused on the promotion of solar power plant development projects worldwide. The management services provided to Sequel Power represented the Company’s sole source of revenue for fiscal 2012. We impaired the entire book value of the investment in Sequel Power on March 31, 2012. On March 21, 2013, Sequel Power irrevocably assigned and transferred to the Company for cancellation the balance of its Warrants representing the right to purchase 44,578 shares of the Company’s common stock. In exchange, we agreed to terminate our Management Services Agreement with Sequel Power and to waive receivables related to accrued fees thereunder. Sequel Power was subsequently liquidated. We do not anticipate making any additional investments in Sequel Power or any other solar-related businesses.

Where You Can Find More Information

Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are available free of charge as soon as possible after we electronically file them with, or furnish them to, the SEC. You can access our filings with the SEC by visiting

our website. The information on our website is not, and shall not be deemed to be, a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or incorporated into any other filings we make with the SEC. Additionally, the Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended by our predecessor registrant Registrar and Transfer Company are available at www.sec.gov. Investors and others should note that we announce material financial information to our investors using our investor relations website, press releases, SEC filings and public conference calls and webcasts. You can also read and copy any document that we file, including this Annual Report on Form 10-K, at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for information on the operation of the Public Reference Room. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet site at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. You can electronically access our SEC filings there.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We wish to caution you that there are risks and uncertainties that could affect our business. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the risks described below and elsewhere in this report, particularly in "Forward-Looking Statements." The following is not intended to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties, as it is not possible to predict or identify all risk factors.

We operate in a rapidly changing environment that involves a number of risks, some of which are beyond our control. The risks described below are those which we believe are the material risks we face. Any of the risk factors described below or additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us, or that we currently deem immaterial, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Business

Our future growth is dependent on the successful development and introduction of new products, services and enhancements. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in developing and marketing, on a timely basis, new products, services or product and service enhancements, or that our new products will adequately address the changing needs of the healthcare marketplace.

A significant portion of our operating expense is relatively fixed and planned expenditures are based in part on expectations regarding future revenues. As a result, we are generally unable to mitigate the negative impact on margins in the short term. Accordingly, unexpected revenue shortfalls may significantly decrease our operating results from quarter to quarter. As a result, in future quarters our operating results could fall below the expectations of securities analysts or investors, in which event our stock price would likely decrease.

We have a history of losses, expect to incur substantial further losses and may not achieve or maintain profitability in the future, which in turn could further materially decrease the price of our common stock.

We had net losses of \$(3,314) and \$(3,928) for the years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. We used cash flows from operations of \$(2,593) and \$(3,838), in these respective years. As of March 31, 2014, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$1,430.

We will need to raise additional capital in the immediate future which may include capital raises through the issuance of debt or equity securities. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of preferred stock or debt, these securities could have rights, privileges or preferences senior to those of our common stock, and debt covenants could impose restrictions on our operations. Any equity securities could be issued at prices below the prevailing market prices, could be issued in conjunction with warrants to purchase additional shares of our common stock and would dilute the ownership interest of our existing stockholder base. Moreover, such financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all. Failure to raise any needed funds would materially adversely affect us. In consideration of these circumstances, the Company may be forced to consider a merger with or into another company or the liquidation or dissolution of the Company, including through a bankruptcy proceeding.

Without additional capital, our recurring losses from operations raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We may need to generate significant revenue or sell equity or debt securities to raise additional funds to continue to operate as a going concern beyond the first quarter of fiscal year 2015. In addition, the perception that we may not be able to continue as a going concern may cause others to choose not to deal with us due to concerns about our ability to meet our contractual obligations and may adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital.

Our quarterly operating results may continue to fluctuate.

Our revenue and operating results have fluctuated and are likely to continue to fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter, and we cannot assure you that we will achieve profitability in the future.

Factors that could affect our quarterly operating results include:

- operating results of our Company;
- operating results of any companies that we may acquire in the future;
- fluctuations in demand for our products and services, and the timing of agreements with strategic partners in the healthcare marketplace;
- the timing of new products, services and product and service enhancements;

- changes in the growth rate of the healthcare marketplace;
- our ability to control costs, including operations expenses;
- our ability to develop, induce and gain market acceptance for new products, services and product and service enhancements;
- changes in the competitive environment, including the entry of new competitors and related discounting of products and services;
- adverse changes in the level of economic activity in the United States or other major economies in which we do business;
- renewal rates and our ability to up-sell additional products and services;
- the timing of customer acquisitions;
- the timing of revenue recognition for our sales; and
- future accounting pronouncements or changes in our accounting policies.

Our future success depends on our ability to retain our key personnel and to successfully integrate them into our management team.

We are dependent on the services of Thomas Mika, our President and Chief Executive Officer, our technical experts and other members of our senior management team. The loss of one or more of these key members of our senior management team could have a material adverse effect on us. We may not be able to retain or replace these key personnel, and we may not have adequate succession plans in place. Mr. Mika is subject to employment conditions or arrangements that contain post-employment non-competition provisions. However, these arrangements permit Mr. Mika to terminate his employment with us upon little or no notice and the enforceability of the non-competition provisions is uncertain.

If we are unable to hire, retain and motivate qualified personnel, our business would suffer.

Our future success depends, in part, on our ability to continue to attract and retain highly skilled personnel. The loss of the services of any of our key personnel, the inability to attract or retain qualified personnel or delays in hiring required personnel, particularly in software and biotechnology, may seriously harm our business, financial condition and operating results. Our ability to continue to attract and retain highly skilled personnel will be critical to our future success. Competition for highly skilled personnel is frequently intense, especially in the San Francisco Bay Area. We intend to issue stock options as a key component of our overall compensation and employee attraction and retention efforts. In addition, we are required under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, to recognize compensation expense in our operating results for employee stock-based compensation under our equity grant programs, which may negatively impact our operating results and may increase the pressure to limit share-based compensation. We may not be successful in attracting, assimilating or retaining qualified personnel to fulfill our current or future needs. Also, to the extent we hire personnel from competitors, we may be subject to allegations that they have been improperly solicited or divulged proprietary or other confidential information.

The personalized healthcare market is rapidly evolving and difficult to predict. If the personalized healthcare market does not evolve as we anticipate or if healthcare market participants do not perceive value in our products, our sales will not grow as quickly as anticipated and our stock price could decline.

We are in a new, rapidly evolving category within the healthcare industry that focuses on using information technology to inform personalized cancer treatment planning. As such, it is difficult to predict important market trends, including how large the personalized healthcare market will be or when and what products customers will adopt. If the market does not evolve in the way we anticipate, if organizations do not recognize the benefit that our products and services offer, or if we are unable to sell our products and services to customers, then our revenue may not grow as expected or may decline, and our stock price could decline.

New or existing technologies that are perceived to address personalized cancer treatment planning in different ways could gain wide adoption and supplant our products and services, thereby weakening our sales and our financial results.

The introduction of products and services embodying new technologies could render our existing products and services obsolete or less attractive to customers. Other technologies exist or could be developed in the future, and our business could be materially negatively affected if such technologies are widely adopted. We may not be able to successfully anticipate or adapt to changing technology or customer requirements on a timely basis, or at all. If we fail to keep up with technological changes or to convince our customers and potential customers of the value of our products and services even in light of new technologies, our business, operating results and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

We are dependent on a family of products that informs genomic-based medicine.

Our current product offering is limited to a family of products that informs genomic-based medicine using a unique approach of experts and expert systems. We expect to derive a substantial portion of our revenue from this approach and the family of products based on this approach for the foreseeable future. A decline in the price of these products, whether due to competition or otherwise, or our inability to increase sales of these products, would harm our business and operating results. We expect that this concentration of revenue from a single product family comprised of a limited number of products will continue for the foreseeable future. As a result, our future growth and financial performance will depend heavily on our ability to develop and sell enhanced versions of our products. If we fail to deliver product enhancements, new releases or new products that customers want, it will be more difficult for us to succeed.

If we are unable to introduce new products and services successfully and to make enhancements to existing products, our growth rates would likely decline and our business, operating results and competitive position could suffer.

Our continued success depends on our ability to identify and develop new products and services and to enhance and improve our existing products and services, and the acceptance of those products by our existing and target customers. Our growth would likely be adversely affected if:

- we fail to introduce these new products and services or enhancements;
- we fail to successfully manage the transition to new products and services from the products they are replacing;
- we do not invest our development efforts in appropriate products and services or enhancements for markets in which we now compete and expect to compete;
- we fail to predict the demand for new products and services following their introduction to market; or
- these new products and services or enhancements do not attain market acceptance.

Any or all of the above problems could materially harm our business and operating results.

If we are unable to increase market awareness of our Company and our products, our revenue may not continue to grow, or may decline.

The personalized healthcare market is nascent, and we have not yet established broad market awareness of our products and services. Market awareness of our value proposition and products will be essential to our continued growth and our success, particularly for the advanced stage treatment segment of the personalized cancer treatment market. If our marketing efforts are unsuccessful in creating market awareness of our Company and our products, then our business, financial condition and operating results will be adversely affected, and we will not be able to achieve sustained growth.

We compete in highly competitive markets, and competitive pressures from existing and new companies may adversely impact our business and operating results.

The markets in which we compete are highly competitive. We expect competition to intensify in the future as existing competitors bundle new and more competitive offerings with their existing products and services, and as new market entrants introduce new products into our markets. This competition could result in increased pricing pressure, reduced profit margins, increased sales and marketing expenses and our failure to increase, or the loss of, market share, any of which would likely seriously harm our business, operating results and financial condition. If we do not keep pace with product and technology advances and otherwise keep our products and services competitive, there could be a material and adverse effect on our competitive position, revenue and prospects for growth.

We compete either directly or indirectly with other medical database solution companies. The principal competitive factors in our markets include key strategic customer relationships, expert technical personnel, and marketplace acceptance of our product.

Many of our current and potential competitors are substantially larger and have greater financial, technical, research and development, sales and marketing, manufacturing, distribution and other resources and greater name recognition. We could also face competition from new market entrants, including our joint-development partners or other current technology partners. In addition, many of our existing and potential competitors enjoy substantial competitive advantages, such as:

- longer operating histories;

- the capacity to leverage their sales efforts and marketing expenditures across a broader portfolio of products;
- broader distribution and established relationships with partners;
- access to larger customer bases;
- greater customer support;
- greater resources to make acquisitions;
- larger intellectual property portfolios; and
- the ability to bundle competitive offerings with other products and services.

As a result, increased competition could result in loss of existing or new customers, price reductions, reduced operating margins and loss of market share. Our competitors also may be able to provide customers with capabilities or benefits different from or greater than those we can provide in areas such as technical qualifications or geographic presence, or to provide end-user customers a broader range of products, services and prices. In addition, some of our larger potential competitors have substantially broader product offerings and could leverage their relationships based on other products or incorporate functionality into existing products to gain business in a manner that discourages users from purchasing our products, including through selling at zero or negative margins, product bundling or closed technology platforms. These larger potential competitors may also have more extensive relationships within existing and potential customers that provide them with an advantage in competing for business with those customers. Our ability to compete will depend upon our ability to provide a better product than our competitors at a competitive price. We may be required to make substantial investments in research, development, marketing and sales in order to respond to competition, and there is no assurance that these investments will achieve any returns for us or that we will be able to compete successfully in the future.

Our limited operating history in the healthcare market makes it difficult for you to evaluate our current business and future prospects, and may increase the risk of your investment.

Until recently, we designed, manufactured, marketed and serviced specialized plasma etch systems used primarily in the production of micro-electrical mechanical systems devices, such as sensors, accelerometers and power devices. Our Deep Reactive Ion Etch systems were also employed in certain sophisticated manufacturing techniques, involving 3-D interconnect structures formed by intricate silicon etching. We exited the semiconductor industry through a series of divestitures in 2010 to 2012. In July 2012, we acquired CollabRx and entered the personalized healthcare market. CollabRx was founded in 2008 to use information technology to inform personalized medicine. Our current management has only been working together with our employee base for a short period of time. This limited operating history in the healthcare market makes financial forecasting and evaluation of our business difficult. Furthermore, because we depend in part on the market's acceptance of our products and services, it is difficult to evaluate trends that may affect our business. We have encountered and will continue to encounter risks and difficulties frequently experienced by growing companies in rapidly changing industries. If we do not address these risks successfully, our business and operating results would be adversely affected, and our stock will be adversely affected.

We do not sell our products and services directly to users and rely instead on contractual relationships with key market participants who derive a benefit from offering our products and services to their users. Our business development cycles can be long and unpredictable, and our business development efforts require considerable time and expense.

We do not sell our products directly to users. Instead, we have strategic relationships with existing market participants who derive a benefit from offering our products to their users. Our business development efforts involve educating our potential business partners about the use and benefits of our products. Such potential business partners are typically large, well-established companies with long evaluation cycles. We spend substantial time and resources on our business development efforts without any assurance that our efforts will produce any sales. In addition, strategic partnerships are frequently subject to budget constraints, multiple approvals and unplanned administrative, processing and other delays. These factors, among others, could result in long and unpredictable sales cycles. The length of our products' business development cycles typically range from six to twelve months based on our limited experience, but may be longer as we expand our business development efforts to other segments of the healthcare market. As a result of these lengthy business development timelines and the uncertain benefit that our strategic partners may derive from offering our products, it is difficult for us to predict when our strategic partners may purchase products from us and as a result, our operating results may vary significantly and may be adversely affected.

Our customers are concentrated and therefore the loss of a significant customer may harm our business.

We generate revenues from a small number of customers. In fiscal year 2013, we had three customers and two of our customers, Life Technologies, Inc. and Everyday Health Inc., accounted for 75% of our revenues. In fiscal year 2014, five customers accounted 96% of our revenues. Life Technologies, Inc. accounted for 76% of fiscal year 2014 revenues. In fiscal year 2013, one of our customers, Life Technologies, Inc., accounted for 63% of our revenues. No other customer accounted for 10% or more of our revenues. The loss of any of these customers would significantly impact our operating results in future periods.

We are exposed to risks associated with contract termination or delay

The software products for which we receive revenue are distributed through third parties under license or contract, with varying terms. Generally, our agreements with third parties are subject to termination if certain revenue targets are not achieved. In addition, our agreements generally do not contain revenue or performance guarantees, so our achieved revenues may vary from targets because of changes in strategic direction of our customers, contract termination, or from delays in projected launch dates, over which we have no influence or control. The loss or delay of revenues associated with such contracts may harm our business and cause us to suffer further operating losses.

If our security is breached, our business could be disrupted, our operating results could be harmed, and customers could be deterred from using our products and services.

Our business relies on the secure electronic transmission, storage and hosting of information, including published data and proprietary databases. We face the risk of a deliberate or unintentional incident involving unauthorized access to our computer systems that could result in misappropriation or loss of assets or information, data corruption, or other disruption of business operations. Although we have devoted significant resources to protecting and maintaining the confidentiality of our information, including implementing security and privacy programs and controls, training our workforce, and implementing new technology, we have no guarantee that these programs and controls will be adequate to prevent all possible security threats. We believe that any compromise of our electronic systems, including the unauthorized access, use, or disclosure of information or a significant disruption of our computing assets and networks, would adversely affect our reputation and our ability to fulfill contractual obligations, and would require us to devote significant financial and other resources to mitigate such problems, and could increase our future cyber security costs.

Defects or errors in our software could harm our reputation, result in significant cost to us and impair our ability to market our products.

The software applications underlying our hosted products and services are inherently complex and may contain defects or errors, some of which may be material. Errors may result from our own technology or from the interface of our software with legacy systems and data, which we did not develop. The risk of errors is particularly significant when a new product is first introduced or when new versions or enhancements of existing products are released. The likelihood of errors is increased as a result of our commitment to frequent release of new products and enhancements of existing products.

Material defects in our software could result in a reduction in sales and/or delay in market acceptance of our products. In addition, such defects may lead to the loss of existing customers, difficulty in attracting new customers, diversion of development resources or harm to our reputation. Correction of defects or errors could prove to be impossible or impractical. The costs incurred in correcting any defects or errors or in responding to resulting claims or liability may be substantial and could adversely affect our operating results.

If we experience any failure or interruption in the delivery of our services over the Internet, customer satisfaction and our reputation could be harmed and customer contracts may be terminated.

If we experience any failure or interruption in the delivery of our services over the Internet, customer satisfaction and our reputation could be harmed and lead to reduced revenues and increased expenses.

We may expand our business through new acquisitions in the future. Any such acquisitions could disrupt our business, harm our financial condition and dilute current stockholders' ownership interests in our Company.

We may pursue potential acquisitions of, and investments in, businesses, technologies or products complementary to our business and periodically engage in discussions regarding such possible acquisitions. Acquisitions involve numerous risks, including some or all of the following:

- difficulties in identifying and acquiring complementary products, technologies or businesses
- substantial cash expenditures;
- incurrence of debt and contingent liabilities, some of which we may not identify at the time of acquisition;
- difficulties in assimilating the operations and personnel of the acquired companies;
- diversion of management's attention away from other business concerns;
- risk associated with entering markets in which we have limited or no direct experience;
- potential loss of key employees, customers and strategic alliances from either our current business or the target company's business; and
- delays in customer purchases due to uncertainty and the inability to maintain relationships with customers of the acquired businesses.

If we fail to properly evaluate acquisitions or investments, we may not achieve the anticipated benefits of such acquisitions, we may incur costs in excess of what we anticipate, and management resources and attention may be diverted from other necessary or valuable activities. An acquisition may not result in short-term or long-term benefits to us. The failure to evaluate and execute acquisitions or investments successfully or otherwise adequately address these risks could materially harm our business and financial results. We may incorrectly judge the value or worth of an acquired company or business. In addition, our future success will depend in part on our ability to manage the growth anticipated with these acquisitions.

Furthermore, the development or expansion of our business or any acquired business or companies may require a substantial capital investment by us. We may not have these necessary funds or they might not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. We may also seek to raise funds for an acquisition by issuing equity securities or convertible debt, as a result of which our existing stockholders may be diluted or the market price of our stock may be adversely affected.

We may be subject to claims that we or our technologies infringe upon the intellectual property or other proprietary rights of a third party. Any such claims may require us to incur significant costs, to enter into royalty or licensing agreements or to develop or license substitute technology.

We cannot assure you that our software solutions and the technologies used in our product offerings do not infringe patents held by others or that they will not in the future. Any future claim of infringement could cause us to incur substantial costs defending against the claim, even if the claim is without merit, and could distract our management from our business. Moreover, any settlement or adverse judgment resulting from the claim could require us to pay substantial amounts or obtain a license to continue to use the technology that is the subject of the claim, or otherwise restrict or prohibit our use of the technology. Any required licenses may not be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all. If we do not obtain any required licenses, we could encounter delays in product introductions if we attempt to design around the technology at issue or attempt to find another provider of suitable alternative technology to permit us to continue offering the applicable software solution. In addition, we generally provide in our customer agreements that we will indemnify our customers against third-party infringement claims relating to our technology provided to the customer, which could obligate us to fund significant amounts. Infringement claims asserted against us or against our customers or other third parties that we are required or otherwise agree to indemnify may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

We may be unable to adequately enforce or defend our ownership and use of our intellectual property and other proprietary rights.

Our success is heavily dependent upon our intellectual property and other proprietary rights. We rely upon a combination of trademark, trade secret, copyright, patent and unfair competition laws, as well as license and access agreements and other contractual provisions, to protect our intellectual property and other proprietary rights. In addition, we attempt to protect our intellectual property and proprietary information by requiring certain of our employees and consultants to enter into confidentiality, non-competition and assignment of inventions agreements. The steps we take to protect these rights may not be adequate to prevent misappropriation of our technology by third parties or may not be adequate under the laws of some foreign countries, which may not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws of the United States.

Our attempts to protect our intellectual property may be challenged by others or invalidated through administrative process or litigation, and agreement terms that address non-competition are difficult to enforce in many jurisdictions and may not be enforceable in any particular case. Moreover, the degree of future protection of our intellectual property and proprietary rights is uncertain for products that are currently in the early stages of development because we cannot predict which of these products will ultimately reach the commercial market or whether the commercial versions of these products will incorporate proprietary technologies. In addition, there remains the possibility that others will “reverse engineer” our products in order to determine their method of operation and introduce competing products or that others will develop competing technology independently.

If we resort to legal proceedings to enforce our intellectual property rights or to determine the validity and scope of the intellectual property or other proprietary rights of others, the proceedings could be burdensome and expensive, even if we were to prevail. The failure to adequately protect our intellectual property and other proprietary rights may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Risks Related to Our Industry

Extensive governmental regulation could require significant compliance costs and have a material adverse effect on the demand for our products and services.

We do not believe that any of our current or planned products and services are subject to regulation by the Food and Drug Administration (the “FDA”) or other regulatory authorities worldwide. Changes in the level of regulation, including an increase in regulatory requirements, could subject us to regulatory approvals and delays in launching our products and services. Modifying our products or services to comply with changes in regulations or regulatory guidance could require us to incur substantial costs. Further, changing regulatory requirements may render our products or services obsolete or make new products and services or enhancements more costly or time consuming than we currently anticipate. Failure by us or our strategic partners to comply with applicable regulations could result in increased regulatory scrutiny and enforcement. If our products and services fail to comply with government regulations or guidelines, we could incur significant liability or be forced to cease offering our applicable products or services.

If any of our products and services are deemed to be Medical Devices, then complying with medical device regulations on a global scale will be time consuming and expensive, and could subject us to unanticipated and significant delays.

Although the United States FDA has not determined that any of our products and services are medical devices that are actively regulated under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and amendments thereto (collectively, the “Act”), the FDA may do so in the future. Other countries have regulations in place related to medical devices that may in the future apply to certain of our products and services. If any of our products and services is deemed to be actively regulated medical devices by the FDA or similar regulatory agencies in countries where we do business, we could be subject to extensive requirements governing pre- and post-marketing activities, including pre-market notification clearance. Complying with medical device regulations would be time consuming and expensive, and could result in unanticipated and significant delays in the release of new products, services or enhancements. Further, it is possible that these regulatory agencies may become more active in regulating software and medical devices that are used in healthcare. If we are unable to obtain the required regulatory approvals for any such products and services, our business plans for these products could be delayed or canceled.

The laws governing electronic health data transmissions continue to evolve and are often unclear and difficult to apply. If we or our customers do not maintain the security and privacy of patient records, we may become subject to sanctions by various government entities.

Federal, state, local and foreign laws regulate the confidentiality of patient records and the circumstances under which those records may be released. These regulations govern both the disclosure and use of confidential patient medical record information and require the users of such information to implement specified security and privacy measures. United States regulations currently in place governing electronic health data transmissions continue to evolve and are often unclear and difficult to apply. Laws in non-U.S. jurisdictions may have similar or even stricter requirements related to the treatment of patient information.

In the United States, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (“HIPAA”) regulations require national standards for some types of electronic health information transactions and the data elements used in those transactions, security standards to ensure the integrity and confidentiality of health information and standards to protect the privacy of individually identifiable health information. Covered entities under HIPAA, which include healthcare organizations such as our customers, are required to comply with the privacy standards, the transaction regulations and the security regulations. Moreover, the recently enacted Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (“HITECH”) provisions of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, and associated regulatory requirements, extend many of the HIPAA obligations, formerly imposed only upon covered entities, to business associates as well, which has created additional liability risks related to the privacy and security of individually identifiable health information.

Evolving HIPAA and HITECH-related laws or regulations in the U.S. and data privacy and security laws or regulations in non-U.S. jurisdictions could restrict the ability of our strategic partners to obtain, use or disseminate patient information. This could adversely affect demand for our products and services if they are not re-designed in a timely manner in order to meet the requirements of any new interpretations or regulations that seek to protect the privacy and security of patient data or enable our clients to execute new or modified healthcare transactions. We may need to expend additional capital, software development and other resources to modify our products and services to address these evolving data security and privacy issues.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

The price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Shares of our common stock have traded on The NASDAQ Capital Market as high as \$4.55 and as low as \$3.06 from April 1, 2013 through March 31, 2014. The trading price of our common stock may be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control, including:

- our quarterly or annual earnings or those of other companies in our industry;
- announcements by us or our competitors of significant contracts or acquisitions;
- changes in accounting standards, policies, guidance, interpretations or principles;
- general economic and stock market conditions, including disruptions in the world credit and equity markets;
- the failure of securities analysts to cover our common stock;
- future sales of our common stock; and

· the other factors described in these “Risk Factors.”

In recent years, the stock market in general has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. This volatility has had a significant impact on the market price of securities issued by many companies, including companies in our industry. The price of our common stock could fluctuate based upon factors that have little to do with our performance, and these fluctuations could materially reduce our stock price.

In the past, some companies, including companies in our industry, have had volatile market prices for their securities and have had securities class action suits filed against them. The filing of a lawsuit against us, regardless of the outcome, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, as it could result in substantial legal costs and a diversion of our management’s attention and resources.

Our actual operating results may differ significantly from guidance provided by our management.

Although it has not been our practice in the recent past to do so, we may from time to time release guidance in our quarterly earnings releases, quarterly earnings conference call, or otherwise, regarding our future performance that represent our management’s estimates as of the date of release. This guidance, which includes forward-looking statements, is based on projections prepared by our management. These projections are not prepared with a view toward compliance with published guidelines of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and neither our registered public accountants nor any other independent expert or outside party compiles or examines the projections and, accordingly, no such person expresses any opinion or any other form of assurance with respect thereto.

Projections are based upon a number of assumptions and estimates that, while presented with numerical specificity, are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control and are based upon specific assumptions with respect to future business decisions, some of which will change. We generally state possible outcomes as high and low ranges which are intended to provide a sensitivity analysis as variables are changed but are not intended to represent that actual results could not fall outside of the suggested ranges. The principal reason that we release guidance is to provide a basis for our management to discuss our business outlook with analysts and investors. We do not accept any responsibility for any projections or reports that may be published by analysts.

Guidance is necessarily speculative in nature, and it can be expected that some or all of the assumptions of the guidance furnished by us will not materialize or will vary significantly from actual results. Accordingly, our guidance is only an estimate of what management believes is realizable as of the date of release. Actual results will vary from our guidance and the variations may be material. In light of the foregoing, investors are urged not to rely upon, or otherwise consider, our guidance in making an investment decision in respect of our common stock. Any failure to successfully implement our operating strategy could result in the actual operating results being different from our guidance, and such differences may be adverse and material.

Provisions of Delaware law and our organizational documents may discourage takeovers and business combinations that our stockholders may consider in their best interests, which could negatively affect our stock price.

Provisions of Delaware law and our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of our Company or deterring tender offers for our common stock that other stockholders may consider in their best interests. In addition, our board of directors has adopted a shareholder rights plan, or “poison pill,” which has the effect of making it more difficult for a person to acquire control of our Company in a transaction not approved by our board of directors.

Our certificate of incorporation authorizes us to issue up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more different series with terms to be fixed by our board of directors. Stockholder approval is not necessary to issue preferred stock in this manner. Issuance of these shares of preferred stock could have the effect of making it more difficult and more expensive for a person or group to acquire control of us, and could effectively be used as an anti-takeover device. Currently there are no shares of our preferred stock issued or outstanding.

Our bylaws provide for an advance notice procedure for stockholders to nominate director candidates for election or to bring business before an annual meeting of stockholders, including proposed nominations of persons for election to our board of directors, and require that special meetings of stockholders be called only by our chairman of the board, chief executive officer, president or the board pursuant to a resolution adopted by a majority of the board.

The anti-takeover provisions of Delaware law and provisions in our organizational documents may prevent our stockholders from receiving the benefit from any premium to the market price of our common stock offered by a bidder in a takeover context. Even in the absence of a takeover attempt, the existence of these provisions may adversely affect the prevailing market price of our common stock if they are viewed as discouraging takeover attempts in the future.

As a public company, we incur significant administrative workload and expenses.

As a public company with common stock listed on The NASDAQ Capital Market, we must comply with various laws, regulations and requirements, including certain provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as well as rules implemented by the SEC and The NASDAQ Stock Market. Complying with these statutes, regulations and requirements, including our public company reporting requirements, continues to occupy a significant amount of the time of our board of directors and management and involves significant accounting, legal and other expenses. We have hired, and anticipate that we will continue to hire, additional accounting personnel to handle these responsibilities, which will increase our operating costs. Furthermore, these laws, regulations and requirements could make it more difficult or more costly for us to obtain certain types of insurance, including director and officer liability insurance, and we may be forced to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. The impact of these requirements could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified persons to serve on our board of directors, our board committees or as executive officers.

New laws and regulations as well as changes to existing laws and regulations affecting public companies, including the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and rules adopted by the SEC and by The NASDAQ Stock Market, would likely result in increased costs to us as we respond to their requirements. We are investing resources to comply with evolving laws and regulations, and this investment may result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management's time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities.

We do not currently intend to pay dividends on our common stock and, consequently, your ability to achieve a return on your investment will depend on appreciation in the price of our common stock.

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not currently intend to do so for the foreseeable future. We currently intend to invest our future earnings, if any, to fund our growth. Therefore, you are not likely to receive any dividends on your investment in our common stock for the foreseeable future and the success of an investment in shares of our common stock will depend upon any future appreciation in its value. Shares of our common stock may depreciate in value or may not appreciate in value.

The concentration of ownership among our existing directors, executive officers and principal stockholders provide them, collectively, with substantial control over us, which could limit your ability to influence the outcome of key transactions, including a change of control.

Our directors, executive officers and each of our stockholders who own greater than 5% of our outstanding common stock and their affiliates, in the aggregate, own or have rights to own approximately 20% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, based on the number of shares outstanding as of March 31, 2014. As a result, these stockholders, if acting together, will be able to influence or control matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including the election of directors and the approval of mergers, acquisitions or other extraordinary transactions. They may also have interests that differ from yours and may vote in a way with which you disagree and which may be adverse to your interests. This concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying, preventing or deterring a change of control of our Company, could deprive our stockholders of an opportunity to receive a premium for their common stock as part of a sale of our Company and might ultimately affect the market price of our common stock.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments*

None.

Item 2. *Properties*

As of September 1, 2012, we maintain our headquarters, encompassing our executive office and storage areas in San Francisco, California. We have a primary lease for office space, consisting of 2,614 square feet, which expires August 31, 2017. Prior to moving to San Francisco, we were located in Petaluma, California. We had a primary lease for office space, consisting of 2,187 square feet, which expired August 31, 2012. We rent storage/workspace areas on a monthly basis. We own all of the equipment used in our facilities. Such equipment consists primarily of computer related assets.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

As of March 31, 2014, we had no pending material legal proceedings. From time to time, we are involved in legal proceedings in the normal course of business and do not expect them to have a material adverse effect on our business.

Item 4. *Mine Safety Disclosures*

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is currently traded on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol CLRX. The following table sets forth the range of high and low closing prices for our common stock for each quarter during the prior two fiscal years after giving effect to a 1-for-5 reverse stock split effected by the Company on June 15, 2011.

	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>
FISCAL YEAR 2013		
First Quarter	\$ 3.80	\$ 3.10
Second Quarter	5.18	3.01
Third Quarter	5.23	3.43
Fourth Quarter	4.00	3.07
FISCAL YEAR 2014		
First Quarter	\$ 3.87	\$ 3.06
Second Quarter	4.49	3.15
Third Quarter	4.55	3.76
Fourth Quarter	4.02	3.06

The approximate number of holders on record of our common stock as of March 31, 2014 was 51. We have not paid any cash dividends since our inception and do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

The following table sets forth the number and weighted-average exercise price of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options and restricted stock awards, and the number of securities remaining available for future issuance under all of our equity compensation plans, at March 31, 2014:

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options and restricted stock awards	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column(a))
	<u>(a)</u>	<u>(b)</u>	<u>(c)</u>
Equity compensation Plans approved by security holders:			
1998 Equity Participation Plan	12,219	\$ 35.55	-
2007 Equity Participation Plan	334,363	\$ 5.87	148,428
Directors Stock Option Plan	11,227	\$ 32.38	-
Inducement Plan	143,000	\$ 3.69	-
Total	500,809		148,428

The following table sets forth the number and weighted-average exercise price of the warrants outstanding:

	<u>Year Ended March 31,</u>	
	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding warrants	-	8,348
Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding warrants	\$ -	\$ 30.00

[Table of Contents](#)

The shares amounts and share prices reflect a 1-for-5 reverse stock split effected by the Company on June 15, 2011.

Unregistered sales of equity securities and use of proceeds

None.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

	Year Ended March 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands, except per share data)				
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:					
Revenue	\$ 658	\$ 400	\$ 100	\$ 16	\$ -
Gross profit	500	344	100	16	-
Income tax benefit	(79)	(83)	-	-	-
Loss from continuing operations	(3,469)	(3,973)	(4,543)	(1,709)	(2,190)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	155	45	3,114	(1,421)	(16,279)
Net loss	\$ (3,314)	\$ (3,928)	\$ (1,429)	\$ (3,130)	\$ (18,469)
Net loss per share - continuing operations:					
Basic and diluted	\$ (1.77)	\$ (2.14)	\$ (2.69)	\$ (1.01)	\$ (1.30)
Net income (loss) per share - discontinued operations:					
Basic and diluted	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.02	\$ 1.84	\$ (0.84)	\$ (9.66)
Net loss per share:					
Basic and diluted	\$ (1.69)	\$ (2.12)	\$ (0.85)	\$ (1.85)	\$ (10.96)
Weighted average shares used in per share computation:					
Basic and diluted	1,965	1,856	1,689	1,689	1,685

	March 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands, except per share data)				
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,430	\$ 4,039	\$ 7,820	\$ 7,575	\$ 7,298
Working capital	\$ 1,933	\$ 4,209	\$ 7,712	\$ 7,252	\$ 9,859
Total assets	\$ 4,315	\$ 6,982	\$ 8,662	\$ 11,201	\$ 16,303
Stockholders' equity	\$ 2,925	\$ 5,704	\$ 8,080	\$ 9,409	\$ 11,937

The weighted-average number of shares and the (loss) income per share reflect a 1-for-5 reverse stock split effected by the Company on June 15, 2011.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

All dollar amounts are in thousands unless specified otherwise.

The Company

Corporate Information

CollabRx, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("CollabRx," the "Company" or "we," "us," and "our"), is the formerly named Tegal Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Tegal"), which acquired a private company of the same name on July 12, 2012. Following approval by its stockholders on September 25, 2012, Tegal amended its charter and changed its name to "CollabRx, Inc." (the "Name Change"). Tegal was formed in December 1989 to acquire the operations of the former Tegal Corporation, a division of Motorola, Inc. Tegal's predecessor company was founded in 1972 and acquired by Motorola, Inc. in 1978. Tegal completed its initial public offering in October 1995. Our principal executive offices are located at 44 Montgomery St., Suite 800, San Francisco, California 94104 and our telephone number is (415) 248-5350. Our Common Stock trades on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol "CLR.X."

Company Background

CollabRx (f/k/a Tegal) was formed in December 1989 to acquire the operations of the former Tegal Corporation, a division of Motorola, Inc. Until recently, we designed, manufactured, marketed and serviced specialized systems used primarily in the production of semiconductors and micro-electrical mechanical devices, including integrated circuits, memory devices, sensors, accelerometers and power devices. Beginning in late 2009, we experienced a sharp decline in revenues resulting from the collapse of the semiconductor capital equipment market and the global financial crisis. In a series of transactions from 2010 to 2012, we sold the majority of our operating assets and intellectual property portfolio. During the same time period, our Board of Directors evaluated a number of strategic alternatives, which included the continued operation of the Company as a stand-alone business with a different business plan, a merger with or into another company, a sale of the Company's remaining assets, and the liquidation or dissolution of the Company. We investigated opportunities within and outside the semiconductor capital equipment industry and evaluated a number of transactions involving other diversified technology-based companies. Throughout this process, we developed and refined our criteria for a business combination, with an eventual focus on the healthcare industry, and specifically information technology and services within the healthcare industry. In July 2012, we completed our acquisition of CollabRx (the "CollabRx Transaction"). Following approval by our stockholders on September 25, 2012, we amended our charter and changed our name to "CollabRx, Inc." (the "Name Change").

Overview of our Current Business

CollabRx, Inc. is a development stage company just entering the commercialization phase of our business. We are focused on developing and delivering content-rich knowledge-based products and services that inform healthcare decision-making, with an emphasis on genomics-based "precision" medicine and big data analytics. Our proprietary content is organized in a knowledge base that expresses the relationship between genetic profiles and therapy considerations including molecular diagnostics, medical tests, clinical trials, drugs, biologics, and other information relevant for cancer treatment planning. We have developed a method for capturing how practicing physicians use this information in the clinical setting, by incorporating within the knowledge base the views of a large network of independent key opinion leaders in medicine and medical research.

We currently deliver our proprietary content to users via web-based applications and services in the "cloud," serving physicians and their patients in two settings: (i) at the point-of-care in the clinic and (ii) indirectly, as a part of a genetic test report provided to an ordering physician by a diagnostic testing laboratory, (i.e., the "lab"). Portions of our web-based applications are currently available free to physicians and patients through commercial on-line media partners. The content that we offer to laboratories is based on a "Software as a Service" or SaaS business model, in which our content is provided on a one-time, subscription or per test basis.

Our Therapy Finders™ are a series of cancer-specific, web-based apps which are accessed by physicians and patients, usually in the physician's office. After indicating a number of pre-set options related to stage of cancer, histopathology, prior treatments and presence of biomarkers on an input page, the physician is presented with a results page which explains the role of the biomarker, identifies a possible therapeutic strategy for that particular set of inputs, along with tabs associated with searchable lists of relevant drugs and clinical trials. Therapy Finders are an interactive, informational and educational resource for both physicians and patients, and can be used for decision-support. Therapy Finders do not provide the level of detailed information on specific test results that are available from the GVA. Therapy Finders are offered free of charge and the advisors associated with the development and updating of each app are prominently featured. The development and distribution of Therapy Finders is partially supported by sponsorships and advertising revenue. Our aim is to make this tool available as widely as possible, through as many channels as possible, to help community physicians understand the relevance of biomarker testing and the availability of potential therapies for their advanced stage cancer patients.

Our Therapy Finders™ products are available on both our website and on the MedPage Today website. There is no material difference between the Therapy Finders presented on both websites. The only differences are cosmetic, such as background color and placement of individual frames. Initially, the language used in our Therapy Finders presented on our website was geared toward patients. When the opportunity arose in connection with MedPage Today, we modified the language to be more physician-friendly and indicated this by appending "Professional" to the title "Therapy Finder." In order to avoid confusion, we replaced the patient oriented version on our website with the professional version that is distributed through MedPage Today. At the same time, we also undertook to revise the other Therapy Finders appearing on our website, but not yet distributed through MedPage today, to the professional (physician-friendly) version, and labeled them as such. Nevertheless, we anticipate offering both professional and patient oriented versions of our Therapy Finders in the future.

The systems and approach that we have developed for knowledge aggregation, content creation and expert advisory management can be applied to many disease states, but we have chosen to focus initially on genomic medicine in cancer, which is sometimes referred to as "precision oncology." This is an area of tremendous activity and promise, where clinical research in genomics has given rise to scores of "targeted" therapies that have proven in many cases to prolong the lives of cancer patients. We believe that oncology is also the area of greatest need, where physicians and patients lack convenient access to clear and easy-to-understand information about which drugs, tests and clinical trials should be considered in constructing a cancer treatment plan based on the genetic profile of a tumor. Our overall vision is that we are at the dawn of an era of explosive growth of data and information generated at the molecular level that must be interpreted and contextualized into knowledge before it can be used effectively by either physicians or patients. We regard this knowledge as being the most valuable portion of the molecular diagnostic process and we believe that all sectors of the healthcare industry, including providers, insurers, drug developers and patients are potential users of this knowledge. We aim to deliver our proprietary interpretive content as quickly as possible and in as many usable forms as possible, via the Internet.

We do not believe that any of our current or planned products are subject to regulation by the United States Food and Drug Administration (the “FDA”) and other regulatory authorities worldwide. Changes in the level of regulation, including an increase in regulatory requirements, could subject us to regulatory approvals and delays in launching our products. Modifying our products to comply with changes in regulations or regulatory guidance could require us to incur substantial costs. Further, changing regulatory requirements may render our products obsolete or make new products or product enhancements more costly or time consuming than we currently anticipate. Failure by us or our customers to comply with applicable regulations could result in increased regulatory scrutiny and enforcement. If our products fail to comply with government regulations or guidelines, we could incur significant liability or be forced to cease offering our applicable products or services.

In both domestic and foreign markets, sales by our customers may depend, in large part, upon the availability of reimbursement from third-party payers. These third-party payers include government healthcare programs such as Medicare, managed care providers, private health insurers, and other organizations. Physicians and patients may not order genomic test services or products from our customers unless commercial third-party payers and government payers pay for all, or a substantial portion, of the list price, and certain commercial third-party payers may not agree to reimburse our customers if Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services (“CMS”) does not issue a positive coverage decision. There is currently no national coverage decision that determines whether and how certain genomic tests are covered by Medicare. In the absence of a national coverage decision, local Medicare contractors that administer the Medicare program in various regions have some discretion in determining coverage and therefore payment for such tests. Accordingly, if our customers do not receive full or partial reimbursement for their tests, our revenue and results of operations could be adversely effected.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared using the going concern basis, which assumes that we will be able to realize our assets and discharge our liabilities in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future. The consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with GAAP.

Background Information on Certain Significant Transactions

The CollabRx Merger

On July 12, 2012, we completed the acquisition of CollabRx (the “Merger”), pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of June 29, 2012 (the “Merger Agreement”). As a result of the Merger, CollabRx became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. In consideration for 100% of the stock of CollabRx, we agreed to issue an aggregate of 236,433 shares of common stock, representing approximately 14% of the Company’s total shares outstanding prior to the closing, to former CollabRx stockholders. As of July 12, 2012, these shares had a fair value of \$932. We also assumed \$500 of existing CollabRx indebtedness through the issuance of promissory notes. The principal amount of the promissory notes is payable in equal installments on the third, fourth and fifth anniversaries of the closing date of the Merger, along with the accrued but unpaid interest as of such dates. Prior to the closing of the merger, we provided \$300 of bridge financing to CollabRx. After the completion of the Merger, this loan was reclassified to be included as part of the purchase price, and the loan was thereby extinguished. In addition, in connection with the Merger, we granted a total of 368,417 RSUs and options as “inducement grants” to newly hired management and employees, all subject to four-year vesting and other restrictions. In December 2012, an aggregate of 215,475 RSUs were forfeited in connection with the resignation of James Karis as our Co-Chief Executive Officer.

On July 12, 2012, in connection with the acquisition of CollabRx, pursuant to the Merger Agreement, dated June 29, 2012, we entered into an Agreement Not to Compete with Jay M. Tenenbaum (the “Noncompete”), pursuant to which Mr. Tenenbaum agreed to refrain from competing with the Company on the terms set forth therein for a period of three years commencing on July 12, 2012.

Also on July 12, 2012, we entered into a Stockholders Agreement (the “Stockholders Agreement”) with the former stockholders of CollabRx. Pursuant to the Stockholders Agreement, (i) the Company agreed to provide certain registration rights to the stockholders and (ii) the stockholders agreed to certain transfer restrictions and voting provisions for a period of two years.

In connection with the Merger Agreement and the Employment Agreement dated as of June 29, 2012 by and among the Company and James Karis, on July 12, 2012, Mr. Karis, the former Chief Executive Officer of CollabRx, was appointed the Co-Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company. In addition, pursuant to the Indemnity Agreement dated as of July 12, 2012 by and between the Company and James Karis (the “Indemnity Agreement”), Mr. Karis was granted customary indemnification rights in connection with his position as an officer and director of the Company. On December 7, 2012, CollabRx and James M. Karis entered into an Amendment No. 1 (the “Employment Agreement Amendment”) to the Employment Agreement dated June 29, 2012 between the Company and Mr. Karis (the “Employment Agreement”). Pursuant to the Employment Agreement Amendment, Mr. Karis resigned as Co-Chief Executive Officer of the Company effective December 31, 2012 (the “Termination Date”) but will continue to serve as a director of the Company and provide consulting services to the Company from time to time after the Termination Date. In addition, the Company waived its entitlement to recoup from Mr. Karis his signing bonus and Mr. Karis agreed to amend his Restricted Stock Unit (“RSU”) Agreement to terminate vesting as of the Termination Date. We and Mr. Karis also agreed to a mutual release of claims.

The purchase price for the CollabRx acquisition was allocated as follows:

PURCHASE PRICE ALLOCATION FOR ACQUISITION OF COLLABRX

Assets acquired:

Developed Technology	\$	720
Customer Relationships		433
Trade Name		346
Non Compete Agreement		151
Cash		476
AP and accruals		(333)
Deferred tax liability		(664)
Goodwill		603
Total Acquired Assets, net	\$	<u>1,732</u>

Purchase Price summary:

Common Stock Consideration	\$	932
Promissory Note Assumed		500
Loan/Note Payable Assumed		300
	\$	<u>1,732</u>

We recognized \$83 in tax benefit in the year ended March 31, 2013 regarding the deferred tax liability related to this acquisition. In the year ended March 31, 2014, we recognized \$81 in tax benefit regarding the deferred tax liability related to this acquisition.

Discontinued Operations

Until 2011, we designed, manufactured, marketed and serviced specialized plasma etch systems used primarily in the production of micro-electrical mechanical systems devices, such as sensors, accelerometers and power devices. Previously, we also sold systems for the etching and deposition of materials found in other devices, such as integrated circuits and optoelectronic devices found in products such as smart phones, networking gear, solid-state lighting, and digital imaging.

In a series of meetings in late May and early June 2009, our Board of Directors reviewed several basic strategic options presented by management, including investigating opportunities for the sale of the Company or its assets. In December 2009, having received no bona fide offers for the Company as a going concern, the Board and management agreed to continue operations and to offer selected asset groups to potential buyers.

On March 19, 2010, we completed the sale of the legacy Etch and PVD assets to OEM Group, Inc. We discontinued our development efforts in nano-layer deposition (“NLD”) at the end of fiscal 2010, and began offering these assets for sale to third-parties. At the same time, we began the process of closing and/or liquidating all of our other wholly-owned subsidiary companies, including SFI and Tegal GmbH, along with branches in Taiwan, Korea and Italy. The subsidiaries were then included in discontinued operations.

On February 9, 2011, the Company and SPP Process Technology Systems Limited, (“SPTS”) entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement. That agreement included the sale of all of the shares of Tegal France, SAS, the Company’s wholly-owned subsidiary and product lines and certain equipment, intellectual property and other assets relating to the Deep Reactive Ion Etch (“DRIE”) systems and certain related technology. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the DRIE business operations related to the designing, manufacturing, marketing and servicing of systems and parts within the semiconductor industry was presented in discontinued operations in our consolidated financial statements. Amounts for the prior periods were reclassified to conform to this presentation. The exit from the DRIE operation was essentially completed by the end of the fourth quarter of our 2011 fiscal year.

The assets and liabilities of discontinued operations are presented separately under the captions “Assets of discontinued operations” and “Liabilities of discontinued operations,” respectively, in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and consist of the following:

	<u>March 31,</u> <u>2014</u>	<u>March 31,</u> <u>2013</u>
Assets of Discontinued Operations:		
Accounts and other receivables, net of allowances for sales returns and doubtful accounts of \$0	\$ -	\$ 4
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	-	7
Total assets of discontinued operations	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 11</u>
Liabilities of Discontinued Operations:		
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$ 5	\$ 16
Total liabilities of discontinued operations	<u>\$ 5</u>	<u>\$ 16</u>

Discontinued operations consists of interest income from accounts related to discontinued operations, other income, gains and losses on the disposal of fixed assets of discontinued operations, gains and losses on foreign exchange, as well as the reclassification of net expenses associated with our exit from our historical core operations.

As of March 31, 2014, discontinued assets were eliminated with the final closure of the Company's foreign subsidiary. Discontinued liabilities are related to outstanding commission due. This commission is to be paid when the final documentation of the sale of the last two lots of patents is fulfilled. As of March 31, 2013 discontinued assets and liabilities are solely related to a foreign subsidiary.

On May 7, 2012, the Company received a VAT refund related to discontinued operations in its former French subsidiary in the amount of 312 Euros. As of March 31, 2012, this amount was recognized in other assets of discontinued operations. The settlement of this outstanding amount due is classified as a reduction of assets of discontinued operations. The related foreign exchange gain was classified as a gain on the sale of discontinued operations in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013.

During fiscal 2014, we recognized \$365 from the sale of the last of our NLD patents. As these assets were internally developed, there was a corresponding zero book value. The NLD revenue was offset by related expenses of \$98, resulting in a net gain, net of taxes, of \$267. With this sale, the Company has no other intellectual property related to discontinued operations. Discontinued operations also included expenses related to the final closing of former foreign subsidiaries. In the three months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recognized a reclassification out of accumulated other comprehensive loss and into Loss from Discontinued Operations, net of taxes. The reclassification is related to the recognition of a non-cash loss of \$142 from the of foreign exchange differences from its former Tegal foreign subsidiaries, primarily as a result of the final closing of the former Tegal German subsidiary. The Company received permission to close the German subsidiary in June 2013. No further audits or reviews are anticipated by foreign taxing authorities. The Company also recognized a cash gain of \$20 in discontinued operations as a result of final closing of bank accounts in its Italian subsidiary and a federal tax refund regarding discontinued operations, and a net \$4 non cash gain related to the write off of discontinued assets and liabilities in its foreign subsidiaries. An \$8 tax refund from Sonoma county related to an unsecured property tax refund for 2010/2011 was also recognized in discontinued operations.

In fiscal 2013, discontinued operations included a gain resulting from the net settlement of legal expenses related to closing a foreign subsidiary (for which a higher amount of legal expense had been accrued in the prior fiscal year), offset by R&D expenses included in discontinued operations.

Total revenue from discontinued operations for fiscal years 2014 and 2013 was \$0. The total net income from discontinued operations, including income tax expense (benefit), was \$155 and \$45, for the same years, respectively.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, bad debts, intangible and long lived assets, restructure expenses, deferred taxes and freight charged to customers. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We prepare the consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (“GAAP”) which requires management to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and related footnotes. Accounting and disclosure decisions with respect to material transactions that are subject to significant management judgment or estimates include but are not limited to revenue recognition, accounting for stock-based compensation, accounts for receivables and allowance for doubtful accounts and impairment of long-lived assets. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are required for management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions giving due consideration to materiality, in certain circumstances that affect amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements, and potentially result in materially different results under different conditions and assumptions. We based these estimates and assumptions on historical experience and evaluate them on an on-going basis to help ensure they remain reasonable under current conditions. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

We believe the following critical accounting policies are the most significant to the presentation of our consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition

Each contract sale of our interpretive data is evaluated individually in regard to revenue recognition. We had integrated in our evaluation the related guidance included in Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 605, “Revenue Recognition”. We recognized revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the seller’s price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured.

For arrangements that include multiple deliverables, we identify separate units of accounting based on the guidance under ASC 605-25, “Multiple Element Arrangements”, which provides that revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables should be divided into separate units of accounting, if certain criteria are met. The consideration of the arrangement is allocated to the separate units of accounting using the relative selling price method. Applicable revenue recognition criteria are considered separately for each separate unit of accounting.

Revenue from fixed price contracts is recognized primarily under the percentage of completion method. Under this method we recognize estimated contract revenue and resulting income based on costs incurred to date as a percentage of the total estimated costs as we consider this model to best reflect the economics of these contracts. In such contracts, the Company’s efforts, measured by time incurred, typically represents the contractual milestones or output measure.

Accounts Receivable – Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

For fiscal years 2014 and 2013, the Company had zero reserves for potential credit losses as such risk was determined to be immaterial. The Company does not currently maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts receivable for potential estimated losses resulting from the inability of the Company’s customers to make required payments. The Company believes no such reserve is currently required. The Company had zero write-offs during fiscal years 2014 and 2013. The Company reviews the estimated risk of current customers’ inability to make payments on a quarterly basis to determine if any amount is uncollectible.

As of March 31, 2014, the balance in accounts receivable was \$148. As of March 31, 2013, the balance in accounts receivable was \$250.

As of March 31, 2014, four customers accounted for 100% of our accounts receivable balance. One customer accounted for 100% of the accounts receivable balance as of March 31, 2013.

Fair Value Measurements

We define fair value as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be recorded at fair value, we consider the principal or most advantageous market in which we would transact and we consider what assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, such as inherent risk, transfer restrictions, and risk of nonperformance. The fair value hierarchy distinguishes between (1) market participant assumptions developed based on market data obtained from independent sources (observable inputs) and (2) an entity’s own assumptions about market participant assumptions developed based on the best information available in the circumstances (unobservable inputs). The fair value hierarchy consists of three broad levels, which gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

- Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for assets or liabilities.

- Level 2: Directly or indirectly observable inputs as of the reporting date through correlation with market data, including quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and quoted prices in markets that are not active. Level 2 also includes assets and liabilities that are valued using models or other pricing methodologies that do not require significant judgment since the input assumptions used in the models, such as interest rates and volatility factors, are corroborated by readily observable data from actively quoted markets for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and reflect the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management's estimates of market participant assumptions.

In determining fair value, we utilize valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible, as well as consider counterparty credit risk in its assessment of fair value.

Our financial instruments consist primarily of money market funds. At March 31, 2014, all of our current assets in financial instruments investments were classified as cash equivalents in the consolidated balance sheet. The investment portfolio at March 31, 2014 was comprised of money market funds. Our cash equivalents total \$1,430. The carrying amounts of our cash equivalents are valued using Level 1 inputs. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model as its method of valuation for warrants that are subject warrant liability accounting. The determination of the fair value as of the reporting date is affected by the Company's stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables which could provide differing variables. These variables include, but are not limited to, expected stock price volatility over the term of the security and risk free interest rate. In addition, the Black-Scholes option pricing model requires the input of an expected life for the securities for which we have estimated based upon the stage of the Company's development. The fair value of the warrant liability is revalued each balance sheet date utilizing the Black-Scholes option pricing model computations with the decrease or increase in the fair value being reported in the Consolidated Statement of Operations as other income, net. A significant increase (decrease) of any of the subjective variables independent of other changes would result in a correlated increase (decrease) in the liability and an inverse effect on net income. We also had warrant liabilities which are valued using Level 3 inputs.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company's warrant liability has been extinguished, and the Company has no other financial instruments subject to using Level 3 inputs.

The change in the fair value of warrants is as follows:

	Year Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$ 10	\$ 19
Change in fair value recorded in earnings, including expirations	(10)	(9)
Balance at the end of the period	\$ -	10

Identified Intangible Assets

Intangibles include patents and trademarks that are amortized on a straight-line basis over periods ranging from 3 years to 10 years. We perform an ongoing review of our identified intangible assets to determine if facts and circumstances exist that indicate the useful life is shorter than originally estimated or the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If such facts and circumstances exist, we assess the recoverability of identified intangible assets by comparing the projected undiscounted net cash flow associated with the related asset or group of assets over their remaining lives against their respective carrying amounts. Impairment, if any, is based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of those assets.

Intangible assets, except for trade names, are amortized on a straight-line basis. Intangible assets related to trade names are not amortized. The Company tests for impairment at least annually. The amortization expense included in cost of revenue is related to the acquired software and is amortized on a straight line basis over the expected life of the asset, which the Company believes to be ten years.

No impairment charges for intangible assets were recorded for the fiscal years ended 2014 and 2013. Prior to the acquisition of CollabRx, all of our historical intangible assets, other than those related to NLD and Compact, were included in the asset sale of the DRIE product line to SPTS. NLD is a process technology that bridges the gap between high throughput, non-conformal chemical vapor deposition ("CVD") and highly conformal, low throughput atomic layer deposition ("ALD"). The portfolio included over 35 U.S. and international patents in the areas of pulsed-CVD, plasma-enhanced ALD, and NLD.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable as well as at fiscal year end. If undiscounted expected future cash flows are less than the carrying value of the assets, an impairment loss is recognized based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets. No impairment charges for intangible assets were recorded for the fiscal years ended 2014 and 2013, respectively, since all of our historical intangible assets, other than those related to NLD and Compact, were included in the asset sale of the DRIE product line to SPTS. As our NLD patents and intellectual property were all internally developed (except for those acquired in connection with the Simplus acquisition, which were subsequently written-off) the value of our NLD technology had no recorded value prior to sale.

Long-lived assets also consist of property and equipment. We recorded disposal losses of \$0 and \$17 for property and equipment for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. In fiscal year 2013, we disposed of certain assets in connection with the relocation of our main offices from Petaluma, CA to San Francisco, CA in September 2012.

Deferred Taxes

We record a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. Based on the uncertainty of future taxable income, we have fully reserved our deferred tax assets as of March 31, 2014 and 2013. In the event we were to determine that we would be able to realize our deferred tax assets in the future, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would increase income in the period such determination was made.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

We have adopted several stock plans that provide for issuance of equity instruments to our employees and non-employee directors. Our plans include incentive and non-statutory stock options and restricted stock awards. These equity awards generally vest ratably over a four-year period on the anniversary date of the grant, and stock options expire ten years after the grant date. Certain restricted stock awards may vest on the achievement of specific performance targets. We also have an Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") that allows qualified employees to purchase shares of common stock at 85% of the fair market value on specified dates. The estimates and judgments used in calculating stock-based compensation include the use of expected volatility, forfeiture and interest risk free rates, the expected term of options and the use of the Black-Scholes pricing model. The Company does not pay dividends.

Reclassification

Certain prior year operating expense amounts were reclassified, still within operating expenses, to conform to the current year presentation.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth certain financial items for the years indicated:

	Year Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
Revenue	\$ 658	\$ 300
Revenue - related party	--	100
Total revenue	658	400
Cost of revenue	158	56
Gross profit	500	344
Operating expenses:		
Engineering	1,714	667
Research and development	284	536
Sales and marketing	271	257
General and administrative	1,819	2,979
Total operating expenses	4,088	4,439
Operating loss	(3,588)	(4,095)
Other income, net	40	39
Loss before income tax benefit	(3,548)	(4,056)
Income tax benefit	(79)	(83)
Loss from continuing operations	(3,469)	(3,973)
Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of taxes	267	--
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of taxes	(112)	45
Net income from discontinued operations, net of taxes	155	45
Net loss	\$ (3,314)	\$ (3,928)
Net loss per share from continuing operations:		
Basic and diluted	\$ (1.77)	\$ (2.14)
Net income per share from discontinued operations:		
Basic and diluted	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.02
Net loss per share:		
Basic and diluted	\$ (1.69)	\$ (2.12)
Weighted-average shares used in per share computation:		
Basic and diluted	1,965	1,856

The weighted-average number of shares and the (loss) income per share reflect a 1-for-5 reverse stock split effected by the Company on June 15, 2011.

Years Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013

Revenue

Immediately prior to the acquisition of CollabRx, our sole source of revenue was from management activities related to Sequel Power. Sequel Power was a related party. As of March 31, 2013, we terminated our management services contract with Sequel Power and swapped outstanding warrants for the related outstanding accounts receivable balance and our interest in Sequel Power. We are no longer involved in supporting the activities of Sequel Power through our direct management efforts.

Revenue for fiscal year 2014 increased by \$258 compared to fiscal year 2013. The increase relates to our acquisition of CollabRx and the generation of revenue in connection with commercial agreements.

As a percentage of total revenue for both the fiscal years 2014 and 2013, international sales were 0%. We expect our international sales will account for a significant portion of future revenue once our commercialization activities become more widely accepted.

In 2011, Pfizer made a one-time grant of \$250 thousand to the Cancer Commons initiative, which contracted with CollabRx to develop and publish a molecular disease model for lung cancer in that same year. CollabRx received \$150 thousand for this effort. Pfizer gave no material grants to CollabRx, nor did it invest any capital in CollabRx, and CollabRx has no continuing obligations to either Cancer Commons or Pfizer.

Gross Profit

Gross profit for the year ended March 31, 2014 increased \$156 from our gross profit of \$344 for the year ended March 31, 2013. The increase in our gross profit for the year ended March 31, 2014 was generated by the continuing initial commercialization activities of CollabRx represented by agreements with Life Technologies, Inc. and Everyday Health, Inc. and new customers.

Our gross profit percentage for the year ended March 31, 2014 was 76% and primarily reflects the amortization of our product specific software, which was included in the CollabRx merger. Our gross margin for the year ended March 31, 2013 was 86%, as 25% of revenues in that period were management services revenues and no costs were incurred to record this revenue.

At the present time our core operations consist of the development and commercial application of the CollabRx technology and content. We offer cloud-based expert systems that provide clinically relevant interpretive knowledge to institutions, physicians, researchers and patients for genomics-based medicine in cancer.

As of March 31, 2013, we terminated our management services contract with Sequel Power and swapped outstanding warrants for the related outstanding accounts receivable balance and our interest in Sequel Power. We are no longer involved in supporting the activities of Sequel Power through our direct management efforts.

Sequel Power generated \$0 and \$100 in revenues for the Company in fiscal years 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Engineering

Following the acquisition of CollabRx, engineering expenses consist primarily of salaries. Our engineering expenses increased to \$1,714 in fiscal 2014 from \$667 in fiscal 2013, and resulted from the CollabRx acquisition and the employees retained for those operations. A portion of certain employee related engineering expenses are re-categorized from engineering to research and development. (See "Research and Development" below.) Following the acquisition of CollabRx, engineering expenses consist primarily of salaries. The increase in Engineering expenses in fiscal year 2014 compared to fiscal year 2013 reflected compensation paid to scientists and engineers that became our employees in connection with the CollabRx acquisition, effective July 12, 2012, as well as additional hires since the acquisition date. The increase was due primarily to salary and stock compensation expense as the Company had only three quarters of Engineering expenses in fiscal year 2013 compared to four quarters of Engineering expenses in fiscal year 2014. In addition the change reflects increases in recruiting, rent and subscription expenses.

Prior to the CollabRx acquisition, the Company had exited from our core historical DRIE operations. We define "engineering" as those development activities that are related to products, content or services which have been offered for sale or for which we have been paid. We define "R&D" as those development activities which are not related to products which have been offered for sale or for which we have been paid.

Research and Development

The expenses related to research and development ("R&D") resulted from the change in categorization of certain employee related expenses from Engineering to R&D. The efforts of our engineering group include supporting existing product offerings as well as developing future product offerings. We have segregated these expenses and reported expenses that make up the total R&D expenses for fiscal years 2014 and 2013, respectively.

We include all of those employees who work both on engineering activities and R&D activities in the headcount within Engineering and allocate the expense to R&D, as categorized above. The efforts of the engineering group include supporting existing product offerings as well as developing future product offerings. Consequently, such expenses are segregated. The decrease in R&D expenses of \$252 in fiscal year 2014 compared to fiscal year 2013 is related to the efforts of Engineering being directed to supporting new customer offerings.

As a result of the sale of the Company's DRIE related assets, and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the DRIE business operation, including related and ongoing minor R&D expenses, have all been reclassified to discontinued operations. For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, the Company's discontinued R&D expenses related to the NLD product line, the assets of which were held for sale and subsequently sold to third parties, were included in discontinued operations.

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2014, we had no employees dedicated to R&D. A former employee was responsible, on a contract basis, for managing the activities related to the sale of our intellectual property. The Company sold the last of its patents in the current fiscal year and has no other intellectual property related to discontinued operations.

Sales and Marketing

With the acquisition of CollabRx, our sales and marketing expenses increased and consist primarily of salaries. Our sales and marketing expenses increased to \$271 in fiscal 2014 from \$257 in fiscal 2013. The increase was due primarily to salary and stock compensation expense as the Company had only three quarters of sales and marketing expenses in Sales and Marketing in fiscal year 2013 compared to four quarters in fiscal year 2014. The increase in salary and stock compensation expense was offset by a decrease in outside services.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses consist of salaries, legal, accounting and related administrative services and expenses associated with general management, finance, information systems, human resources and investor relations activities. General and administrative expenses decreased to \$1,819 in fiscal year 2014 compared to \$2,979 for fiscal year 2013. The decrease was due primarily to the acquisition costs and cash bonuses for key employees paid in the prior year. Acquisition costs related to CollabRx included expenses for legal, accounting and consulting services.

Unconsolidated Affiliate

On March 31, 2013, Sequel Power irrevocably assigned and transferred unto the Company for cancellation the balance of its Warrants representing the right to purchase 44,578 shares of the Company's common stock. In exchange, the Company agreed to waive receivables related to certain fees earned under its Services Agreement with Sequel Partners and its 25% ownership interest in Sequel Power. In addition, effective March 31, 2013, the Company terminated its management services agreement with Sequel Power.

Other Income (Expense), net

Other income (expense), net consists of the change in fair value of the common stock warrant liability, the interest earned on our NanoVibronix investment, and the interest accrued on our note payable.

Discontinued Operations

Discontinued operations consists of interest income from accounts related to discontinued operations, gains and losses on the disposal of fixed assets of discontinued operations, and gains and losses on foreign exchange, as well as the reclassification of net expenses associated with our exit from our historical core operations.

During fiscal 2014, we recognized \$365 from the sale of the last of our NLD patents. As these assets were internally developed, there was a corresponding zero book value. The NLD revenue was offset by related expenses of \$98, resulting in a net gain, net of taxes, of \$267. With this sale, the Company has no other intellectual property related to discontinued operations. Discontinued operations also included expenses related to the final closing of former foreign subsidiaries. In the three months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recognized a reclassification out of accumulated other comprehensive loss and into Loss from Discontinued Operations, net of taxes. The reclassification is related to the recognition of a non-cash loss of \$142 from the of foreign exchange differences from its former Tegal foreign subsidiaries, primarily as a result of the final closing of the former Tegal German subsidiary. The Company received permission to close the German subsidiary in June 2013. No further audits or reviews are anticipated by foreign taxing authorities. The Company also recognized a cash gain of \$20 in discontinued operations as a result of final closing of bank accounts in its Italian subsidiary and a federal tax refund regarding discontinued operations, and a net \$4 non cash gain related to the write off of discontinued assets and liabilities in its foreign subsidiaries. An \$8 tax refund from Sonoma county related to an unsecured property tax refund for 2010/2011 was also recognized in discontinued operations.

In fiscal 2013, discontinued operations included a gain resulting from the net settlement of legal expenses related to closing a foreign subsidiary (for which a higher amount of legal expense had been accrued in the prior fiscal year), offset by R&D expenses included in discontinued operations.

Total revenue from discontinued operations for fiscal years 2014 and 2013 was \$0. The total income from discontinued operations, including income tax expense (benefit), was \$155 and \$45, for the same years, respectively.

The Company did not record any severance charges for either fiscal year 2014 or fiscal year 2013. We had no outstanding severance liability as of March 31, 2014.

Income Taxes

As a result of the stock purchase of CollabRx during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2013, we had no tax basis in the intangible assets acquired. During the year ended March 31, 2013, we recognized \$83 in tax benefit as a result of this difference. During the year ended March 31, 2014, we recognized \$81 in tax benefit as a result of this difference.

During the year ended March 31, 2014, there was no income tax expense or benefit for federal and state income taxes reflected in our consolidated statements of operations due to our net loss and a valuation allowance on the resulting deferred tax asset.

In fiscal 2014, our effective tax rate was (2%). In fiscal 2013 our effective tax rate was (2%). All deferred tax assets have been fully reserved.

As of March 31, 2014, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$121.5 million and \$65.2 million for federal and state tax purposes, respectively. The federal net operating loss carryforward will begin to expire in the year ending March 31, 2020 and the state of California net operating loss carryforward began to expire in the year ended March 31, 2013. As of March 31, 2014, the Company also had research and experimentation credit carryforwards of \$1.4 million and \$0.9 million for federal and state income tax purposes, respectively. A portion of the federal credit began to expire in the year ended March 31, 2012 and the state of California will never expire under current law. Net operating loss carryforwards and R&D credits can only offset 90% of state taxable income.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

For the years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, we financed our operations from existing cash on hand and the net proceeds from the sale of discontinued assets. Net cash used in operating activities during fiscal year 2014 was \$2,593. The primary changes in our cash flow statement for fiscal year 2014 were due to our net loss of \$3,314, partially offset by stock compensation expense, amortization expense, and the recognition of a non-cash loss of foreign exchange differences in the amount \$142 from former subsidiaries related to discontinued operations.

Net cash used in operating activities during fiscal year 2013, was \$3,838. The primary changes in our cash flow statement for fiscal 2013 were due to our acquisition of CollabRx, a net loss of \$3,928, and stock compensation expense, partially offset by a VAT refund related to the discontinued operations in our former French subsidiary in the amount of 312 Euros.

The Company's net loss decreased in fiscal 2014 compared to fiscal 2013 primarily due to the acquisition costs of the CollabRx acquisition in fiscal 2013.

Our operating activities during each of the two years represented the largest category of use of cash. During those years we were transitioning from the operations of a newly acquired business to supporting a more fully formed organization prepared to position itself in its new marketplace. The major difference between the two fiscal years was an increased need for cash for Engineering and R&D operations in comparison to the need for cash for G&A, following the merger. However, because of the merger, we have added revenue as a primary source of cash and liquidity going forward. Fiscal 2014 included net cash generated from the sale of the last two patents related to discontinued operations.

Net cash (used in)/generated by investing activities totaled (\$22) and \$57, in fiscal years 2014 and 2013, respectively. Cash used in fiscal 2014 was related to the acquisition of property and equipment, primarily computer equipment. Cash used in fiscal 2013 was primarily related to the acquisition of CollabRx.

Net cash provided by financing activities totaled \$6 and \$0, in fiscal years 2014 and 2013, respectively. Cash provided in fiscal 2014 was related to the sale of stock from an at market distribution plan (At Market Distribution Plan 2014) as a result of the Company's filing of an S-3 in its third quarter for the current fiscal year. The Company conducted no financing activities during fiscal year 2013.

The consolidated financial statements contemplate the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future. We incurred net losses of (\$3,314) and (\$3,928) for the fiscal years ended 2014 and 2013, respectively. We used cash flows from operations of (\$2,593) and (\$3,838) for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. We will need to raise additional capital in the immediate future.

CollabRx, Inc. will form the core of our business and operations going forward. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in pursuing our new strategic initiative in CollabRx. It is not possible to predict when our business and results of operations will improve. In consideration of these circumstances, the Company may be forced to consider a merger with or into another company or the liquidation or dissolution of the Company, including through a bankruptcy proceeding. If we were to liquidate or dissolve the Company through or outside of a bankruptcy proceeding, you could lose all of your investment in the Company's common stock.

Without additional capital, our recurring losses from operations raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern and, as a result, our independent registered public accounting firm included an explanatory paragraph in their report on our consolidated financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2014 with respect to this uncertainty. We may need to generate significant revenue or sell equity or debt securities to raise additional funds to continue to operate as a going concern beyond the first quarter of fiscal year 2015. In addition, the perception that we may not be able to continue as a going concern may cause others to choose not to deal with us due to concerns about our ability to meet our contractual obligations and may adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital.

There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain the funds required for our continued operation. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available to us or, if available, that it can be obtained on commercially reasonable terms. If we are not able to obtain financing on a timely basis, we will not be able to meet our obligations as they become due and we will be forced to scale down or perhaps even cease the operation of our business.

The following summarizes our contractual obligations at March 31, 2014, and the effect such obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flows in future periods (in thousands).

Contractual obligations:	Total	Less than	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	After
		1 Year			5 Years
Non-cancelable operating lease obligations	\$ 432	\$ 123	\$ 255	\$ 54	\$ -
Total contractual cash obligations	<u>\$ 432</u>	<u>\$ 123</u>	<u>\$ 255</u>	<u>\$ 54</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Prior to the sale of our legacy Etch and PVD assets to OEM Group and the sale of our DRIE assets to SPTS, certain of our sales contracts included provisions under which customers would be indemnified by us in the event of, among other things, a third-party claim against the customer for intellectual property rights infringement related to our products. There are no limitations on the maximum potential future payments under these guarantees. We have accrued no amounts in relation to these provisions as no such claims have been made and we believe we have valid, enforceable rights to the intellectual property embedded in its products.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not currently have, nor have we ever had, any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. In addition, we do not engage in trading activities involving non-exchange traded contracts.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2013-02, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*. The new guidance requires entities to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income unless the amounts are not reclassified in their entirety to net income. For amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income in the same reporting period, entities are required to cross-reference other disclosures that provide additional detail about those amounts. The new guidance was effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2012. See Note 5, Discontinued Operations.

In March 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-05, *Foreign Currency Matters (Topic 830): Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)* (“ASU 2013-05”). ASU 2013-05 clarifies that when a parent reporting entity ceases to have a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or group of assets that is a business within a foreign entity, the parent is required to apply the guidance in ASC 830-30 to release any related cumulative translation adjustment into net income. Accordingly, the cumulative translation adjustment should be released into net income only if the sale or transfer results in the complete or substantially complete liquidation of the foreign entity in which the subsidiary or group of assets had resided. ASU 2013-05 is effective prospectively for fiscal years and interim reporting periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2013. The new guidance was adopted early. See Note 5, Discontinued Operations.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, *Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)* (“ASU 2013-11”). The new guidance requires entities to report an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward, except as follows. To the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. The assessment of whether a deferred tax asset is available is based on the unrecognized tax benefit and deferred tax asset that exist at the reporting date and should be made presuming disallowance of the tax position at the reporting date. The new guidance is effective prospectively for fiscal years and interim reporting periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2013. The Company does not expect the new guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-08, “*Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity*” “ASU 2014-08”, which changes the requirements for reporting discontinued operations in Subtopic 205-20 “Presentation of Financial Statements - Discontinued Operations.” The ASU changes the definition of discontinued operations by limiting discontinued operations reporting to disposals that represent strategic shifts that have (or will have) a major effect on an entity’s operations and financial results. Under current U.S. GAAP, many disposals, some of which may be routine in nature and not representative of a substantive change in an entity’s strategy, are reported in discontinued operations. ASU 2014-08 requires expanded disclosures for discontinued operations designed to provide users of financial statements with more information about the assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and cash flows related to discontinued operations. ASU 2014-08 also requires an entity to disclose the pretax profit or loss (or change in net assets for a not-for-profit entity) of an individually significant component of an entity that does not qualify for discontinued operations reporting. The amendments in ASU 2014-08 are effective prospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. Early adoption is permitted, but only for disposals (or classifications as held for sale) that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for issuance. The Company does not expect the new guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. See Note 5, Discontinued Operations.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)* and the IASB has issued IFRS 15, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. The issuance of these documents completes the joint effort by the FASB and the IASB to improve financial reporting by creating common revenue recognition guidance for U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The new guidance affects any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards. This ASU will supersede the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*, and most industry-specific guidance. For public entities, the amendments are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early application is not permitted. The Company will continue to evaluate this newly issued guidance.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk*

Market Risk Disclosure

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

As of March 31, 2014 and 2013, all of our investments were classified as cash equivalents in the consolidated balance sheets. Our investment portfolio at fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013 was comprised of money market funds. With the sale of the DRIE related assets and the closure of the Tegal France subsidiary, our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations has been mostly eliminated. For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2014, and 2013, fluctuations of the U.S. dollar in relation to the Euro were immaterial to our financial statements.

Changes in the exchange rate between the Euro and the U.S. dollar are currently immaterial to our operating results. Exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk may increase over time as our business evolves. We expect that sales in international markets may account for a significant portion of any future revenue, as the Company plans to market to customers located outside the United States.

Periodically, the Company would enter into foreign exchange contracts to sell Euros, which are used to hedge a sales transaction in which costs were denominated in U.S. dollars and the related revenue was generated in Euros. As of March 31, 2014, there were no outstanding foreign exchange contracts.

Interest Rate Risk

We are only marginally exposed to interest rate risk through interest earned on money market accounts. Interest rates that may affect these items in the future will depend on market conditions and may differ from the rates we have experienced in the past. We do not hold or issue derivatives, commodity instruments or other financial instruments for trading purposes.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and
Stockholders of CollabRx, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CollabRx, Inc and its subsidiaries (“the Company”) as of March 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended March 31, 2014. The Company’s management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of CollabRx, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of March 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended March 31, 2014 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company’s recurring losses from operations and negative cash flow from operations raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc.
San Francisco, California
June 6, 2014

COLLABRX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	March 31,	March 31,
	2014	2013
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,430	\$ 4,039
Accounts receivable	148	250
Prepaid expenses	104	91
Other current assets	79	11
Deferred financing costs	162	--
Investment in convertible promissory note	378	--
Other assets of discontinued operations	--	11
Total current assets	<u>2,301</u>	<u>4,402</u>
Property and equipment, net	130	142
Intangible assets, net	1,281	1,490
Goodwill	603	603
Investment in convertible promissory note	--	345
Total assets	<u>\$ 4,315</u>	<u>\$ 6,982</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$ 255	\$ 167
Common stock warrant liability	--	10
Deferred revenue	108	--
Liabilities of discontinued operations	5	16
Total current liabilities	<u>368</u>	<u>193</u>
Deferred tax liability	500	581
Promissory note	509	504
Other long-term liabilities	13	--
Total liabilities	<u>1,390</u>	<u>1,278</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding	--	--
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 2,005,187 shares and 1,952,980 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively	20	19
Additional paid-in capital	130,994	130,602
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	-	(142)
Accumulated deficit	<u>(128,089)</u>	<u>(124,775)</u>
Total stockholders' equity	<u>2,925</u>	<u>5,704</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 4,315</u>	<u>\$ 6,982</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

COLLABRX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except per share data)

	Year Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
Revenue	\$ 658	\$ 300
Revenue - related party	--	100
Total revenue	<u>658</u>	<u>400</u>
Cost of revenue	<u>158</u>	<u>56</u>
Gross profit	<u>500</u>	<u>344</u>
Operating expenses:		
Engineering	1,714	667
Research and development	284	536
Sales and marketing	271	257
General and administrative	1,819	2,979
Total operating expenses	<u>4,088</u>	<u>4,439</u>
Operating loss	(3,588)	(4,095)
Other income, net	40	39
Loss before income tax benefit	(3,548)	(4,056)
Income tax benefit	(79)	(83)
Loss from continuing operations	<u>(3,469)</u>	<u>(3,973)</u>
Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of taxes	267	--
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of taxes	(112)	45
Net income from discontinued operations, net of taxes	<u>155</u>	<u>45</u>
Net loss	<u>\$ (3,314)</u>	<u>\$ (3,928)</u>
Net loss per share from continuing operations:		
Basic and diluted	\$ (1.77)	\$ (2.14)
Net income per share from discontinued operations:		
Basic and diluted	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.02
Net loss per share:		
Basic and diluted	\$ (1.69)	\$ (2.12)
Weighted-average shares used in per share computation:		
Basic and diluted	1,965	1,856

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

COLLABRX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(In thousands, except share data)

	<u>Common Stock</u>		<u>Additional Paid-in Capital</u>	<u>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (loss)</u>	<u>Accum- ulated Deficit</u>	<u>Total Stock- holder's Equity</u>
	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Amount</u>				
Balances as of March 31, 2012	1,688,807	\$ 17	\$ 129,052	\$ (142)	\$ (120,847)	\$ 8,080
Stock issued for asset acquisition - CollabRx	236,433	2	930	-	-	932
Stock compensation expense and released restricted stock units	27,740	-	695	-	-	695
Warrants exchanged for services - Sequel	-	-	(75)	-	-	(75)
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(3,928)	(3,928)
Balances as of March 31, 2013	1,952,980	19	130,602	(142)	(124,775)	5,704
Stock issued in connection with 2014 ATM Plan	1,810	-	6	-	-	6
Stock options exercised	10,000	-	35	-	-	35
Stock compensation expense and released restricted stock units	40,397	1	351	-	-	352
Cumulative translation adjustment	-	-	-	142	-	142
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(3,314)	(3,314)
Balances as of March 31, 2014	2,005,187	\$ 20	\$ 130,994	\$ -	\$ (128,089)	\$ (2,925)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

COLLABRX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

	Year Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (3,314)	\$ (3,928)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Stock compensation expense	352	695
Fair value adjustment of common stock warrants	(10)	(9)
Depreciation	34	16
Reclassified loss of foreign exchange translation	142	--
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	--	17
Amortization of intangible assets	209	160
Accrued interest on convertible note receivable	(33)	(33)
Deferred tax liability	(81)	(83)
Accrued interest promissory note payable	5	4
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	102	(250)
Prepaid expenses	(13)	(40)
Other current assets	(33)	(81)
Deferred financing costs	(162)	--
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	101	(483)
Deferred revenue	108	--
Current assets and liabilities from discontinued operations, net	--	177
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(2,593)</u>	<u>(3,838)</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Acquisition of property and equipment	(22)	(119)
Cash received from acquisition	--	476
Issuance of note receivable	--	(300)
Net cash provided by investing activities	<u>(22)</u>	<u>57</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from at-the-market facility	6	--
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>6</u>	<u>--</u>
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(2,609)	(3,781)
Cash and cash equivalents as of beginning of year	4,039	7,820
Cash and cash equivalents as of end of year	<u>\$ 1,430</u>	<u>\$ 4,039</u>
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash activities:		
Warrants received in exchange for service	\$ --	\$ 75
Shares issued in CollabRx acquisition	\$ --	\$ 932
Note Receivable used as consideration for CollabRx acquisition	\$ --	\$ 300
Promissory Note issued in CollabRx acquisition	\$ --	\$ 500
Fair value of assets acquired in CollabRx acquisition	\$ --	\$ 2,253
Liabilities assumed in CollabRx acquisition	\$ --	\$ 997
Amount receivable from stock option exercise	\$ 35	\$ --

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

COLLABRX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(All amounts in thousands, except share and per
share data, unless otherwise noted)

Note 1. Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The Company

CollabRx, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“CollabRx,” the “Company” or “we,” “us,” or “our”), is the formerly named Tegal Corporation, a Delaware corporation (“Tegal”), which acquired a private company of the same name on July 12, 2012. Following approval by its stockholders on September 25, 2012, Tegal amended its charter and changed its name to “CollabRx, Inc.” (the “Name Change”).

Tegal was formed in December 1989 to acquire the operations of the former Tegal Corporation, a division of Motorola, Inc. Tegal’s predecessor company was founded in 1972 and acquired by Motorola, Inc. in 1978. Tegal completed its initial public offering in October 1995.

Originally, Tegal designed, manufactured, marketed and serviced specialized plasma etch systems used primarily in the production of micro-electrical mechanical systems (“MEMS”) devices, such as sensors, accelerometers and power devices. Tegal also sold systems for the etching and deposition of materials found in other devices, such as integrated circuits (“ICs”) and optoelectronic devices found in products such as smart phones, networking gear, solid-state lighting, and digital imaging.

As the Company transitioned away from its legacy lines of business in manufacturing and devices, it explored opportunities in various emerging technology sectors, including the photovoltaic solar and medical device industries. These efforts led to Tegal’s investments in Sequel Power and NanoVibronix, as well as the Company’s acquisition of CollabRx, a company that develops information technology products based systems and methods for aggregating and contextualizing the world’s knowledge on genomics-based medicine, with specific applications in advanced cancer.

On July 12, 2012, we completed the transition of our business model with the closing of our acquisition of CollabRx. We intend that our acquisition of CollabRx will form the core of our operations going forward. The Company sought and received stockholder approval at the annual meeting held on September 2012 for an amendment to Tegal’s Certificate of Incorporation, changing the corporate name to CollabRx, Inc.

On January 14, 2011, the Company, sequel Partners and Sequel Power entered into a Formation and Contribution Agreement. The Company contributed \$2 million in cash to Sequel Power in exchange for an approximate 25% ownership interest in Sequel Power. Sequel Power was focused on the promotion of solar power plant development projects worldwide, the development of self-sustaining businesses from such projects, including but not limited to activities relating to and supporting, developing, building and operating solar photovoltaic fabrication facilities and solar farms, and the consideration of other non-photovoltaic renewable energy projects. The project services provided to Sequel Power represented the Company’s sole source of revenue for all of fiscal 2012.

On March 31, 2013, Sequel Power irrevocably assigned and transferred unto the Company for cancellation the balance of its Warrants representing the right to purchase 44,578 shares of the Company’s common stock. In exchange, the Company agreed to waive receivables related to certain fees earned under its Services Agreement with Sequel Partners and its 25% ownership interest in Sequel Power. In addition, effective March 31, 2013, the Company terminated its management agreement with Sequel Power. We do not anticipate making any additional investments in Sequel Power or any other solar-related businesses.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming we will continue as a going concern.

Without additional capital, our recurring losses from operations raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We may need to generate significant revenue or sell equity or debt securities to raise additional funds to continue to operate as a going concern beyond the first quarter of fiscal year 2015. In addition, the perception that we may not be able to continue as a going concern may cause others to choose not to deal with us due to concerns about our ability to meet our contractual obligations and may adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty, and contemplate the realization of assets and the settlement of liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business.

There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain the funds required for our continued operations. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available to us or, if available, that it can be obtained on commercially reasonable terms. If we are not able to obtain financing on a timely basis, we will not be able to meet our obligations as they become due and we will be forced to scale down or perhaps even cease the operation of our business.

The CollabRx Merger

On July 12, 2012, we completed the acquisition of CollabRx (the “Merger”), pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of June 29, 2012, (the “Merger Agreement”). As a result of the Merger, CollabRx became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. In consideration for 100% of the stock of CollabRx, we agreed to issue an aggregate of 236,433 shares of common stock, representing 14% of the Company’s total shares outstanding prior to the closing, to former CollabRx stockholders. As of July 12, 2012, these shares had a fair value of \$932. We also assumed \$500 of existing CollabRx indebtedness through the issuance of promissory notes. The principal amount of the promissory notes is payable in equal installments on the third, fourth and fifth anniversaries of the date of issuance, along with the accrued but unpaid interest as of such dates. Also the note receivable balance recognized in the period prior to the acquisition date consisted of an outstanding loan related to the Company’s investment in CollabRx in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013. The Company’s initial investment in CollabRx was in the form of a promissory note that accrued interest at a rate of 0.28% per year compounded annually and matured on or about November 7, 2012. After the completion of the acquisition of CollabRx, the loan was reclassified to be included as part of the purchase price, thereby extinguishing the \$300 bridge loan previously extended to CollabRx. The Company did not pay any cash consideration in connection with the acquisition.

In addition, Tegal granted a total of 368,417 restricted stock units (“RSUs”) and options as “inducement grants” to newly hired management and employees, all subject to four-year vesting and other restrictions. In December 2012, an aggregate of 215,475 RSUs were forfeited in connection with the resignation of James Karis as the Company’s Co-Chief Executive Officer.

On July 12, 2012, in connection with the acquisition of CollabRx, pursuant to the Merger Agreement, dated June 29, 2012, we entered into an Agreement Not to Compete with Jay M. Tenenbaum (the “Noncompete”), pursuant to which Mr. Tenenbaum agreed to refrain from competing with the Company on the terms set forth therein for a period of three years commencing on July 12, 2012.

Also on July 12, 2012, we entered into a Stockholders Agreement (the “Stockholders Agreement”) with the former stockholders of CollabRx. Pursuant to the Stockholders Agreement, (i) the Company has agreed to provide certain registration rights to the stockholders, and (ii) the stockholders have agreed to certain transfer restrictions and voting provisions for a period of two years.

In connection with the Merger Agreement and the Employment Agreement dated as of June 29, 2012 by and among the Company and James Karis, on July 12, 2012, Mr. Karis, the former Chief Executive Officer of CollabRx, was appointed the Co-Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company. In December 2012, Mr. Karis resigned from his position as Co-Chief Executive Officer but remained on the Company’s Board of Directors.

In addition, pursuant to the Indemnity Agreement dated as of July 12, 2012 by and between the Company and James Karis (the “Indemnity Agreement”), Mr. Karis has been granted customary indemnification rights in connection with his position as an officer and director of the Company.

Additional information is set forth, including the description of the Merger provided above, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the transaction documents, copies of which are filed as exhibits to the Form 8-K reports filed July 5, 2012 and July 18, 2012.

Principles of Consolidation and Foreign Currency Transactions

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and all of its subsidiaries and have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation. Accounts denominated in foreign currencies are translated using the foreign currencies as the functional currencies. Assets and liabilities of foreign operations are translated to U.S. dollars at current rates of exchange and revenues and expenses are translated using weighted-average rates. The effects of translating the financial statements of foreign subsidiaries into U.S. dollars are reported as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), a separate component of stockholders’ equity. Gains and losses from foreign currency transactions are included in the statements of operations as a component of other income (expense), net, and were not material in all periods presented.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (“GAAP”) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could vary from those estimates.

Reclassification

Certain prior year operating expense amounts were reclassified, still within operating expenses, to conform to the current year presentation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid debt and equity instruments having a maturity of three months or less on the date of purchase to be cash equivalents.

As of March 31, 2014 and 2013, all of the Company's current investments are classified as cash equivalents in the consolidated balance sheets. The investment portfolio as of March 31, 2014 and 2013 is comprised of money market funds. As of March 31, 2014 and 2013, the fair value of the Company's investments approximated cost.

Financial Instruments

The carrying amount of the Company's financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, notes receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities approximates fair value due to their relatively short maturity. Based on the borrowing rates currently available to the Company, the note payable carrying value approximates fair value. With our exit from our historical operations, our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations has been mostly eliminated. The Company does not hold derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes. Previously, the Company would periodically enter into foreign exchange contracts to sell Euros, which are used to hedge a sales transaction in which costs were denominated in U.S. dollars and the related revenue was generated in Euros. On March 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had no open foreign exchange contracts to sell Euros or any other foreign currencies.

Changes in the exchange rate between the Euro and the U.S. dollar are currently immaterial to our operating results. Exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk may increase over time as our business evolves.

Fair Value Measurements

The Company defines fair value as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be recorded at fair value, we consider the principal or most advantageous market in which we would transact and we consider what assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, such as inherent risk, transfer restrictions, and risk of nonperformance. The fair value hierarchy distinguishes between (1) market participant assumptions developed based on market data obtained from independent sources (observable inputs) and (2) an entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions developed based on the best information available in the circumstances (unobservable inputs). The fair value hierarchy consists of three broad levels, which gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

- Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Directly or indirectly observable inputs as of the reporting date through correlation with market data, including quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and quoted prices in markets that are not active. Level 2 also includes assets and liabilities that are valued using models or other pricing methodologies that do not require significant judgment since the input assumptions used in the models, such as interest rates and volatility factors, are corroborated by readily observable data from actively quoted markets for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and reflect the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management's estimates of market participant assumptions.

In determining fair value, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible as well as considers counterparty credit risk in its assessment of fair value.

The Company's financial instruments consist primarily of money market funds. As of March 31, 2014, all of the Company's current assets in financial instruments investments were classified as cash equivalents in the consolidated balance sheet. Our cash equivalents totaled \$1,430. The investment portfolio at March 31, 2014 was comprised of money market funds. The carrying amounts of the Company's cash equivalents are valued using Level 1 inputs. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model as its method of valuation for warrants that are subject to warrant liability accounting. The determination of the fair value as of the reporting date is affected by the Company's stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables which could provide differing variables. These variables include, but are not limited to, expected stock price volatility over the term of the security and risk free interest rate. In addition, the Black-Scholes option pricing model requires the input of an expected life for the securities for which we have estimated based upon the stage of the Company's development. The fair value of the warrant liability is revalued each balance sheet date utilizing the Black-Scholes option pricing model computations with the decrease or increase in the fair value being reported in the consolidated statement of operations as other income, net. A significant increase (decrease) of any of the subjective variables independent of other changes would result in a correlated increase (decrease) in the liability and an inverse effect on net income (loss). The Company also had warrant liabilities which are valued using Level 3 inputs.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company's warrant liability has been extinguished, and the Company has no other financial instruments subject to using Level 3 inputs.

The change in the fair value of the common stock warrant liability is as follows:

	Year Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
Balance as of the beginning of the period	\$ 10	\$ 19
Change in fair value recorded in earnings, including expirations	(10)	(9)
Balance as of the end of the period	\$ -	\$ 10

Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliate

The Company evaluates our joint venture arrangements to determine whether they should be recorded on a consolidated basis. The percentage of ownership interest in the joint venture, an evaluation of control and whether a variable interest entity ("VIE") exists are all considered in the consolidation assessment.

We account for our investment in joint ventures where we own a non-controlling interest or where we are not the primary beneficiary of a VIE using the equity method of accounting. Under the equity method, our cost of investment is adjusted for our share of equity in the earnings of the unconsolidated affiliate and reduced by distributions received.

Any differences between the cost of our investment in an unconsolidated affiliate and our underlying equity as reflected in the unconsolidated affiliate's financial statements generally result from a different basis in assets contributed to the joint venture. The net difference between our investment in unconsolidated affiliates and the underlying equity of unconsolidated affiliates is generally amortized over a period of ten years, which is determined to be the estimated useful life of the underlying intangibles which created the difference in carrying amount. As a result of the impairment charge taken in fiscal year 2012 for the total value against our unconsolidated affiliate, the net difference as of March 31, 2013 was \$0. The amortization expense related to this difference for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2013 was \$0.

On a periodic basis, we assess whether there are any indicators that the fair value of our investments in unconsolidated affiliates may be impaired. An investment is impaired only if our estimate of the fair value of the investment is less than the carrying value of the investment, and such decline in value is deemed to be other than temporary. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss is measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the investment over the fair value of the investment. Our estimates of fair value for each investment are based on a number of assumptions such as future revenue projections, operating forecasts, discount rates and capitalization rates, among others. These assumptions are subject to economic and market uncertainties. As these factors are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter our assumptions, the fair values estimated in the impairment analyses may not be realized.

Our estimate of the fair value of our investment was \$0 as of March 31, 2013; we previously incurred an impairment charge of our investment in our unconsolidated affiliates during the year ended March 31, 2012 in the amount of \$1,377, bringing the fair value of the investment to \$0 as of March 31, 2012. On March 21, 2013, Sequel Power irrevocably assigned and transferred unto the Company for cancellation the balance of its Warrants representing the right to purchase 44,578 shares of the Company's common stock. In exchange, the Company agreed to waive receivables related to certain fees earned under its Services Agreement with Sequel Partners and its 25% ownership interest in Sequel Power. In addition, effective March 31, 2013, the Company terminated its management agreement with Sequel Power.

Investment in Convertible Promissory Note

The Company's carrying amount of its investment in a Convertible Promissory Note approximates fair value. On a periodic basis, we assess whether there are any indicators that the fair value of our investment in Convertible Promissory Note may be impaired. An investment is impaired only if our estimate of the fair value of the investment is less than the carrying value of the investment, and such decline in value is deemed to be other than temporary. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss is measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the investment over the fair value of the investment.

As of March 31, 2014, the Company's investment in Convertible Promissory Note consisted solely of the investment in NanoVibronix. That note bears interest at a rate of 10% per year compounded annually and matures on November 15, 2014. Interest is accrued and recognized quarterly. As of March 31, 2014 and 2013, the Convertible Promissory Note balance was \$378 and \$345, respectively, consisting of the original \$300 investment and \$78 and \$45, respectively, in accrued interest. Should NanoVibronix, Inc. become a public company, then the Company's Chief Executive Officer will become a member of the NanoVibronix, Inc. Board of Directors.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash investments and accounts receivable. Substantially all of the Company's liquid investments are invested in money market funds. The Company's accounts receivable are derived primarily from sales to customers located in the United States. Prior to our exit from our historical core operations, the Company performed ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and generally required no collateral. For fiscal years 2014 and 2013, the Company had zero reserves for potential credit losses as such risk was determined to be immaterial. The Company does not currently maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts receivable for potential estimated losses resulting from the inability of the Company's customers to make required payments. The Company believes no such reserve is currently required. The Company had zero write-offs during fiscal years 2014 and 2013. The Company reviews the estimated risk of current customers' inability to make payments on a quarterly basis to determine if any amount is uncollectible.

As of March 31, 2014, four customers accounted for 100% of the accounts receivable balance. One customer accounted for 100% of the accounts receivable balance as of March 31, 2013. As of March 31, 2014, the balance in accounts receivable was \$148. As of March 31, 2013, the balance in accounts receivable was \$250 and one customer accounted for 100% of the Company's accounts receivable balance.

Life Technologies, Inc. has been a major contributor to our revenues and gross profits for the past two fiscal years, however we have funded the Company's operating expenses primarily with cash on hand and the net proceeds from the sale of discontinued assets, as disclosed in prior and current filings. We are actively engaged in negotiations with several other companies who are interested in purchasing our content on similar terms or under annual subscriptions or software-as-a-service arrangements.

For the period ended March 31, 2014, Life Technologies Inc.'s amount due in the Company's accounts receivable balance was zero. The Company sold the last two patent lots for approximately \$365 in the second quarter of the current fiscal year. The Company received the funds from the patent sales in the third quarter of the current fiscal year.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, ranging from three to seven years. Leasehold improvements are stated at cost and are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the improvements or the lease term. Significant additions and improvements are capitalized, while repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. When assets are disposed of, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and the resulting gains or losses are included in the results of operations. The Company generally depreciates its assets over the following periods:

	<u>Years</u>
Furniture and machinery and equipment	7
Computer and software	3 – 5
Leasehold improvements	5 or remaining lease life

Intangible Assets

Intangibles include acquired technology, customer relationships, non-compete agreements, patents and trademarks that are amortized on a straight-line basis over periods ranging from 3 years to 10 years. The Company performs an ongoing review of its identified intangible assets to determine if facts and circumstances exist that indicate the useful life is shorter than originally estimated or the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If such facts and circumstances exist, the Company assesses the recoverability of identified intangible assets by comparing the projected undiscounted net cash flow associated with the related asset or group of assets over their remaining lives against their respective carrying amounts. Impairment, if any, is based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of those assets.

Intangible assets, except for trade names, are amortized on a straight-line basis. Intangible assets related to trade names are not amortized. The Company tests for impairment at least annually. The amortization expense included in cost of revenue is related to the acquired software and is amortized on a straight line basis over the expected life of the asset, which the Company believes to be ten years.

No impairment charges for intangible assets were recorded for the fiscal years ended 2014 and 2013. Prior to the acquisition of CollabRx, all of the Company's historical intangible assets, other than those related to NLD and Compact, were included in the asset sale of the DRIE product line to SPTS. The last of the intangible assets related to NLD and Compact were sold in the second quarter in fiscal year 2014.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable as well as at fiscal year end. If undiscounted expected future cash flows are less than the carrying value of the assets, an impairment loss is recognized based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets. No impairment charges for intangible assets were recorded for the fiscal years ended 2014 and 2013, respectively, since all of the Company's historical intangible assets, other than those related to NLD and Compact, were included in the asset sale of the DRIE product line to SPTS. As the Company's NLD patents and intellectual property were all internally developed (except for those acquired in connection with the Simplus acquisition, which were subsequently written-off) the value of the Company's NLD technology had no recorded value prior to sale.

Long-lived assets also consist of property, plant and equipment. The Company recorded disposal losses of \$0 and \$17 for fixed assets for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. In fiscal year 2013, the Company disposed of certain assets in connection with the relocation of its main offices from Petaluma, CA to San Francisco, CA.

Deferred Financing Costs

Deferred financing costs represent expenses incurred to raise equity capital related to financing transactions which have not yet been completed as of the balance sheet dates.

Accounts Receivable – Allowance for Sales Returns and Doubtful Accounts

For fiscal years 2014 and 2013, the Company had zero reserves for potential credit losses as such risk was determined to be immaterial. The Company does not currently maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts receivable for potential estimated losses resulting from the inability of the Company's customers to make required payments. The Company believes no such reserve is currently required. The Company had zero write-offs during fiscal years 2014 and 2013. The Company reviews the estimated risk of current customers' inability to make payments on a quarterly basis to determine if any amount is uncollectible.

As of March 31, 2014, the balance in accounts receivable was \$148. As of March 31, 2013, the balance in accounts receivable was \$250.

As of March 31, 2014, four customers accounted for 100% of the accounts receivable balance. One customer accounted for 100% of the accounts receivable balance as of March 31, 2013.

Revenue Recognition

Each contract sale of our interpretive data is evaluated individually in regard to revenue recognition. We had integrated in our evaluation the related guidance included in Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 605 – "Revenue Recognition". We recognized revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the seller's price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured.

For arrangements that include multiple deliverables, we identify separate units of accounting based on the guidance under ASC 605-25, "Multiple Element Arrangements", which provides that revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables should be divided into separate units of accounting, if certain criteria are met. The consideration of the arrangement is allocated to the separate units of accounting using the relative selling price method. Applicable revenue recognition criteria are considered separately for each separate unit of accounting.

Revenue from fixed price contracts is recognized primarily under the percentage of completion method. Under this method we recognize estimated contract revenue and resulting income based on costs incurred to date as a percentage of the total estimated costs as we consider this model to best reflect the economics of these contracts. In such contracts, the Company's efforts, measured by time incurred, typically represents the contractual milestones or output measure.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with ASC Topic 740, "Income Taxes", which requires that deferred tax assets and liabilities be recognized using enacted tax rates for the effect of temporary differences between the book and tax bases of recorded assets and liabilities. Under ASC 740, the liability method is used in accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between financial reporting and the tax basis of assets and liabilities, and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. ASC 740 also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. We evaluate annually the realizability of our deferred tax assets by assessing our valuation allowance and by adjusting the amount of such allowance, if necessary. The factors used to assess the likelihood of realization include our forecast of future taxable income and available tax planning strategies that could be implemented to realize the net deferred tax assets. In 2014 and 2013, we have recorded a full valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets based on our past losses and uncertainty regarding our ability to project future taxable income. In future periods, if we are able to generate income we may reduce or eliminate the valuation allowance.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding plus any potentially dilutive securities, except when the effect of including such changes is antidilutive. The weighted-average number of shares and the (loss) income per share reflect a 1-for-5 reverse stock split effected by the Company on June 15, 2011.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC Topic 718 – "Compensation-Stock Compensation" ("ASC 718") which establishes accounting for stock-based awards exchanged for employee services. Accordingly, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the employee's service period.

We have adopted several stock plans that provide for issuance of equity instruments to our employees and non-employee directors. Our plans include incentive and non-statutory stock options and restricted stock awards. These equity awards generally vest ratably over a four-year period on the anniversary date of the grant, and stock options expire ten years after the grant date. Restricted stock awards do not expire. Certain restricted stock awards may vest on the achievement of specific performance targets. We also have an Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") that allows qualified employees to purchase shares of common stock at 85% of the fair market value on specified dates. The ESPP plan expires on July 22, 2014.

Comprehensive Loss

Comprehensive loss is defined as the change in equity of the Company during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances excluding transactions resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners. For the years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had no items of other comprehensive loss. Therefore, the net loss equals comprehensive loss for the years then ended.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU 2013-02, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*. The new guidance requires entities to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income unless the amounts are not reclassified in their entirety to net income. For amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income in the same reporting period, entities are required to cross-reference other disclosures that provide additional detail about those amounts. The new guidance was effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2012. and had no See Note 5, Discontinued Operations.

In March 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-05, *Foreign Currency Matters (Topic 830): Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)* ("ASU 2013-05"). ASU 2013-05 clarifies that when a parent reporting entity ceases to have a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or group of assets that is a business within a foreign entity, the parent is required to apply the guidance in ASC 830-30 to release any related cumulative translation adjustment into net income. Accordingly, the cumulative translation adjustment should be released into net income only if the sale or transfer results in the complete or substantially complete liquidation of the foreign entity in which the subsidiary or group of assets had resided. ASU 2013-05 is effective prospectively for fiscal years and interim reporting periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2013. The new guidance was adopted early. See Note 5, Discontinued Operations.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, *Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)* ("ASU 2013-11"). The new guidance requires entities to report an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward, except as follows. To the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a

liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. The assessment of whether a deferred tax asset is available is based on the unrecognized tax benefit and deferred tax asset that exist at the reporting date and should be made presuming disallowance of the tax position at the reporting date. The new guidance is effective prospectively for fiscal years and interim reporting periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2013. The Company does not expect the new guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-08, “*Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity*” “ASU 2014-08”, which changes the requirements for reporting discontinued operations in Subtopic 205-20 “Presentation of Financial Statements - Discontinued Operations.” The ASU changes the definition of discontinued operations by limiting discontinued operations reporting to disposals that represent strategic shifts that have (or will have) a major effect on an entity’s operations and financial results. Under current U.S. GAAP, many disposals, some of which may be routine in nature and not representative of a substantive change in an entity’s strategy, are reported in discontinued operations. ASU 2014-08 requires expanded disclosures for discontinued operations designed to provide users of financial statements with more information about the assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and cash flows related to discontinued operations. ASU 2014-08 also requires an entity to disclose the pretax profit or loss (or change in net assets for a not-for-profit entity) of an individually significant component of an entity that does not qualify for discontinued operations reporting. The amendments in ASU 2014-08 are effective prospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. Early adoption is permitted, but only for disposals (or classifications as held for sale) that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for issuance. The Company does not expect the new guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. See Note 5, Discontinued Operations.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)* and the IASB has issued IFRS 15, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. The issuance of these documents completes the joint effort by the FASB and the IASB to improve financial reporting by creating common revenue recognition guidance for U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The new guidance affects any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards. This ASU will supersede the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*, and most industry-specific guidance. For public entities, the amendments are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early application is not permitted. The Company will continue to evaluate this newly issued guidance.

Note 2. Balance Sheet and Statement of Operations Detail

Property and equipment, net, consisted of:

	March 31,	
	2014	2013
Furniture	\$ 133	\$ 132
Office Equipment	72	51
Leasehold Improvements	5	5
Total	210	188
Accumulated Depreciation	(80)	(29)
Disposals	-	(17)
Total Property and Equipment	<u>\$ 130</u>	<u>\$ 142</u>

Depreciation expense for years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$34 and \$16, respectively.

Note 3. Intangible Assets

With the acquisition of CollabRx, as of March 31, 2014, the Company’s intangible assets net value was \$1,281. The Company does not amortize the trade name as it has an indefinite life subject to annual impairment tests. The net book value of Goodwill was \$603.

As of March 31, 2014, intangible assets, net, not including goodwill, consisted of the following:

	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Developed Technology	\$ 719	\$ (128)	\$ 591
Customer Relationships	433	(152)	281
Trade Name	346	-	346
Non Compete Agreement	151	(88)	63
Total	<u>\$ 1,649</u>	<u>\$ (368)</u>	<u>\$ 1,281</u>

Amortization expense was \$209 and \$160 in fiscal 2014 and 2013, respectively.

As of March 31, 2013, intangible assets, net not including goodwill, consisted of the following:

	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Developed Technology	\$ 719	\$ (56)	\$ 663
Customer Relationships	433	(65)	368
Trade Name	346	-	346
Non Compete Agreement	151	(38)	113
Total	<u>\$ 1,649</u>	<u>\$ (159)</u>	<u>\$ 1,490</u>



Future estimated amortization expense is as follows:

Year Ending March 31,	Estimated Amortization Expense
2015	\$ 209
2016	171
2017	159
2018	94
2019	72
Thereafter	230
	<u>\$ 935</u>

The Company sold all remaining intangibles, except the NLD related patents, to SPTS on February 9, 2011. The Company retained the internally developed NLD patents and has sold all of these patents as of March 31, 2014.

Note 4. Earnings Per Share (EPS)

Basic EPS is computed by dividing income (loss) available to common stockholders (numerator) by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding (denominator) for the period. Diluted EPS gives effect to all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted EPS uses the average market prices during the period. All amounts in the following table are in thousands except per share data. The weighted-average number of shares and the (loss) income per share reflect a 1-for-5 reverse stock split effected by the Company on June 15, 2011.

Basic net income (loss) per common share is computed using the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding.

The following table represents the calculation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share (in thousands, except per share data):

	<u>Year Ended March 31,</u>	
	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (3,469)	\$ (3,973)
Net income from discontinued operations, net of taxes	<u>155</u>	<u>45</u>
Net loss applicable to common stockholders	<u>\$ (3,314)</u>	<u>\$ (3,928)</u>
Basic and diluted:		
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	1,965	1,856
Weighted-average common shares used in per share computation	<u>1,965</u>	<u>1,856</u>
Net loss per share from continuing operations:		
Basic and diluted	\$ (1.77)	\$ (2.14)
Net income per share from discontinued operations:		
Basic and diluted	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.02
Net loss per share:		
Basic and diluted	\$ (1.69)	\$ (2.12)

Outstanding options, warrants and RSUs of 500,809 and 448,986, at a weighted-average exercise price of \$10.17 and \$7.23, as of March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted net (loss) income per common share for the periods presented as a result of their anti-dilutive effect. Such securities could potentially dilute earnings per share in future periods.

Note 5. Discontinued Operations

Until 2011, we designed, manufactured, marketed and serviced specialized plasma etch systems used primarily in the production of micro-electrical mechanical systems devices, such as sensors, accelerometers and power devices. Previously, we also sold systems for the etching and deposition of materials found in other devices, such as integrated circuits and optoelectronic devices found in products such as smart phones, networking gear, solid-state lighting, and digital imaging.

In a series of meetings in late May and early June 2009, our Board of Directors reviewed several basic strategic options presented by management, including investigating opportunities for the sale of the Company or its assets. In December 2009, having received no bona fide offers for the Company as a going concern, the Board and management agreed to continue operations and to offer selected asset groups to potential buyers.

On March 19, 2010, we completed the sale of the legacy Etch and PVD assets to OEM Group, Inc. We discontinued our development efforts in NLD at the end of fiscal 2010, and began offering these assets for sale to third-parties. At the same time, we began the process of closing and/or liquidating all of our other wholly-owned subsidiary companies, including SFI and Tegal GmbH, along with branches in Taiwan, Korea and Italy. The subsidiaries were then included in discontinued operations.

On February 9, 2011, the Company and SPTS entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement. That agreement included the sale of all of the shares of Tegal France, SAS, the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary and product lines and certain equipment, intellectual property and other assets relating to the DRIE systems and certain related technology. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the DRIE business operations related to the designing, manufacturing, marketing and servicing of systems and parts within the semiconductor industry was presented in discontinued operations in our consolidated financial statements. Amounts for the prior periods were reclassified to conform to this presentation. The exit from the DRIE operation was essentially completed by the end of the fourth quarter of our 2011 fiscal year.

The assets and liabilities of discontinued operations are presented separately under the captions "Assets of discontinued operations" and "Liabilities of discontinued operations," respectively, in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and consist of the following:

	March 31, 2014	March 31, 2013
Assets of Discontinued Operations:		
Accounts and other receivables, net of allowances for sales returns and doubtful accounts of \$0	\$ -	\$ 4
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	-	7
Total assets of discontinued operations	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 11</u>
Liabilities of Discontinued Operations:		
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$ 5	\$ 16
Total liabilities of discontinued operations	<u>\$ 5</u>	<u>\$ 16</u>

As of March 31, 2014, discontinued assets were eliminated with the final closure of the Company's foreign subsidiary. Discontinued liabilities are related to outstanding commission due. This commission is to be paid when the final documentation of the sale of the last two lots of patents is fulfilled. As of March 31, 2013 discontinued assets and liabilities are solely related to a foreign subsidiary.

Discontinued operations consists of interest income from accounts related to discontinued operations, other income, gains and losses on the disposal of fixed assets of discontinued operations, gains and losses on foreign exchange, as well as the reclassification of net expenses associated with our exit from our historical core operations.

During fiscal 2014, we recognized \$365 from the sale of the last of our NLD patents. As these assets were internally developed, there was a corresponding zero book value. The NLD revenue was offset by related expenses of \$98, resulting in a net gain, net of taxes, of \$267. With this sale, the Company has no other intellectual property related to discontinued operations. Discontinued operations also included expenses related to the final closing of former foreign subsidiaries. In the three months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recognized a reclassification out of accumulated other comprehensive loss and into Loss from Discontinued Operations, net of taxes. The reclassification is related to the recognition of a non-cash loss of \$142 from foreign exchange differences from its former Tegal foreign subsidiaries, primarily as a result of the final closing of the former Tegal German subsidiary. The Company received permission to close the German subsidiary in June 2013. No further audits or reviews are anticipated by foreign taxing authorities. The Company also recognized a cash gain of \$20 in discontinued operations as a result of final closing of bank accounts in its Italian subsidiary and a federal tax refund regarding discontinued operations.

In fiscal 2013, discontinued operations included a gain resulting from the net settlement of legal expenses related to closing a foreign subsidiary (for which a higher amount of legal expense had been accrued in the prior fiscal year), offset by R&D expenses included in discontinued operations.

On May 7, 2012, the Company received a VAT refund related to discontinued operations in its former French subsidiary in the amount of 312 Euros. As of March 31, 2012, this amount was recognized in other assets of discontinued operations. The settlement of this outstanding amount due is classified as a reduction of assets of discontinued operations. The related foreign exchange gain was classified as a gain on the sale of discontinued operations in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013.

Total revenue from discontinued operations for fiscal years 2014 and 2013 was \$0. The total income from discontinued operations, including income tax expense (benefit), was \$155 and \$45, for the same years, respectively.

Note 6. Income Taxes

The deferred tax asset valuation allowance as of March 31, 2014 is attributed to U.S. federal, and state deferred tax assets, which result primarily from future deductible accruals, net operating loss carryforwards, and tax credit carryforwards. We believe that, based on a number of factors, the available objective evidence creates sufficient uncertainty regarding our ability to realize the deferred tax assets such that a full valuation allowance has been recorded. These factors include our history of losses, and the lack of carryback capacity to realize deferred tax assets.

In accordance with Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, the amounts of and benefits from net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards may be impaired or limited in certain circumstances. Events which cause limitations in the amount of net operating losses or credits that we may utilize in any one year include, but are not limited to, a cumulative ownership change of more than 50% as defined, over a three year period.

We recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. Income tax expense for the year ended March 31, 2014 includes no interest. As of March 31, 2014, we have no accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions.

Components of loss from continuing operations before income taxes is attributed to the following geographic locations for the years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

Year ended March 31,	2014	2013
Domestic	\$ (3,548)	\$ (4,056)
Foreign	-	-
Loss from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit)	<u>\$ (3,548)</u>	<u>\$ (4,056)</u>

Components of income tax expense (benefit) for the years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 consisted of the following (in thousands):

Year ended March 31,	2014	2013
Current:		
U.S. Federal	\$ -	\$ -
State and Local	2	-
Foreign (credit)	-	-
Total current tax expense (benefit)	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>
Deferred		
U.S. Federal	(81)	(83)
State and Local	-	-
Foreign (credit)	-	-
Total deferred tax expense	<u>(81)</u>	<u>(83)</u>
Total income tax expense (benefit)	<u>\$ (79)</u>	<u>\$ (83)</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

The income tax expense (benefit) for the years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 differed from the amounts computed by applying the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate as follows (in thousands):

Year ended March 31,	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Federal tax expense (benefit) at U.S. Statutory Rate	\$ (1,126)	\$ (1,335)
State tax expense (benefit) net of federal tax effect	(193)	(246)
Change in valuation allowance	1,196	4,572
Tax effect of acquired net operating loss carryforwards	-	(3,123)
Foreign SubF Germany	251	-
Amortization of deferred tax liability	(81)	(83)
Other items	(126)	132
Total income tax benefit	<u>\$ (79)</u>	<u>\$ (83)</u>

Components of deferred taxes are as follows (in thousands):

Year ended March 31,	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Deferred tax liability:		
Intangible assets	\$ (500)	\$ (581)
Deferred tax assets:		
Deferred revenue	\$ 48	\$ -
Accruals, reserves and other	1,932	1,616
Net operating loss carryforwards	45,142	44,404
Credit carryforward	2,397	2,380
Capitalized research and development costs	299	299
Other	5	9
Gross deferred tax assets	49,323	48,127
Valuation allowance	(49,323)	(48,127)
Net deferred tax asset	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Taxes", (ASC Topic 740), on January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of ASC Topic 740, the Company did not recognize any adjustment to the liability for uncertain tax positions and therefore did not record any adjustment to the beginning balance of accumulated deficit on the consolidated balance sheet. As of the date of adoption, the Company recorded a \$1.4 million reduction to deferred tax assets for unrecognized tax benefits, all of which is currently offset by a full valuation allowance and therefore did not record any adjustment to the beginning balance of accumulated deficit on the balance sheet at that time.

Tabular Reconciliation of Unrecognized Tax Benefits

Ending Balance as of March 31, 2012	<u>\$ 833</u>
Increase/(Decrease) of unrecognized tax benefits taken in prior years	-
Increase/(Decrease) of unrecognized tax benefits related to current year	2
Increase/(Decrease) of unrecognized tax benefits related to settlements	-
Reductions to unrecognized tax benefits related to lapsing statute of limitations	(13)
Ending Balance as of March 31, 2013	<u>822</u>
Increase/(Decrease) of unrecognized tax benefits taken in prior years	-
Increase/(Decrease) of unrecognized tax benefits related to current year	77
Increase/(Decrease) of unrecognized tax benefits related to settlements	-
Reductions to unrecognized tax benefits related to lapsing statute of limitations	-
Ending Balance as of March 31, 2014	<u>\$ 899</u>

There are no positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease within 12 months of the reporting date.

Because the statute of limitations does not expire until after the net operating loss and credit carryforwards are actually used, the statutes are still open on fiscal years ended March 31, 1995 forward for federal purposes, and for fiscal years ended March 31, 2003 forward for state purposes. For the years prior to March 31, 2010 for federal purposes and prior to March 31, 2009 for state purposes, any adjustments would be limited to reduction in the net operating loss and credit carryforwards.

Total interest and penalties included in the statement of operations for the year ended March 31, 2014 is zero. It is the Company's policy to include interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in tax expense.

We have recorded no net deferred tax assets for the years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company has provided a valuation allowance of \$49.3 million and \$48.1 million as of March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The valuation allowance fully reserves all net operating loss carryforwards, credits and non-deductible accruals and reserves, for which realization of future benefit is uncertain. The realization of net operating losses may be limited due to change of ownership rules. The valuation allowance increased by \$1.2 million in fiscal 2014 and increased by \$4.8 million during fiscal 2013.

As of March 31, 2014, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$121.5 million and \$65.2 million for federal and state tax purposes, respectively. The federal net operating loss carryforward will begin to expire in the year ended March 31, 2020 and the state of California began expiring as of March 31, 2013.

As of March 31, 2014, the Company also has research and experimentation credit carryforwards of \$1.4 million and \$0.9 million for federal and state income tax purposes, respectively. A portion of the federal credit began to expire in the year ended March 31, 2012 and the state of California will never expire under current law.

The Tax Reform Act of 1986 limits the use of net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards in certain situations where changes occur in the stock ownership of a corporation during a certain time period. In the event the Company had incurred a change in ownership, utilization of the carryforwards could be significantly restricted.

Note 7. CollabRx Acquisition

On July 12, 2012, we completed the acquisition of CollabRx, pursuant to the previously announced Merger Agreement, dated as of June 29, 2012. As a result of the merger, CollabRx became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. In consideration for 100% of the stock of CollabRx, we agreed to issue an aggregate of 236,433 shares of common stock, representing 14% of the Company's total shares outstanding prior to the closing, to former CollabRx stockholders. As of July 12, 2012, these shares had a fair value of \$932. We also assumed \$500 of existing CollabRx indebtedness through the issuance of promissory notes. The principal of the promissory notes is payable in equal installments on the third, fourth and fifth anniversaries of the date of issuance, along with the accrued but unpaid interest as of such dates. Also the prior period note receivable balance consisted of an outstanding loan related to the Company's investment in CollabRx in the first quarter of the current fiscal year. The Company's initial investment in CollabRx was in the form of a promissory note that accrued interest at a rate of 0.28% per year compounded annually and matured on or about November 7, 2012. After the completion of the acquisition of CollabRx, the loan was reclassified to be included as part of the purchase price, thereby extinguishing the \$300 bridge loan previously extended to CollabRx. The Company did not pay any cash consideration in connection with the acquisition.

In addition, Tegal granted a total of 368,417 RSUs and options as "inducement grants" to newly hired management and employees, all subject to four-year vesting and other restrictions. In December 2012, an aggregate of 215,475 RSUs were forfeited in connection with the resignation of James Karis as the Company's Co-Chief Executive Officer.

On July 12, 2012, in connection with the acquisition of CollabRx, pursuant to the Merger Agreement, dated June 29, 2012, we entered into an Agreement Not to Compete with Jay M. Tenenbaum (the "Noncompete"), pursuant to which Mr. Tenenbaum agreed to refrain from competing with the Company on the terms set forth therein for a period of three years commencing on July 12, 2012.

Also on July 12, 2012, we entered into a Stockholders Agreement (the "Stockholders Agreement") with the former stockholders of CollabRx. Pursuant to the Stockholders Agreement, (i) the Company has agreed to provide certain registration rights to the stockholders, and (ii) the stockholders have agreed to certain transfer restrictions and voting provisions for a period of two years.

In connection with the Merger Agreement and the Employment Agreement dated as of June 29, 2012 by and among the Company and James Karis, on July 12, 2012, Mr. Karis, the former Chief Executive Officer of CollabRx, was appointed the Co-Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company. In December 2012, Mr. Karis resigned from his position as Co-Chief Executive Officer but remained on the Company's Board of Directors.

[Table of Contents](#)

In addition, pursuant to the Indemnity Agreement dated as of July 12, 2012 by and between the Company and James Karis (the "Indemnity Agreement"), Mr. Karis has been granted customary indemnification rights in connection with his position as an officer and director of the Company.

Additional information is set forth in the Company's 8-K report filed on July 18, 2012, and is incorporated herein in its entirety by reference.

The purchase price for the CollabRx acquisition was allocated as follows:

PURCHASE PRICE ALLOCATION FOR ACQUISITION OF COLLABRX

Assets acquired:

Developed Technology	\$	720
Customer Relationships		433
Trade Name		346
Non Compete Agreement		151
Cash		476
AP and accruals		(333)
Deferred tax liability		(664)
Goodwill		603
Total Acquired Assets, net	\$	<u>1,732</u>

Purchase Price summary:

Common Stock Consideration	\$	932
Promissory Note Assumed		500
Loan/Note Payable Assumed		300
	\$	<u>1,732</u>

CollabRx offers cloud-based expert systems that provide clinically relevant interpretive knowledge to institutions, physicians, researchers and patients for genomics-based medicine in cancer and other diseases to inform health care decision making. With access to over 50 clinical and scientific advisors at leading academic institutions and a suite of tools and processes that combine artificial intelligence-based analytics with proprietary interpretive content, CollabRx is well positioned to participate in the \$300 billion value-added "big data" opportunity in the US health care market (as reported by McKinsey Global Institute), over half of which specifically targets areas in cancer and cancer genomics. The Company recognized \$83 in tax benefit in the year ended March 31, 2014 regarding the deferred tax liability related to this acquisition.

CollabRx provides this market data information so investors may understand the relevance of our estimates. We believe that overall size of the market for cancer diagnostics and therapeutics is a good indicator of the increasing importance of this market to a wide spectrum of health care providers, researchers and value-chain participants. The number of people affected by cancer, the information generated in connection with cancer research, the amount of money spent in the United States on cancer diagnostics and therapeutics are all relevant to the opportunity that we have identified. Further, we know that within these large markets, certain areas, including those that are directly addressed by CollabRx, are growing disproportionately because of advances in technology. Because the markets are emergent, and because our customers (particularly those within the diagnostic laboratory segment) are still developing their own diagnostic tests in oncology, we currently do not have reliable, publicly-available estimates to accurately determine the size of that particular market. It is with the recent emergence of multi-biomarker testing and multi-gene panels, to date largely performed by a single private company, that the requirement for complex integration of interpretive data has become a recognizable need. As the providers of testing platforms enable such testing at the individual laboratory level through increasingly more cost-effective technologies, and as more diagnostic labs develop their own tests based on these technologies, we believe that the market for independent interpretive analysis will expand rapidly. With regard to the market for our Therapy Finders and related products, we expect to garner some portion of the advertising budgets related to the marketing of cancer diagnostics and therapies, but we are not aware of any reliable, publicly-available estimates of market sizes for web-based tools of the type that we have developed.

With regard to our GVA, while genomic testing for cancer has been performed for a number of years by academic medical centers, such testing was largely focused on single biomarkers, for which the interpretation is relatively straightforward. It is with the recent emergence of multi-biomarker testing and multi-gene panels, to date largely performed by a single private company, that the requirement for complex integration of interpretive data has become a recognizable need. As the providers of testing platforms enable such testing at the individual laboratory level through increasingly more cost-effective technologies, and as more diagnostic labs develop their own tests based on these technologies, we believe that the market for independent interpretive analysis will expand rapidly.

The physicians and researchers within our network of advisors have agreed to participate in our efforts for an indefinite term, on an uncompensated basis, and without a formal agreement,

On December 7, 2012, CollabRx and James M. Karis entered into an Amendment No. 1 (the "Employment Agreement Amendment") to the Employment Agreement dated June 29, 2012 between the Company and Mr. Karis (the "Employment Agreement"). Pursuant to the Employment Agreement Amendment, Mr. Karis resigned as Co-Chief Executive Officer of the Company effective December 31, 2012 (the "Termination Date") but will continue to serve as a director of the Company and provide consulting services to the Company from time to time after the Termination Date. In addition, the Company waived its entitlement to recoup from Mr. Karis his signing bonus and Mr. Karis agreed to amend his RSU Agreement to terminate vesting as of the Termination Date. The Company and Mr. Karis also agreed to a mutual release of claims.

The full text of the Employment Agreement Amendment and the RSU Agreement amendment was filed as Exhibit 10.1 and 10.2 to the form 8-K filed on December 7, 2012, and is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

Note 8. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company has several non-cancelable operating leases, primarily for general office space, that expire over the next four years. We have no capital leases at this time. Future minimum lease payments under these leases are as follows:

<u>Year Ending March 31,</u>	<u>Operating Leases</u>
2015	\$ 123
2016	126
2017	129
2018	54
Total minimum lease payments	<u>\$ 432</u>

Most leases provide for the Company to pay real estate taxes and other maintenance expenses. Rent expense for operating leases related to discontinued operations, net of sublease income, was \$0 during each of the years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Rent expense for operating leases related to continuing operations, net of sublease income, was \$131 and \$79, during the years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

As of September 1, 2012, we maintain our headquarters, encompassing our executive office and storage areas in San Francisco, California. We have a primary lease for office space, consisting of 2,614 square feet, which expires August 31, 2017. Prior to moving to San Francisco, we were located in Petaluma, California. We had a primary lease for office space, consisting of 2,187 square feet, which expired August 31, 2012. We rent storage/workspace areas on a monthly basis. We own all of the equipment used in our facilities. Such equipment consists primarily of computer related assets.

Note 9. Sale of Common Stock and Warrants

During fiscal years 2014 and 2013, the Company entered into a contract with certain consultants of the Company pursuant to which the Company granted stock options in lieu of some cash payments, dependent upon the continuation of the contract and the achievement of certain performance goals.

During the fiscal year 2011, the Company issued 185,777 warrants valued at \$1,645 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with an exercise price at the market value on the day of the grant (the date the Formation and Contribution Agreement was signed) and an average interest rate of 1.62% and a four year life. The Company booked \$0 of expense for warrants previously issued. Currently, there are 92,888 warrants outstanding from the original grant. The balance of the original grant was irrevocably assigned and transferred unto the Company for cancellation by Sequel Power. In exchange, the Company agreed to waive receivables related to certain fees earned under its Services Agreement with Sequel Partners and its 25% ownership interest in Sequel Power.

As of March 31, 2013, there were 8,348 warrants outstanding, with an average exercise price of \$30. The last of these warrants expired in September 2013.

As of March 31, 2014, there were no warrants outstanding. The last of these warrants expired in September 2013, and had an average exercise price of \$30.

As of March 31, 2014, there were 1,810 shares issued from the At Market Distribution Plan 2014, which was set up as a result of the Company's S-3 filing in the third quarter of fiscal year 2014.

At Market Distribution Plan 2014

Pursuant to the terms of the Company's At Market Distribution Plan ("2014 ATM Plan"), which was authorized and formalized as the result of the Company's S-3 filing, an aggregate of 709,046 shares of common stock are available for grant pursuant to the terms of the plan. The 2014 ATM Plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, restricted stock, stock appreciation rights, performance shares, performance stock units, dividend equivalents, stock payments, deferred stock, restricted stock units, other stock-based awards, and performance-based awards. The option exercise price of all stock options granted pursuant to the 2014 ATM Plan will not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. Stock options may be exercised as determined by the Board, but in no event after the tenth anniversary date of grant, provided that a vested nonqualified stock option may be exercised up to 12 months after the optionee's death. Awards granted under the 2014 ATM Plan are generally subject to vesting at the discretion of the Committee. As of March 31, 2014, 707,236 shares were available for issuance under the 2014 ATM Plan.

Note 10. Employee Benefit Plans

The number of shares indicated in the following employee benefit stock plans reflects a 1-for-5 reverse stock split effected by the Company on June 15, 2011.

Eighth Amended and Restated 1998 Equity Participation Plan (Eighth Amended and Restated)

Pursuant to the terms of the Company's Eighth Amended and Restated 1998 Equity Participation Plan ("1998 Equity Plan"), aggregate of 333,333 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance pursuant to granted stock options and stock appreciation rights or upon the vesting of granted restricted stock awards. The exercise price of options generally was the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. Options are generally subject to vesting at the discretion of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Committee"). At the discretion of the Committee, vesting may be accelerated when the fair market value of the Company's stock equals a certain price established by the Committee on the date of grant. Incentive stock options will be exercisable for up to ten years from the grant date of the option. Non-qualified stock options will be exercisable for a maximum term to be set by the Committee upon grant. Upon the adoption of the 2007 Equity Plan, no further awards were issued under the 1998 Equity Plan.

2007 Incentive Award Plan

Pursuant to the terms of the Company's 2007 Equity Participation Plan ("2007 Equity Plan"), which was authorized as a successor plan to the Company's 1998 Equity Incentive Plan and Director Option Plan, an aggregate of 200,000 shares of common stock is available for grant pursuant to the 2007 Equity Plan, plus the number of shares of common stock which are or become available for issuance under the 1998 Equity Plan and the Director Option Plan and which are not thereafter issued under such plans. The 2007 Equity Plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, restricted stock, stock appreciation rights, performance shares, performance stock units, dividend equivalents, stock payments, deferred stock, restricted stock units, other stock-based awards, and performance-based awards. The option exercise price of all stock options granted pursuant to the 2007 Equity Plan will not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. Stock options may be exercised as determined by the Board, but in no event after the tenth anniversary date of grant, provided that a vested nonqualified stock option may be exercised up to 12 months after the optionee's death. Awards granted under the 2007 Equity Plan are generally subject to vesting at the discretion of the Committee. As of March 31, 2014, 148,428 shares were available for issuance under the 2007 Equity Plan.

Directors Stock Option Plan

Pursuant to the terms of the Fifth Amended and Restated Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors, as amended, ("Director Option Plan"), an aggregate of 66,667 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance pursuant to stock options granted to outside directors. Each outside director who was elected or appointed to the Board on or after September 15, 1998 was eligible to be granted an option to purchase 1,667 shares of common stock and on each second anniversary after the applicable election or appointment shall receive an additional option to purchase 833 shares, provided that such outside director continued to serve as an outside director on that date. For each outside director, 1/12th of the total number of shares will vest on the first day of each calendar month following the date of Option grant, contingent upon continued service as a director. Following the adoption of the 2007 Equity Plan, no further awards were issued under the Director Option Plan.

Employee Qualified Stock Purchase Plan

The Company has offered an employee qualified stock purchase plan (“Employee Plan”) under which rights are granted to purchase shares of common stock at 85% of the lower of the market value of such shares at the beginning of a six month offering period or at the end of that six month period. Under the Employee Plan, the Company is authorized to issue up to 16,667 shares of common stock. There were no common stock shares purchased in fiscal years 2014 or 2013. Shares available for future purchase under the Employee Plan were 3,705 as of March 31, 2014.

Savings and Investment Plan

The Company has established a defined contribution plan that covers substantially all U.S. employees. Employee contributions of up to 4% of each U.S. employee’s compensation will be matched by the Company based upon a percentage to be determined annually by the Board. Employees may contribute up to 15% of their compensation, not to exceed a prescribed maximum amount. The Company made contributions to the plan of \$42 and \$24, in the years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Note 11. Stock Based Compensation

The share amounts and share prices reflect a 1-for-5 reverse stock split effected by the Company on June 15, 2011.

A summary of stock option activity during the year ended March 31, 2014 is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Beginning outstanding	263,807	\$ 10.22		
Granted	120,332	\$ 3.51		
Exercised	(10,000)	\$ 3.45		
Expired	(2,380)	\$ 63.97		
Ending outstanding	371,759	\$ 7.89	7.59	\$ 775.00
Ending vested and expected to vest	371,437	\$ 7.89	7.59	\$ 775.00
Ending exercisable	175,841	\$ 12.58	5.82	\$ 775.00

The aggregate intrinsic value of options and warrants outstanding as of March 31, 2014 is calculated as the difference between the exercise price of the underlying options and the market price of our common stock as of March 31, 2014.

The weighted-average estimated grant date fair value, as defined by ASC 718, for stock options granted during fiscal 2014 and 2013, was \$3.06 and \$2.82, per option, respectively.

The following table summarizes information with respect to stock options outstanding as of March 31, 2014:

Range of Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding As of March 31, 2014	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable As of March 31, 2014	Weighted-Average Exercise Price As of March 31, 2014
\$ 2.90 \$ 4.50	271,329	8.98	\$ 3.67	75,411	\$ 3.67
6.00 11.70	48,690	4.74	11.12	48,690	11.12
17.80 28.10	39,244	3.47	21.63	39,244	21.63
34.20 89.52	12,496	1.43	43.65	12,496	43.65
\$ 2.90 \$ 89.52	371,759	7.59	\$ 7.89	175,841	\$ 12.58

No shares were granted under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan during fiscal years 2014 and 2013.

The Company used the following valuation assumptions to estimate the fair value of options granted for the years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively:

STOCK OPTIONS:	2014	2013
Expected life (years)	6.0	6.0
Volatility	152.0%	156.8%
Risk-free interest rate	1.52%	0.65%
Dividend yield	0%	0%

Valuation and Other Assumptions for Stock Options

Valuation and Amortization Method. The Company estimates the fair value of stock options granted using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. We estimate the fair value using a single option approach and amortize the fair value on a straight-line basis for options expected to vest. All options are amortized over the requisite service periods of the awards, which are generally the vesting periods.

Expected Term. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that the options are expected to be outstanding. We estimate the expected term of options granted based on our historical experience of exercises including post-vesting exercises and termination.

Expected Volatility. The Company estimates the volatility of our stock options at the date of grant using historical volatilities. Historical volatilities are calculated based on the historical prices of our common stock over a period at least equal to the expected term of our option grants.

Risk-Free Interest Rate. The Company bases the risk-free interest rate used in the Black-Scholes option pricing model on the implied yield in effect at the time of option grant on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with remaining terms equivalent to the expected term of our option grants.

Dividends. The Company has never paid any cash dividends on common stock and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Forfeitures. The Company uses historical data to estimate pre-vesting option forfeitures. We record stock-based compensation expense only for those awards that are expected to vest.

The Company does not use multiple share-based payment arrangements.

Restricted Stock Units

The following table summarizes the Company's restricted stock award activity for the period ended March 31, 2014:

	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value
Balance March 31, 2013	183,904	\$ 2.67
Granted	10,000	\$ 3.22
Released	(40,397)	\$ 2.56
Vested	(24,437)	\$ 2.56
Balance, March 31, 2014	<u>129,070</u>	<u>\$ 2.77</u>

The weighted-average estimated grant date fair value, as defined by ASC Topic 718 for restricted stock awards granted during fiscal 2014 and 2013 was \$3.22 and \$3.84, per award, respectively.

As of March 31, 2014 there was \$209 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.30 years.

As of March 31, 2014 there was \$392 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.08 years.

Total stock-based compensation expense related to stock options and RSUs for the years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$352 and \$695, respectively.

Note 12. Geographical and Segment Information

As of March 31, 2014, the Company's sole source of revenue was related to its genomics based information technology with respect to its acquisition of CollabRx. The Company's chief operating decision-maker has been identified as the President and Chief Executive Officer, who reviews operating results to make decisions about allocating resources and assessing performance for the entire Company.

For geographical reporting, revenues are attributed to the geographic location in which the customers' facilities are located. For all periods presented, net sales by geographic region were all in the United States.

For fiscal year 2013, the Company operated in two segments through its earnings of project service revenues as a result of its contribution agreement with Sequel Power as well as in the medical technology information market. On March 31, 2013, Sequel Power irrevocably assigned and transferred unto the Company for cancellation the balance of its Warrants representing the right to purchase 44,578 shares of the Company's common stock. In exchange, the Company agreed to waive receivables related to certain fees earned under its Services Agreement with Sequel Partners and its 25% ownership interest in Sequel Power. In addition, effective March 31, 2013, the Company terminated its management agreement with Sequel Power.

CollabRx, will form the core of our business and operations going forward.

	Revenue for the Year Ended	
	March 31,	
	2014	2013
Segment Revenue:		
Genomics based technology information	\$ 658	\$ 300
Solar power management services	--	100
Total revenue	\$ 658	\$ 400

Revenues for each period presented are all part of continuing operations. No revenues for the fiscal years 2014 and 2013 have been reclassified to discontinued operations. All revenues of continuing operations are attributed to the United States.

The composition of our top five customers changed from year to year. In fiscal year 2014, five customers accounted 96% of our revenues. In fiscal year 2013, two customers accounted for 100% of our genomics based revenue, and one customer, Sequel Power, accounted for 100% of solar power project service related sales. The Company no longer operates in the solar power management services segment.

Long-lived assets consist of property and equipment and are attributed to the geographic location in which they are located.

All long-lived assets are located in the United States and are included in continuing operations. There are no long-lived assets in discontinued operations.

Note 13. Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliate

On January 14, 2011, Tegal, se2quel Partners LLC, a California limited liability company and Sequel Power LLC, a newly formed Delaware limited liability company ("Sequel Power"), entered into a Formation and Contribution Agreement (the "Contribution Agreement"). Sequel Power was focused on the promotion of solar power plant development projects worldwide, the development of self-sustaining businesses from such projects, including but not limited to activities relating to and supporting, developing, building and operating solar photovoltaic fabrication facilities and solar farms, and the consideration of other non-photovoltaic renewable energy projects. Se2quel Partners is owned by Ferdinand Seemann, who previously served as an independent member of the Company's Board of Directors. Pursuant to the Formation and Contribution Agreement, Tegal contributed \$2 million in cash to Sequel Power in exchange for an approximate 25% ownership interest in Sequel Power. In addition, Tegal issued warrants ("Warrants") to se2quel Partners and se2quel Management GmbH, a German limited liability company, to purchase an aggregate of 185,777 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$3.15 per share. The warrants are exercisable for a period of four years. On March 31, 2012, Sequel Power irrevocably assigned and transferred unto the Company for cancellation a portion of warrants representing the right to purchase 48,310 shares of the Company's common stock. In exchange, the Company agreed to waive the collection of certain earned fees under its Services Agreement with Sequel Partners. On March 21, 2013, Sequel Power irrevocably assigned and transferred unto the Company for cancellation the balance of its Warrants representing the right to purchase 44,578 shares of the Company's common stock. In exchange, the Company agreed to waive receivables related to certain fees earned under its Services Agreement with Sequel Partners and its 25% ownership interest in Sequel Power. In addition, effective March 21, 2013, the Company terminated its management agreement with Sequel Power.

The descriptions of the Formation and Contribution Agreement and the warrants are qualified in their entirety by reference to the full text of such documents, copies of which were filed as exhibits to the Form 8-K report on January 21, 2011.

The Company reviews the investment for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that an other than temporary decline in value has occurred. The Company took an impairment charge of its Sequel investment in fiscal year 2012 for its full net book value at the time.

Note 14. Subsequent Events

As of March 31, 2014, the Company's investment in Convertible Promissory Note consisted solely of the investment in NanoVibronix. That note bears interest at a rate of 10% per year compounded annually and matures on November 15, 2014. Interest is accrued and recognized quarterly. As of March 31, 2014, the Convertible Promissory Note balance was \$378, consisting of the original \$300 investment and \$78 in accrued interest. NanoVibronix has filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with a proposed initial public offering. If the offering is completed, the Convertible Promissory Note will be converted into common stock of NanoVibronix. Should NanoVibronix, Inc. become a public company, then the Company's Chief Executive Officer will become a member of the NanoVibronix, Inc. Board of Directors.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. As of March 31, 2014, management performed, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Acting Chief Financial Officer, an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the report we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Acting Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Based on the evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Acting Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of March 31, 2014 such disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, a system of internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projection of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods is subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management has conducted, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Acting Chief Financial Officer, an assessment, including testing of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2014. Management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting was based on the framework in *Internal Control over Financial Reporting – Guidance for Smaller Public Companies* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, Management concluded that our system of internal control over financial reporting was effective as of March 31, 2014.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter ended March 31, 2014 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2014 has not been audited by Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc., an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report appearing above. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Certain information required by Part III is allowed to be incorporated by reference from a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A (the "Proxy Statement") that is filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Report, and certain information included therein is incorporated herein by reference. Only those sections of the Proxy Statement that specifically address the items set forth herein are incorporated by reference. Such incorporation does not include the Compensation Committee Report or the Audit Committee Report included in the Proxy Statement.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information concerning our directors and executive officers required by this Item is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement under the caption "Election of Directors" and "Executive Officers."

The information regarding compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement under the caption "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance."

The additional information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement under the caption "Executive Compensation."

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement under the captions "Principal Stockholders" and "Ownership of Stock by Management."

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement under the caption "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions."

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement under the caption "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm".

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Thomas R. Mika, his attorney-in-fact and agent, each with the power of substitution, for him in any and all capacities, to sign any amendments to this Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney-in-fact, or his substitute or substitutes, may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof. Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ THOMAS R. MIKA</u> Thomas R. Mika	President, CEO, and Chairman of the Board (Principal Executive Officer)	June 6, 2014
<u>/s/ THOMAS R. MIKA</u> Thomas R. Mika	Acting Chief Financial Officer (Principal Acting Financial and Accounting Officer)	June 6, 2014
<u>/s/ JEFFREY M. KRAUSS</u> Jeffrey M. Krauss	Director	June 6, 2014
<u>/s/ CARL MUSCARI</u> Carl Muscari	Director	June 6, 2014
<u>/s/ GILBERT BELLINI</u> Gilbert Bellini	Director	June 6, 2014
<u>/s/ PAUL BILLING</u> Paul Billing	Director	June 6, 2014
<u>/s/ JAMES KARIS</u> James Karis	Director	June 6, 2014

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated June 29, 2012, by and among Tegal Corporation, CLBR Acquisition Corp., CollabRx, Inc. and CommerceOne, as Stockholders' Representative (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 5, 2012).
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 29, 2007; Appendix A to Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 30, 2007; Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 14, 2011; Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 21, 2011; and Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 25, 2012).
3.2	Restated By-laws of Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 included in Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 3, 2006).
4.1	Stockholders Agreement, dated July 12, 2012, by and among Tegal Corporation and the stockholders identified therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 18, 2012).
**10.1	Fifth Amended and Restated Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on 10-Q, for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 14, 2006.)
**10.2	Eighth Amended and Restated 1998 Equity Participation Plan of Tegal Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 14, 2006.)
**10.3	2007 Incentive Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement on Schedule 14A, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 29, 2007).
**10.4	Second Amended and Restated Employee Qualified Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix C to the Registrant's revised definitive proxy statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 29, 2004).
10.5	Form of Stock Option Agreement for Employees from the 2007 Incentive Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 21, 2007).
**10.6	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for Employees from the Eighth Amended and Restated 1998 Equity Participation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 12, 2004).
**10.7	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement from the Eighth Amended and Restated 1998 Equity Participation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 11, 2005).
**10.8	Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement between Tegal Corporation and Tom Mika, dated July 5, 2005, (incorporate by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 11, 2005).
**10.9	Restricted Stock Unit Awards between Tegal Corporation and each of Thomas Mika and Christine Hergenrother, each dated October 7, 2010, (incorporated by reference on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 8, 2010).
10.10	Warrant issued to se2quel Partners LLC dated January 14, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 21, 2011).
10.11	Warrant issued to se2quel Management GmbH dated January 14, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 21, 2011).
10.12	Warrant Transfer Agreement and replacement Warrants issued dated March 31, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.5 to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 14, 2012).
10.13	Warrant Transfer Agreement issued dated March 31, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 26, 2013).
**10.14	Employment Agreement, dated June 29, 2012, by and between Tegal Corporation and James Karis (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 5, 2012).

[Table of Contents](#)

10.15	Agreement Not to Compete, dated July 12, 2012, by and between Tegal Corporation and Jay M. Tenenbaum (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 18, 2012).
10.16	Promissory Note issued by Tegal Corporation on July 12, 2012 to Jay M. Tenenbaum (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 18, 2012).
10.17	Promissory Note issued by Tegal Corporation on July 12, 2012 to CommerceNet (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 18, 2012).
**10.18	Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated July 12, 2012, by and between Tegal Corporation and James Karis (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 18, 2012).
10.19	Indemnity Agreement, dated July 12, 2012, by and between Tegal Corporation and James Karis (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 18, 2012).
**10.20	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement, dated as of December 7, 2012, by and between CollabRx, Inc. and James M. Karis (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 7, 2012).
**10.21	Amendment No. 1 to Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated as of December 7, 2012, by and between CollabRx, Inc. and James M. Karis (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 7, 2012).
**10.22	Employment Agreement, dated February 12, 2013, by and among CollabRx, Inc. and Thomas R. Mika (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 12, 2013).
**10.23	Stock Option Grant Notice and Stock Option Agreement, dated July 12, 2012, by and between Tegal Corporation and Smruti Vidwans (included in Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2013).
**10.24	Stock Option Grant Notice and Stock Option Agreement, dated July 12, 2012, by and between Tegal Corporation and Michelle Turski (included in Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2013).
**10.25	Stock Option Grant Notice and Stock Option Agreement, dated July 12, 2012, by and between Tegal Corporation and Lisandra West (included in Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2013).
**10.26	Stock Option Grant Notice and Stock Option Agreement, dated July 12, 2012, by and between Tegal Corporation and Gavin Gordon (included in Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2013).
**10.27	Stock Option Grant Notice and Stock Option Agreement, dated July 12, 2012, by and between Tegal Corporation and John Randy Gobbel (included in Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2013).
**10.28	Stock Option Grant Notice and Stock Option Agreement, dated July 12, 2012, by and between Tegal Corporation and George Lundberg (included in Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2013).
**10.29	Stock Option Grant Notice and Stock Option Agreement, dated July 12, 2012, by and between Tegal Corporation and Jeff Shrager (included in Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2013).
21.1	List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc.
24.1	Power of Attorney (included on signature page hereto).
31.1	Section 302 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Section 302 Certification of the Acting Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Section 906 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Acting Chief Financial Officer.

** Management contract for compensatory plan or arrangement.

LIST OF SUBSIDIARIES OF COLLABRX, INC.

None.

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Nos. 333-175388, 333-169673, 333-147587, 333-128953, 333-12473, 333-66781, 333-88373, 333-51294, 333-110650, and 333-119272), Form S-2 (No. 333-83840) and Form S-3 (Nos. 333-193019, 333-127494, 333-128943, 333-38086, 333-94093, 333-52265, 333-107422, 333-108921, 333-113045, 333-116980, 333-118641 and 333-13147) of our report (which contains an explanatory paragraph related to CollabRx, Inc.'s ability to continue as a going concern as described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements) dated June 6, 2014 related to the consolidated financial statements of CollabRx, Inc. which appears in this Form 10-K.

/s/ Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc.

San Francisco, California
June 6, 2014

**CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Thomas R. Mika, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of CollabRx, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s), if any, and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s), if any, and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

Date: June 6, 2014

/s/ Thomas R. Mika
Chief Executive Officer and President

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
(18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350)**

In connection with the Annual Report of CollabRx, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2014 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report"), I, Thomas R. Mika, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to Sec. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350), that to my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

/s/ Thomas R. Mika
Chief Executive Officer and President
June 6, 2014

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
(18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350)**

In connection with the Annual Report of CollabRx, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2014 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report"), I, Thomas Mika, Acting Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to Sec. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350), that to my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

/s/ Thomas Mika
Acting Chief Financial Officer
June 6, 2014
