

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2005

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 0-26824

TEGAL CORPORATION

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

68-0370244
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2201 South McDowell Blvd.
Petaluma, California 94954
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Telephone Number (707) 763-5600
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange List. Yes No

As of November 9, 2005 there were 83,951,613 shares of our common stock outstanding.

TEGAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

Page

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | | |
|---------|--|----|
| ITEM 1. | CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) | |
| | Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2005 and March 31, 2005 | 3 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations-- for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 | 4 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows-- for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 | 5 |
| | Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 6 |
| ITEM 2. | MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | 12 |
| ITEM 3. | QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK | 21 |

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

| | | |
|---------|---|----|
| ITEM 1. | LEGAL PROCEEDINGS | 22 |
| ITEM 4. | SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS | 22 |
| ITEM 6. | EXHIBITS | 23 |
| | SIGNATURES | 24 |

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

TEGAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited)
(In thousands)

| | September 30, 2005 | March 31, 2005 |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 18,201 | \$ 7,093 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowances for sales returns and doubtful accounts of \$92 and \$533 at September 30, and March 31, 2005 respectively | 5,332 | 1,897 |
| Inventories | 6,050 | 5,140 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 1,099 | 641 |
| | <u>30,682</u> | <u>14,771</u> |
| Property and equipment, net | 2,965 | 3,342 |
| Intangible assets, net | 1,633 | 1,796 |
| Other assets | 166 | 183 |
| | <u>35,446</u> | <u>20,092</u> |
| | <u>\$ 35,446</u> | <u>\$ 20,092</u> |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Notes payable and bank lines of credit | \$ 46 | \$ 159 |
| Accounts payable | 3,107 | 3,607 |
| Accrued product warranty | 315 | 252 |
| Deferred revenue | 434 | 122 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 2,867 | 2,575 |
| | <u>6,769</u> | <u>6,715</u> |
| Total current liabilities | 6,769 | 6,715 |
| Long-term portion of capital lease obligations | 7 | 13 |
| Other long term obligations | 38 | 64 |
| | <u>45</u> | <u>77</u> |
| Total long term liabilities | 45 | 77 |
| | <u>6,814</u> | <u>6,792</u> |
| Total liabilities | 6,814 | 6,792 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 6) | 6,295 | — |
| | <u>13,109</u> | <u>6,792</u> |
| Total liabilities and contingent liabilities | 13,109 | 6,792 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock; \$0.01 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding | — | — |
| Common stock; \$0.01 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 83,951,613 and 52,843,520 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2005 and March 31, 2005 respectively | 840 | 528 |
| Restricted Share Units | 1,280 | — |
| Deferred Compensation | (276) | — |
| Additional paid-in capital | 111,671 | 99,156 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 284 | (110) |
| Accumulated deficit | (91,462) | (86,274) |
| | <u>22,337</u> | <u>13,300</u> |
| Total stockholders' equity | 22,337 | 13,300 |
| | <u>\$ 35,446</u> | <u>\$ 20,092</u> |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 35,446 | \$ 20,092 |

See accompanying notes.

TEGAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)
(In thousands, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Revenue | \$ 6,406 | \$ 4,988 | \$ 9,458 | \$ 8,429 |
| Cost of sales | 3,963 | 3,087 | 6,340 | 5,728 |
| Gross profit (loss) | 2,443 | 1,901 | 3,118 | 2,701 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Research and development | 1,211 | 1,539 | 2,387 | 2,665 |
| Sales and marketing | 757 | 876 | 1,401 | 1,526 |
| General and administrative | 3,398 | 1,992 | 4,638 | 3,593 |
| In Process Research and Development | — | — | — | 1,653 |
| Total operating expenses | 5,366 | 4,407 | 8,426 | 9,437 |
| Operating loss | (2,923) | (2,506) | (5,308) | (6,736) |
| Other income (expense), net | 242 | 5 | 120 | (2,090) |
| Net loss | \$ (2,681) | \$ (2,501) | \$ (5,188) | \$ (8,826) |
| Net loss per share, basic and diluted | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.09) | \$ (0.20) |
| Shares used in per share computations: | | | | |
| Basic | 62,729 | 45,804 | 55,297 | 43,808 |
| Diluted | 62,729 | 45,804 | 55,297 | 43,808 |

See accompanying notes.

TEGAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)
(In thousands)

| | Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (5,188) | \$ (8,826) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash provided by (used) in operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 678 | 712 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts and sales return allowances | (450) | 26 |
| Fair value of warrants issued for services rendered | 792 | 174 |
| Fair value of restricted share units granted | 1,004 | — |
| Non-cash amortization of beneficial conversion feature and debt issuance costs | — | 2,019 |
| Non-cash valuation of marked to market investor warrants | (326) | — |
| In-process research and development | — | 1,653 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Receivables | (2,788) | (487) |
| Inventories | (769) | (44) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (398) | (121) |
| Accounts payable | (489) | 1,057 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 277 | 318 |
| Accrued warranty | 77 | (80) |
| Deferred revenue | 312 | (133) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | (7,268) | (3,732) |
| Cash flows used in investing activities— purchases of property and equipment | (138) | (234) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |

| | | |
|---|---------------|--------------|
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | 18,657 | 2,779 |
| Borrowings under lines of credit | 44 | 874 |
| Repayment of borrowings under lines of credit | (157) | (2,383) |
| Payments on capital lease financing | (6) | (5) |
| | <u>18,538</u> | <u>1,265</u> |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 18,538 | 1,265 |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | (24) | (26) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 11,108 | (2,727) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 7,093 | 7,049 |
| | <u>18,201</u> | <u>4,322</u> |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 18,201 | \$ 4,322 |

Supplemental Schedule of Non Cash Investing Activities (In thousands, except share data):

On May 28, 2004, Tegal purchased substantially all of the assets and assumed certain liabilities of First Derivative Systems, Inc. ("FDSI"), a development stage company, for 1,410,632 shares of common stock valued at \$2,342, \$150 in debt forgiveness, approximately \$50 in assumed liabilities, and \$158 in acquisition costs. The following table represents the allocation of the purchase price for FDSI. In estimating the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed management considered various factors, including an independent appraisal.

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Fair value fixed assets acquired | \$ 111 |
| Non compete agreements | 203 |
| Patents | 733 |
| In-process research and development | 1,653 |
| Debt forgiveness | (150) |
| Assumed liabilities | (50) |
| | <u>2,500</u> |
| | \$ 2,500 |

See accompanying notes.

TEGAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

(All amounts in thousands, except share data)

1. Basis of Presentation:

In the opinion of management, the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the March 31, 2005 audited consolidated financial statements and include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to fairly state the information set forth herein. The statements have been prepared in accordance with the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), but omit certain information and footnote disclosures necessary to present the statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005. The results of operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the entire year.

The consolidated financial statements contemplate the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company incurred net losses of \$5,188 and \$8,826 for the six months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The company generated negative cash flows from operations of \$7,268 and \$3,732 for the period ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 respectively. During the six months ended September 30, 2005 the Company raised a net of \$18,161 through a private investment placement of equity. Management believes that these proceeds, combined with projected sales, consolidation of certain operations and continued cost containment will be adequate to fund operations through fiscal 2007. However, projected sales may not materialize and unforeseen costs may be incurred. If the projected sales do not materialize, the Company's ability to achieve its intended business objectives may be adversely affected. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets or the amount or classification of liabilities or any other adjustments that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of temporary cash investments and accounts receivable. Substantially all of the Company's temporary investments are invested in highly liquid money

market funds. The Company's accounts receivables are derived primarily from sales to customers located in the U.S., Europe, and Asia. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and generally requires no collateral. The Company maintains reserves for potential credit losses. Write-offs during the periods presented have been insignificant. As of September 30, 2005 and September 30, 2004 one customer accounted for approximately 69% and 54%, respectively, of the accounts receivable balance.

During the three months ended September 30, 2005 and September 30, 2004 two customers accounted for 78% and one customer accounted for 52% of total revenues, respectively. During the six months ended September 30, 2005 and September 30, 2004, two customers accounted for 69% and, one customer accounted for 30% of total revenues, respectively.

Stock Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based employee compensation under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, (APB No. 25) and related interpretations. Under APB No. 25, compensation cost is equal to the difference, if any, on the date of grant between the fair value of the Company's stock and the amount an employee must pay to acquire the stock. SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-based Compensation, established accounting and disclosure requirements using a fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation plans. As allowed by SFAS No. 123, the Company has elected to continue to apply the intrinsic value based method of accounting described above, and has adopted the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123 and related SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation – Transition and Disclosure.

The following assumptions are included in the estimated grant date fair value calculations for the Company's stock option awards and Employee Qualified Stock Purchase Plan ("Employee Stock Purchase Plan"):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 | Six Months Ended September 30, 2005 | Three Months Ended September 30, 2004 | Six Months Ended September 30, 2004 |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Expected life (years): | | | | |
| Stock options | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Employee plan | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Volatility: | | | | |
| Stock options | 48% | 60% | 85% | 89% |
| Employee plan | 48% | 60% | 85% | 89% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 3.52% | 3.52% | 4.12% | 4.12% |
| Dividend yield | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |

The following table illustrates the effect on net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to stock-based compensation (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net loss as reported | \$ (2,681) | \$ (2,501) | \$ (5,188) | \$ (8,826) |
| Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in Reported net loss | 1,004 | — | 1,004 | — |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense Determined under fair value method for all awards | (1,533) | (282) | (2,084) | (640) |
| Proforma net loss | \$ (3,210) | \$ (2,783) | \$ (6,268) | \$ (9,466) |
| Basic net loss per share: | | | | |
| As reported | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.06) | \$ (0.09) | \$ (0.22) |
| Proforma | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.06) | \$ (0.11) | \$ (0.22) |

During the three months ended September 30, 2005, the Company awarded 1,000,000 restricted stock units to Brad Mattson, the Company's Chairman, and 150,000 restricted stock units to Thomas Mika, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer at the close of the 2005 PIPE, see note 6. These restricted stock units were accounted for as compensation expense of \$1,004.

During the three months ended September 30, 2005, the Company awarded five employees 400,000 restricted shares. These shares were valued at \$276, are not vested, and were accounted for as Restricted Share Units and Deferred Compensation in the equity section of the balance sheet.

During the previous fiscal year, the Company entered into a contract with certain consultants of the Company pursuant to which the Company will issue warrants on a monthly basis in lieu of cash payments for two years, dependant upon the continuation of the contract and the achievement of certain performance goals. These warrants are valued and expensed on a monthly basis upon issuance. During the three and six months ending September 30, 2005, the Company issued warrants to purchase 84,999 and 169,998 shares, respectively, of the Company's common stock to service providers for services rendered. During the three and six months ended September 30, 2005, the warrants were valued at \$53 and \$137 respectively, using the Black-Scholes model with an exercise price at the market value on the day of the grant and an average interest rate of 3.09% and 2.67% respectively. The life of the warrants is five and seven years with the volatility of 115% and 118%, respectively.

On September 13, 2005 the Company issued 500,000 warrants at \$0.69 as consideration for an amendment to the current lease of the

Company's headquarters to reduce the termination fee. The value of the warrants of \$655 was based on the fair value of the termination penalty reduction offset by the exercise price of the warrant and recorded as rent expense.

The disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123 and SFAS No. 148 require judgments by management as to the estimated lives of the outstanding options. Management has based the estimated life of the options on historical option exercise patterns. If the estimated life of the options increases, the initial valuation of the options will increase as well.

Revenue Recognition

Each sale of our equipment is evaluated on an individual basis in regard to revenue recognition. We have integrated in our evaluation the related interpretative guidance included in Topic 13 of the codification of staff accounting bulletins, and recognize the role of the EITF consensus on Issue 00-21. We first refer to EITF 00-21 in order to determine if there is more than one unit of accounting and then we refer to SAB104 for revenue recognition topics for the unit of accounting. We recognize revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the seller's price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured.

For products produced according to our published specifications, where no installation is required or installation is deemed perfunctory and no substantive customer acceptance provisions exist, revenue is recognized when title passes to the customer, generally upon shipment. Installation is not deemed to be essential to the functionality of the equipment since installation does not involve significant changes to the features or capabilities of the equipment or the building of complex interfaces and connections. In addition, the equipment could be installed by the customer or other vendors and generally the cost of installation approximates only 1% of the sales value of the related equipment.

For products produced according to a particular customer's specifications, revenue is recognized when the product has been tested and it has been demonstrated that it meets the customer's specifications and title passes to the customer. The amount of revenue recorded is reduced by the amount (generally 10%), which is not payable by the customer until installation is completed and final customer acceptance is achieved.

For new products, new applications of existing products, or for products with substantive customer acceptance provisions where performance cannot be fully assessed prior to meeting customer specifications at the customer site, 100% of revenue is recognized upon completion of installation and receipt of final customer acceptance. Since title to goods generally passes to the customer upon shipment and 90% of the contract amount becomes payable at that time, inventory is relieved and accounts receivable is recorded for the entire contract amount. The revenue on these transactions is deferred and recorded as deferred revenue. As of September 30, 2005, deferred revenue as related to systems was \$421. We reserve for warranty costs at the time the related revenue is recognized.

Revenue related to sales of spare parts is recognized upon shipment. Revenue related to maintenance and service contracts is recognized ratably over the duration of the contracts. Unearned maintenance and service revenue is included in deferred revenue. As of September 30, 2005, \$13 of deferred revenue was related to service contracts.

Our return policy is for spare parts and components only. Customers are allowed to return spare parts if they are defective upon receipt. The potential returns are offset against gross revenue on a monthly basis. Management reviews outstanding requests for returns on a quarterly basis to determine that the reserves are adequate.

2. Inventories:

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, reduced by provisions for excess and obsolescence. Cost is computed using standard cost, which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis and includes material, labor and manufacturing overhead costs. We estimate the effects of excess and obsolescence on the carrying values of our inventories based upon estimates of future demand and market conditions. We establish provisions for related inventories in excess of production demand. Should actual production demand differ from our estimates, additional inventory write-downs may be required, as was the case in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005. Any excess and obsolete provision is released only if and when the related inventories is sold or scrapped. During the three and six months ending September 30, 2005, \$187 and \$309, respectively, of previously reserved inventory was sold.

Inventories for the periods presented consisted of:

| | September 30, 2005 | March 31, 2005 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 673 | \$ 1,044 |
| Work in progress | 3,900 | 2,976 |
| Finished goods and spares | 1,477 | 1,120 |
| | \$ 6,050 | \$ 5,140 |

We periodically analyze any systems that are in finished goods inventory to determine if they are suitable for current customer requirements. At the present time, our policy is that, if after approximately 18 months, we determine that a sale will not take place within the next 12 months and the system would be useable for customer demonstrations or training, it is transferred to fixed assets. Otherwise, it is expensed.

3. Product Warranty:

The Company provides warranty on all system sales based on the estimated cost of product warranties at the time revenue is recognized. The warranty obligation is affected by product failure rates, material usage rates, and the efficiency by which the product failure is corrected. Should actual product failure rates, material usage rates and labor efficiencies differ from estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability may be required.

Warranty activity for the three-month and six-month periods ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 was:

| | Warranty Activity for the Three Months Ended September 30, | | Warranty Activity for the Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|--|--------|--|--------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Balance at the beginning of the period | \$ 238 | \$ 312 | \$ 252 | \$ 366 |
| Additional warranty accruals for warranties issued during the period | 166 | 97 | 211 | 230 |
| Accruals related to pre-existing warranties | — | — | — | — |
| Settlements made during the period | (89) | (158) | (148) | (345) |
| Balance at the end of the period | \$ 315 | \$ 251 | \$ 315 | \$ 251 |

Certain of the Company's sales contracts include provisions under which customers would be indemnified by the Company in the event of, among other things, a third-party claim against the customer for intellectual property rights infringement related to the Company's products. There are no limitations on the maximum potential future payments under these guarantees. The Company has accrued no amounts in relation to these provisions as no such claims have been made and the Company believes it has valid, enforceable rights to the intellectual property embedded in its products.

4. Accounting for Restructure Expenses:

During the three months ended September 30, 2005, the Company recorded a severance charge of approximately \$117 related to staff reductions of 5 employees, of which approximately \$24 was classified as cost of sales, \$85 as research and development and \$8 as sales, marketing and general and administrative expenses. The Company had an outstanding severance liability of approximately \$93 as of September 30, 2005. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005, the Company recorded a severance charge of approximately \$129 related to staff reductions of 19 employees, of which approximately \$19 was classified as cost of sales, \$18 as research and development and \$92 as sales, marketing and general and administrative expenses.

5. Net Loss Per Common Share:

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is calculated by dividing net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average common shares outstanding for that period. Diluted EPS takes into account the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if the dilutive potential common shares ("common stock equivalents") had been issued.

Common stock equivalents for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and September 30, 2004, were 2,121,069 and 6,677,260, respectively, and the six months ended September 30, 2005 and September 30, 2004 were 2,283,719 and 8,042,487, respectively, and have been excluded from shares used in calculating diluted loss per share because their effect would be antidilutive.

6. Stock-Based Transactions:

Issuance of Common Stock — 2005 PIPE

Effective July 6, 2005, the Company entered into a Purchase Agreement with certain accredited investors pursuant to which it sold to them an aggregate of 30,840,000 shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$0.65 per share and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 15,420,001 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. All of these securities were sold in a private placement pursuant to Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, solely to accredited investors, as defined in Rule 501 of the Act. This financing transaction is referred to herein as the "2005 PIPE".

In the initial closing of the 2005 PIPE, on July 12, 2005, the Company sold 6,300,000 shares at a purchase price of \$0.65 per share and five-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 3,150,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share to the selling securityholders at an aggregate purchase price of \$4,095. The effective date of the S-3 related to the initial closing was August 25, 2005.

On September 19, 2005, the Company completed the second closing of the 2005 PIPE with five accredited investors for \$16 million. The Company sold the accredited investors 24,540,000 shares of the Company's unregistered common stock at a price of \$0.65 per share. In connection with this sale, warrants for an additional 12,270,001 shares of common stock at a strike price of \$1.00 were also issued to the investors. The shares were issued in reliance upon the exemptions from registration provided by Rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) under the Securities Act. The warrants are exercisable upon issuance and expire September 19, 2010.

Within 30 calendar days following the closing date, the Company was required to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") a registration statement covering the resale of all of the common stock purchased and the common stock underlying the warrants issued to the investors. The Company was required to use its commercially reasonable efforts to obtain effectiveness of the registration statement before the earlier of (a) the 120th calendar day following the closing date or (b) the fifth trading day following notification by the

SEC that the registration statement will not be reviewed or is no longer subject to further review and comments.

The Company timely filed a registration statement on Form S-3 ("S-3") covering the resale of the purchased and warrant-related common stock on October 12, 2005. By correspondence, dated October 19, 2005, the SEC informed the Company it was waiving review of the S-3. By letter, dated October 21, 2005, the Company requested the SEC accelerate the effectiveness date. The S-3 became effective on October 24, 2005.

The registration rights agreement provided that if a registration statement was not filed, or did not become effective, within the defined time period, then in addition to any other rights the investors may have had, the Company would have been required to pay to each investor an amount in cash, as liquidated damages, equal to 1.5 % per month of the aggregate purchase price, prorated daily.

In accordance with EITF 00-19, "Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed To, and Potentially Settled In a Company's Own Stock," the fair value of the warrants in the second closing of the 2005 PIPE on the date of grant was estimated to be \$6,621 using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: no dividends; risk-free interest rate of 3.5%, the contractual life of 5 years and volatility of 115%. The warrants' fair value was reported as a liability at the time of grant, with a corresponding charge to common stock. At September 30, 2005, the fair value of the warrants was estimated to be \$6,295 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the same assumptions. The \$326 decrease in the liability has been reported as other income. The fair value of the warrants was reclassified to common stock when the applicable S-3 filing became effective on October 24, 2005, with the difference in the fair value of the warrants between September 30, 2005, and the effective date reflected in the statement of operations

The Company paid a placement fee of \$1,453 (equal to 7% of the Company's gross proceeds for both transactions) to Dahlman Rose & Company LLC, the Company's financial advisor.

Issuance of Warrants to Consultants

The Company is party to a contract with certain consultants pursuant to which the Company will issue warrants on a monthly basis in lieu of cash payments through August 2006, depending upon the continuation of the contract and the achievement of certain performance goals. The maximum number of warrants to be issued under these agreements is 990,000 shares. During the three and six months ended September 30, 2005, the warrants were valued at \$53 and \$137 respectively, using the Black-Scholes model with an exercise price at the market value on the day of the grant and an average interest rate of 3.09% and 2.67% respectively. The life of the warrants is five and seven years with the volatility of 115% and 118%, respectively.

On September 13, 2005 the Company issued 500,000 warrants at \$0.69 as consideration for an amendment to the current lease of the Company's headquarters to reduce the termination fee. The value of the warrants of \$655 was based on the fair value of the termination penalty reduction offset by the exercise price of the warrant and recorded as rent expense.

7. Lines of Credit:

As of September 30, 2005, the Company's Japanese subsidiary had borrowed 1.5 million Yen (approximately \$13 at exchange rates prevailing on September 30, 2005) under its Japanese bank line of credit. The credit line has a total borrowing capacity of 150 million Yen (approximately \$1,327 at exchange rates prevailing on September 30, 2005), which is secured by Japanese customer promissory notes held by such subsidiary in advance of payment on customers' accounts receivable. The Japanese bank line bears interest at Japanese prime (1.375% as of September 30, 2005) plus 0.875%.

Notes payable as of September 30, 2005 consisted primarily of one outstanding note to the California Trade and Commerce Agency for \$32. The unsecured note from the California Trade and Commerce Agency carries an annual interest rate of 5.75% with monthly payments of approximately \$5.5 per month. Although the payment deadlines are being met, the note is currently in technical default due to the merger of Sputtered Films and Tegal Corporation.

8. Geographical Information

Tegal operates in one segment for the manufacture, marketing and servicing of integrated circuit fabrication equipment. In accordance with SFAS No. 131 (SFAS 131) "Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information," Tegal's chief operating decision-maker has been identified as the President and Chief Executive Officer, who reviews operating results to make decisions about allocating resources and assessing performance for the entire company. All material operating units qualify for aggregation under SFAS 131 due to their identical customer base and similarities in: economic characteristics; nature of products and services; and procurement, manufacturing and distribution processes. Since Tegal operates in one segment and in one group of similar products and services, all financial segment and product line information required by SFAS 131 can be found in the consolidated financial statements.

For geographical reporting, revenues are attributed to the geographic location in which the customers' facilities are located. Long-lived assets consist primarily of property, plant and equipment, and are attributed to the geographic location in which they are located. Net sales and long-lived assets by geographic region were as follows:

| | Revenue for the Three Months Ended September 30, | | Revenue for the Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------|---|--------|---|----------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Sales to customers located in: | | | | |
| United States | \$ 1,416 | \$ 561 | \$ 1,743 | \$ 1,747 |
| Asia, excluding Japan | 199 | 439 | 339 | 803 |
| Japan | 500 | 3,357 | 1,078 | 4,729 |

| | | | | |
|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Europe | 4,291 | 631 | 6,298 | 1,150 |
| Total sales | \$ 6,406 | \$ 4,988 | \$ 9,458 | \$ 8,429 |

| | September 30, 2005 | March 31, 2005 |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Long-lived assets at period-end: | | |
| United States | \$ 4,573 | \$ 5,112 |
| Europe | 12 | 7 |
| Japan | 9 | 16 |
| Asia, excluding Japan | 4 | 3 |
| Total long-lived assets | \$ 4,598 | \$ 5,138 |

9. Comprehensive Income (Loss):

The components of comprehensive loss for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net loss | \$ (2,681) | \$ (2,501) | \$ (5,188) | \$ (8,826) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | 219 | 61 | 393 | 85 |
| | \$ (2,462) | \$ (2,440) | \$ (4,795) | \$ (8,741) |

10. Subsequent Events:

The fair value of the warrants issued upon the second closing of the 2005 PIPE was estimated to be \$6,295 on September 30, 2005 and was recorded as a liability. The warrant liability was reclassified to equity as of the effective date of the registration statement covering the resale of the shares issuable upon conversion of the warrants, October 24, 2005.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Information herein contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, which can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "may," "will," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," or "continue" or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology or which constitute projected financial information. The forward-looking statements relate to the near-term semiconductor capital equipment industry outlook, demand for our products, our quarterly revenue and earnings prospects for the near-term future and other matters contained herein. Such statements are based on current expectations and beliefs and involve a number of uncertainties and risks that could cause the actual results to differ materially from those projected. Such uncertainties and risks include, are not limited to, cyclicalities of the semiconductor industry, impediments to customer acceptance of our products, fluctuations in quarterly operating results, competitive pricing pressures, the introduction of competitor products having technological and/or pricing advantages, product volume and mix and other risks detailed from time to time in our SEC reports. For further information, refer to the business description and risk factors described below.

The following summarizes our contractual obligations at September 30, 2005, and the effect such obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flows in future periods (in thousands).

| | Total | Less than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | After 5 Years |
|--|----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|
| Contractual obligations: | | | | | |
| Non-cancelable capital lease obligations | \$ 20 | \$ 13 | \$ 7 | — | — |
| Non-cancelable operating lease obligations | 4,830 | 1,398 | 2,164 | 1,268 | — |
| Notes payable and bank lines of credit | 46 | 46 | — | — | — |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$ 4,896 | \$ 1,457 | \$ 2,171 | \$ 1,268 | — |

Certain sales contracts of the Company include provisions under which customers would be indemnified by the Company in the event of, among other things, a third-party claim against the customer for intellectual property rights infringement related to the Company's products. There are no limitations on the maximum potential future payments under these guarantees. The Company has accrued no amounts in relation to these provisions as no such claims have been made and the Company believes it has valid, enforceable rights to the

intellectual property embedded in its products.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to inventory, warranty obligations, purchase order commitments, bad debts, income taxes, intangible assets, restructuring and contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition

Each sale of our equipment is evaluated on an individual basis in regard to revenue recognition. We have integrated in our evaluation the related interpretative guidance included in Topic 13 of the codification of staff accounting bulletins, and recognize the role of the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") consensus on Issue 00-21. We first refer to EITF 00-21 in order to determine if there is more than one unit of accounting and then we refer to SAB104 for revenue recognition topics for the unit of accounting. We recognize revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the seller's price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured.

For products produced according to our published specifications, where no installation is required or installation is deemed perfunctory and no substantive customer acceptance provisions exist, revenue is recognized when title passes to the customer, generally upon shipment. Installation is not deemed to be essential to the functionality of the equipment since installation does not involve significant changes to the features or capabilities of the equipment or building complex interfaces and connections. In addition, the equipment could be installed by the customer or other vendors and generally the cost of installation approximates only 1% of the sales value of the related equipment.

For products produced according to a particular customer's specifications, revenue is recognized when the product has been tested and it has been demonstrated that it meets the customer's specifications and title passes to the customer. The amount of revenue recorded is reduced by the amount (generally 10%), which is not payable by the customer until installation is completed and final customer acceptance is achieved.

For new products, new applications of existing products, or for products with substantive customer acceptance provisions where performance cannot be fully assessed prior to meeting customer specifications at the customer site, 100% of revenue is recognized upon completion of installation and receipt of final customer acceptance. Since title to goods generally passes to the customer upon shipment and 90% of the contract amount becomes payable at that time, inventory is relieved and accounts receivable is recorded for the entire contract amount. The revenue on these transactions is deferred and recorded as deferred revenue. We reserve for warranty costs at the time the related revenue is recognized.

Revenue related to sales of spare parts is recognized upon shipment. Revenue related to maintenance and service contracts is recognized ratably over the duration of the contracts. Unearned maintenance and service revenue is included in other accrued liabilities.

Accounts Receivable – Allowance for Sales Returns and Doubtful Accounts

We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts receivable for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, or even a single customer was otherwise unable to make payments, additional allowances may be required.

Our return policy is for spare parts and components only. Customers are allowed to return spare parts if they are defective upon receipt, in accordance with SFAS 48. The potential returns are offset against gross revenue on a monthly basis. Management reviews outstanding requests for returns on a quarterly basis to determine that the reserves are adequate.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, reduced by provisions for excess and obsolescence. Cost is computed using standard cost, which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis and includes material, labor and manufacturing overhead costs. We estimate the effects of excess and obsolescence on the carrying values of our inventories based upon estimates of future demand and market conditions. We establish provisions for related inventories in excess of production demand. Should actual production demand differ from our estimates, additional inventory write-downs may be required, as was the case in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005. Any excess and obsolete provision is released only if and when the related inventories is sold or scrapped.

We periodically analyze any systems that are in finished goods inventory to determine if they are suitable for current customer requirements. At the present time, our policy is that, if after approximately 18 months, we determine that a sale will not take place within the next 12 months, and the system would be useable for customer demonstrations or training, it is transferred to fixed assets. Otherwise, it is expensed.

The carrying value of systems used for demonstrations or training is determined by assessing the cost of the components that are suitable for sale. Any parts that may be rendered unsaleable as a result of such use are removed from the system and are not included in finished goods inventory. The remaining saleable parts are valued at the lower of cost or market, representing the system's net realizable value. The depreciation period for systems that are transferred to fixed assets is determined based on the age of the system and its remaining useful life (typically five to eight years).

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If undiscounted expected future cash flows are less than the carrying value of the assets, an impairment loss is recognized based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets.

Warranty Obligations

We provide for the estimated cost of our product warranties at the time revenue is recognized. Our warranty obligation is affected by product failure rates, material usage rates and the efficiency by which the product failure is corrected. Should actual product failure rates, material usage rates and labor efficiencies differ from our estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability may be required.

Deferred Taxes

We record a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. Based on the uncertainty of future taxable income, we have fully reserved our deferred tax assets. In the event we were to determine that we would be able to realize our deferred tax assets in the future, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would increase income in the period such determination was made.

Results of Operations

Tegal designs, manufactures, markets and services plasma etch and deposition systems that enable the production of integrated circuits ("ICs"), memory and related microelectronics devices used in personal computers, wireless voice and data telecommunications, contactless transaction devices, radio frequency identification devices ("RFID's"), smart cards, data storage and micro-level actuators. Etching and deposition constitute two of the principal IC and related device production process steps and each must be performed numerous times in the production of such devices.

The following table sets forth certain financial items as a percentage of revenue for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2005 and 2004:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Revenue | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Total cost of sales | 61.9 | 61.9 | 67.0 | 68.0 |
| Gross profit (loss) | 38.1 | 38.1 | 33.0 | 32.0 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Research and development | 18.9 | 30.9 | 25.3 | 31.6 |
| Sales and marketing | 11.8 | 17.6 | 14.8 | 18.1 |
| General and administrative | 53.0 | 39.8 | 49.0 | 42.6 |
| In-process research and development | — | — | — | 19.6 |
| Total operating expenses | 83.7 | 88.3 | 89.1 | 111.9 |
| Operating loss | (45.6) | (50.2) | (56.1) | (79.9) |
| Other expense, net | (1.3) | 0.1 | (2.2) | (24.8) |
| Net loss | (46.9) | (50.1) | (58.3) | (104.7) |

Revenue. The increase in revenue for the three and six months ended September 30, 2005 was principally due to the sale of additional 980 series and used 6500 series systems over the same period of the previous year, offset by the lower revenue associated with a recertified Endeavor compared to a new Endeavor sold in the previous year, and reduced service and spare parts sales. We believe the reduction of service and spare parts sales is a result of reduced usage of our non-critical etch systems by our customers.

International sales as a percentage of the Company's revenue for the three and six months ended September 30, 2005 were approximately 77.9% and 81.6%, respectively. International sales as a percentage of the Company's revenue for the three and six months ended September 30, 2004 were approximately 88.8% and 79.3%, respectively. We believe that international sales will continue to represent a significant portion of our revenue.

Gross profit. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue was flat at 38.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and relatively flat at 33.0% and 32.0% for the six months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Research and development. Research and development expenses consist primarily of salaries, prototype material and other costs associated with our ongoing systems and process technology development, applications and field process support efforts. The decrease in research and development spending resulted from lower license fees, consulting, employee travel, and recruiting expenses as compared to the prior year.

Sales and marketing. Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries, commissions, trade show promotion and travel and living expenses associated with those functions. The decrease in sales and marketing spending was primarily due to temporary reduction of personnel which the Company believes will return to previous run rates within the next six months.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of compensation for general management, accounting and finance, human resources, information systems and investor relations functions and for legal, consulting and accounting fees of the Company. The increase in spending was primarily due to non cash restricted stock units granted and recorded as compensation expense and warrants issued to the landlord as compensation for the lease termination fee reduction, in addition to an accrual of \$500 paid in October as an advance on the termination penalty, offset in part by reduced legal and consulting fees that were associated with the defense of patents and the integration of the acquired companies in the previous period.

Other income (expense), net. Other income (expense), net consists principally of, interest income, interest expense, other income, (expense), and gains and losses on foreign exchange. We recorded net non-operating income of \$242 and \$120 during the three-month and six-month period ended September 30, 2005 respectively. Other income was principally due to the mark to market valuation of the investor warrants of \$326.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

For the six-month period ended September 30, 2005, we financed our operations through the use of outstanding cash balances, borrowings against our credit facilities in Japan and net proceeds from the 2005 PIPE.

As of September 30, 2005, the Company's Japanese subsidiary had borrowed 1.5 million Yen (approximately \$13 at exchange rates prevailing on September 30, 2005) under its Japanese bank line of credit. The credit line has a total borrowing capacity of 150 million Yen (approximately \$1,327 at exchange rates prevailing on September 30, 2005), which is secured by Japanese customer promissory notes held by such subsidiary in advance of payment on customers' accounts receivable. The Japanese bank line bears interest at Japanese prime (1.375% as of September 30, 2005) plus 0.875%.

Notes payable as of September 30, 2005 consisted primarily of one outstanding note to the California Trade and Commerce Agency for \$32. The unsecured note from the California Trade and Commerce Agency carries an annual interest rate of 5.75% with monthly payments of approximately \$5.5 per month. Although the payment deadlines are being met, the note is currently in technical default due to the merger of Sputtered Films and Tegal Corporation.

The consolidated financial statements contemplate the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company incurred net losses of \$5,188 and \$8,826 for the six months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The company generated negative cash flows from operations of \$7,268 and \$3,732 for the period ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 respectively. During the six months ended September 30, 2005 the Company raised a net of \$18,161 through a private investment placement of equity. Management believes that these proceeds, combined with projected sales, consolidation of certain operations and continued cost containment will be adequate to fund operations through fiscal 2007. However, projected sales may not materialize and unforeseen costs may be incurred. If the projected sales do not materialize, the Company's ability to achieve its intended business objectives may be adversely affected. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets or the amount or classification of liabilities or any other adjustments that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

Risk Factors

We have incurred operating losses and may not be profitable in the future; Our plans to maintain and increase liquidity may not be successful; The report of the independent registered public accounting firm includes a going concern uncertainty explanatory paragraph.

We incurred net losses of \$15.4 million, \$12.6 million and \$12.6 million for the years ended March 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, and generated negative cash flows from operations of \$7.5 million, \$3.2 million and \$6.0 million in these respective years. These factors raise substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern, and our independent registered public accounting firm has included a going concern uncertainty explanatory paragraph in their report dated May 27, 2005 filed with our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended March 31, 2005. We have raised approximately \$20.0 million from the sale of stock and warrants in the 2005 PIPE. During fiscal year 2005, we raised approximately \$10.4 million from stock issued to Kingsbridge. Management believes that these proceeds, combined with a projected increase in sales, consolidation of certain operations and continued cost containment will be adequate to fund operations for the next twelve months. If the projected sales do not materialize, we will need to reduce expenses further and raise additional capital through the issuance of debt or equity securities. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of preferred stock or debt, these securities could have rights, privileges or preferences senior to those of our common stock, and debt covenants could impose restrictions on our operations. Moreover, such financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all. Failure to raise additional funds may adversely affect our ability to achieve our intended business objectives. Our consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets or the amount or classification of liabilities or any other adjustments that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

The exercise of outstanding warrants, options and other rights to obtain additional shares will dilute the value of our shares of common stock and could cause the price of our shares of common stock to decline.

As of September 30, 2005, there were 83,951,613 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding and there were 25,006,559 shares of common stock reserved for issuance under our equity incentive and stock purchase plans.

As of September 30, 2005, there were warrants, stock options and restricted stock units outstanding for approximately 30,322,552, shares of our common stock.

The exercise of these warrants and options and the issuance of the common stock pursuant to our equity incentive plans will result in dilution in the value of the shares of our outstanding common stock and the voting power represented thereby. In addition, the exercise price of the warrants may be lowered under the price adjustment provisions in the event of a "dilutive issuance," that is, if we issue common stock at any time prior to their maturity at a per share price below such conversion or exercise price, either directly or in connection with the issuance of securities that are convertible into, or exercisable for, shares of our common stock. A reduction in the exercise price may result in the issuance of a significant number of additional shares upon the exercise of the warrants.

The warrants do not establish a "floor" that would limit reductions in such conversion price or exercise price. The downward adjustment of the exercise price of these warrants could result in further dilution in the value of the shares of our outstanding common stock and the voting power represented thereby.

No prediction can be made as to the effect, if any, that future sales of shares of our common stock, or the availability of shares for future sale, will have on the market price of our common stock prevailing from time to time. Sales of substantial amounts of shares of our common stock in the public market, or the perception that such sales could occur, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock and may make it more difficult for us to sell our equity securities in the future at a time and price which we deem appropriate.

To the extent our stockholders and the other holders of our warrants and options exercise such securities and then sell the shares of our common stock they receive upon exercise, our stock price may decrease due to the additional amount of shares available in the market. The subsequent sales of these shares could encourage short sales by our securityholders and others, which could place further downward pressure on our stock price. Moreover, holders of these warrants and options may hedge their positions in our common stock by shorting our common stock, which could further adversely affect our stock price.

If we fail to meet the continued listing requirements of the Nasdaq Stock Market, our stock could be delisted.

Our stock is currently listed on The Nasdaq Capital Market. The Nasdaq Stock Market's Marketplace Rules impose certain minimum financial requirements on us for the continued listing of our stock. One such requirement is the minimum bid price on our stock of \$1.00 per share. Beginning in 2002, there have been periods of time during which we have been out of compliance with the \$1.00 minimum bid requirements of The Nasdaq Capital Market.

On August 18, 2005, we were notified by the Nasdaq that the bid price of our common stock closed below the minimum \$1.00 per share requirement for continued inclusion under the Nasdaq's Marketplace rules. We have 180 calendar days, or until February 13, 2006, to regain compliance. If, at anytime before February 13, 2006, the bid price of our common stock closes at or above \$1.00 per share for a minimum of 10 consecutive business days, we will regain compliance with the Nasdaq's Marketplace rules. If we do not regain compliance by February 13, 2006, an additional 180 days will be granted to regain compliance, so long as we meet the Nasdaq Capital Market initial listing criteria (except for the bid price requirement).

If we are unable to regain compliance or fall out of compliance in the future with Nasdaq listing requirements, we may take actions in order to achieve compliance, which actions may include a reverse split of our common stock. If an initial delisting decision is made by the Nasdaq's staff, we may appeal the decision as permitted by Nasdaq rules. If we are delisted and cannot obtain listing on another major market or exchange, our stock's liquidity would suffer, and we would likely experience reduced investor interest. Such factors may result in a decrease in our stock's trading price. Delisting also may restrict us from issuing additional securities or securing additional financing.

The semiconductor industry is cyclical and may experience periodic downturns that may negatively affect customer demand for our products and result in losses such as those experienced in the past.

Our business depends upon the capital expenditures of semiconductor manufacturers, which in turn depend on the current and anticipated market demand for integrated circuits. The semiconductor industry is highly cyclical and historically has experienced periodic downturns, which often have had a detrimental effect on the semiconductor industry's demand for semiconductor capital equipment, including etch and deposition systems manufactured by us. In response to the current prolonged industry slow-down, we have initiated a substantial cost containment program and completed a corporate-wide restructuring to preserve our cash. However, the need for continued investment in research and development, possible capital equipment requirements and extensive ongoing customer service and support requirements worldwide will continue to limit our ability to reduce expenses in response to these downturns. As a result, we may continue to experience operating losses such as those we have experienced in the past, which could materially adversely affect us.

Our competitors have greater financial resources and greater name recognition than we do and therefore may compete more successfully in the semiconductor capital equipment industry than we can.

We believe that to be competitive, we will require significant financial resources in order to offer a broad range of systems, to maintain customer service and support centers worldwide and to invest in research and development. Many of our existing and potential competitors, including, among others, Applied Materials, Inc., Lam Research Corporation, Novellus and Tokyo Electron Limited, have substantially greater financial resources, more extensive engineering, manufacturing, marketing and customer service and support capabilities, larger installed bases of current generation etch, deposition and other production equipment and broader process equipment offerings, as well as greater name recognition than we do. We cannot assure you that we will be able to compete successfully against these companies in the United States or worldwide.

We depend on sales of our advanced products to customers that may not fully adopt our product for production use.

We have designed our advanced etch and deposition products for customer applications in emerging new films, polysilicon and metal which we believe to be the leading edge of critical applications for the production of advanced semiconductor and other microelectronic devices. Revenues from the sale of our advanced etch and deposition systems accounted for 30%, 40% and 25% of total revenues in fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Our advanced systems are currently being used primarily for research and development activities or low volume production. For our advanced systems to achieve full market adoption, our customers must utilize these systems for volume production. We cannot assure you that the market for devices incorporating emerging films, polysilicon or metal will develop as quickly or to the degree we expect. If our advanced systems do not achieve significant sales or volume production due to a lack of full customer adoption, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows will be materially adversely affected.

Our potential customers may not adopt our products because of their significant cost or because our potential customers are already using a competitor's tool.

A substantial investment is required to install and integrate capital equipment into a semiconductor production line. Additionally, we believe that once a device manufacturer has selected a particular vendor's capital equipment, that manufacturer generally relies upon that vendor's equipment for that specific production line application and, to the extent possible, subsequent generations of that vendor's systems. Accordingly, it may be extremely difficult to achieve significant sales to a particular customer once that customer has selected another vendor's capital equipment unless there are compelling reasons to do so, such as significant performance or cost advantages. Any failure to gain access and achieve sales to new customers will adversely affect the successful commercial adoption of our products and could have a detrimental effect on us.

Our quarterly operating results may continue to fluctuate.

Our revenue and operating results have fluctuated and are likely to continue to fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter, and we cannot assure you that we will achieve profitability in the future.

Our 900 series etch systems typically sell for prices ranging between \$250,000 and \$600,000, while prices of our 6500 series critical etch systems and our Endeavor deposition system typically range between \$1.8 million and \$3.0 million. To the extent we are successful in selling our 6500 and Endeavor series systems, the sale of a small number of these systems will probably account for a substantial portion of revenue in future quarters, and a transaction for a single system could have a substantial impact on revenue and gross margin for a given quarter.

Other factors that could affect our quarterly operating results include:

- our timing of new systems and technology announcements and releases and ability to transition between product versions;
- seasonal fluctuations in sales;
- changes in the mix of our revenues represented by our various products and customers;
- adverse changes in the level of economic activity in the United States or other major economies in which we do business;
- foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations;
- expenses related to, and the financial impact of, possible acquisitions of other businesses; and
- changes in the timing of product orders due to unexpected delays in the introduction of our customers' products, due to lifecycles of our customers' products ending earlier than expected or due to market acceptance of our customers' products.

Some of our sales cycles are lengthy, exposing us to the risks of inventory obsolescence and fluctuations in operating results.

Sales of our systems depend, in significant part, upon the decision of a prospective customer to add new manufacturing capacity or to expand existing manufacturing capacity, both of which typically involve a significant capital commitment. We often experience delays in finalizing system sales following initial system qualification while the customer evaluates and receives approvals for the purchase of our systems and completes a new or expanded facility. Due to these and other factors, our systems typically have a lengthy sales cycle (often 12 to 18 months in the case of critical etch and deposition systems) during which we may expend substantial funds and management effort. Lengthy sales cycles subject us to a number of significant risks, including inventory obsolescence and fluctuations in operating results over which we have little or no control.

Because technology changes rapidly, we may not be able to introduce our products in a timely enough fashion.

The semiconductor manufacturing industry is subject to rapid technological change and new system introductions and enhancements. We believe that our future success depends on our ability to continue to enhance our existing systems and their process capabilities, and to develop and manufacture in a timely manner new systems with improved process capabilities. We may incur substantial unanticipated costs to ensure product functionality and reliability early in our products' life cycles. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in the introduction and volume manufacture of new systems or that we will be able to develop and introduce, in a timely manner, new systems or enhancements to our existing systems and processes which satisfy customer needs or achieve market adoption.

Our financial performance may adversely affect the morale and performance of our personnel and our ability to hire new personnel.

Our common stock has declined in value below the exercise price of many options granted to employees pursuant to our stock option plans. Thus, the intended benefits of the stock options granted to our employees, the creation of performance and retention incentives, may not be realized. As a result, we may lose employees whom we would prefer to retain. As a result of these factors, our remaining personnel may seek employment with larger, more established companies or companies perceived as having less volatile stock prices.

Provisions in our agreements, charter documents, stockholder rights plan and Delaware law may deter takeover attempts, which

could decrease the value of your shares.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and Delaware law contain provisions that could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us without the consent of our board of directors. Our board of directors has the right to issue preferred stock without stockholder approval, which could be used to dilute the stock ownership of a potential hostile acquirer. Delaware law imposes some restrictions on mergers and other business combinations between us and any holder of 15% or more of our outstanding common stock. In addition, we have adopted a stockholder rights plan that makes it more difficult for a third party to acquire us without the approval of our board of directors. These provisions apply even if the offer may be considered beneficial by some of our stockholders.

We may not be able to protect our intellectual property or obtain licenses for third parties' intellectual property and therefore we may be exposed to liability for infringement or the risk that our operations may be adversely affected.

Although we attempt to protect our intellectual property rights through patents, copyrights, trade secrets and other measures, we may not be able to protect our technology adequately and competitors may be able to develop similar technology independently. Additionally, patent applications that we may file may not be issued and foreign intellectual property laws may not protect our intellectual property rights. There is also a risk that patents licensed by or issued to us will be challenged, invalidated or circumvented and that the rights granted thereunder will not provide competitive advantages to us. Furthermore, others may independently develop similar systems, duplicate our systems or design around the patents licensed by or issued to us.

Litigation could result in substantial cost and diversion of effort by us, which by itself could have a detrimental effect on our financial condition, operating results and cash flows. Further, adverse determinations in such litigation could result in our loss of proprietary rights, subject us to significant liabilities to third parties, require us to seek licenses from third parties or prevent us from manufacturing or selling our systems. In addition, licenses under third parties' intellectual property rights may not be available on reasonable terms, if at all.

Our customers are concentrated and therefore the loss of a significant customer may harm our business.

Our top five customers accounted for 80.0%, 84.8% and 88.2% of our systems revenues in fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Three customers each accounted for more than 10% of net systems sales in fiscal 2005. Although the composition of the group comprising our largest customers may vary from year to year, the loss of a significant customer or any reduction in orders by any significant customer, including reductions due to market, economic or competitive conditions in the semiconductor manufacturing industry, may have a detrimental effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Our ability to increase our sales in the future will depend, in part, upon our ability to obtain orders from new customers, as well as the financial condition and success of our existing customers and the general economy, which is largely beyond our ability to control.

We are exposed to additional risks associated with international sales and operations.

International sales accounted for 70%, 67% and 66% of total revenue for fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. International sales are subject to certain risks, including the imposition of government controls, fluctuations in the U.S. dollar (which could increase the sales price in local currencies of our systems in foreign markets), changes in export license and other regulatory requirements, tariffs and other market barriers, political and economic instability, potential hostilities, restrictions on the export or import of technology, difficulties in accounts receivable collection, difficulties in managing representatives, difficulties in staffing and managing international operations and potentially adverse tax consequences. We cannot assure you that any of these factors will not have a detrimental effect on our operations, financial results and cash flows.

We generally attempt to offset a portion of our U.S. dollar denominated balance sheet exposures subject to foreign exchange rate remeasurement by purchasing forward currency contracts for future delivery. We cannot assure you that our future results of operations and cash flows will not be adversely affected by foreign currency fluctuations. In addition, the laws of certain countries in which our products are sold may not provide our products and intellectual property rights with the same degree of protection as the laws of the United States.

Evolving regulation of corporate governance and public disclosure may result in additional expenses and continuing uncertainty.

Changing laws, regulations and standard relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, new SEC regulations and Nasdaq Market rules are creating uncertainty for public companies. We continually evaluate and monitor developments with respect to new and proposed rules and cannot predict or estimate the amount of the additional costs we may incur or the timing of such costs. These new or changed laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations, in many cases due to their lack of specificity, and as a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies. This could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs necessitated by ongoing revisions to disclosure and governance practices. We are committed to maintaining high standards of corporate governance and public disclosure. As a result, we have invested resources to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards, and this investment may result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities. If our efforts to comply with new or changed laws, regulations and standards differ from the activities intended by regulatory or governing bodies due to ambiguities related to practice, regulatory authorities may initiate legal proceedings against us and we may be harmed.

Our stock price is volatile and could result in a material decline in the value of your investment in Tegal.

We believe that factors such as announcements of developments related to our business, fluctuations in our operating results, sales of our common stock into the marketplace, failure to meet or changes in analysts' expectations, general conditions in the semiconductor industry or the worldwide economy, announcements of technological innovations or new products or enhancements by us or our competitors, developments in patents or other intellectual property rights, developments in our relationships with our customers and suppliers, natural disasters and outbreaks of hostilities could cause the price of our common stock to fluctuate substantially. In addition, in recent years the stock market in general, and the market for shares of small capitalization stocks in particular, have experienced extreme price fluctuations, which have often been unrelated to the operating performance of affected companies. We cannot assure you that the

market price of our common stock will not experience significant fluctuations in the future, including fluctuations that are unrelated to our performance.

Potential disruption of our supply of materials required to build our systems could have a negative effect on our operations and damage our customer relationships.

Materials delays have not been significant in recent years. Nevertheless, we procure certain components and sub-assemblies included in our systems from a limited group of suppliers, and occasionally from a single source supplier. For example, we depend on MECS Corporation, a robotic equipment supplier, as the sole source for the robotic arm used in all of our 6500 series systems. We currently have no existing supply contract with MECS Corporation, and we currently purchase all robotic assemblies from MECS Corporation on a purchase order basis. Disruption or termination of certain of these sources, including our robotic sub-assembly source, could have an adverse effect on our operations and damage our relationship with our customers.

Any failure by us to comply with environmental regulations imposed on us could subject us to future liabilities.

We are subject to a variety of governmental regulations related to the use, storage, handling, discharge or disposal of toxic, volatile or otherwise hazardous chemicals used in our manufacturing process. We believe that we are currently in compliance in all material respects with these regulations and that we have obtained all necessary environmental permits generally relating to the discharge of hazardous wastes to conduct our business. Nevertheless, our failure to comply with present or future regulations could result in additional or corrective operating costs, suspension of production, alteration of our manufacturing processes or cessation of our operations.

Special Note Regarding Forward Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q includes or incorporates by reference forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Forward-looking statements, which are based on assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by the use of the words “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “project,” or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions about us. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements we make in this Form 10-Q are set forth under the caption “Risk Factors” and elsewhere in this Report and the documents incorporated by reference in this Form 10-Q. If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if any underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results, performance or achievements may vary materially from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements in this paragraph.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our cash equivalents are principally comprised of money market accounts. As of September 30, 2005, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$18,201. These accounts are subject to interest rate risk and may fall in value if market interest rates increase. We attempt to limit this exposure by investing primarily in short-term securities having a maturity of three months or less. Due to the nature of our cash and cash equivalents, we have concluded that there is no material market risk exposure.

We have foreign subsidiaries that operate and sell our products in various global markets. As a result, our cash flow and earnings are exposed to fluctuations in interest and foreign currency exchange rates. We attempt to limit these exposures through the use of various hedge instruments, primarily forward exchange contracts and currency option contracts (with maturities of less than three months) to manage our exposure associated with firm commitments and net asset and liability positions denominated in non-functional currencies. There have been no material changes regarding market risk since the disclosures made in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005.

At September 30, 2005, the Company had forward exchange contracts maturing at various dates to exchange 250 million Japanese Yen into \$2,288.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) *Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.* Based on their evaluation as of a date at the end of the quarter covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, the Company’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-14(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are effective.

(b) *Changes in Internal Controls.* There were no significant changes in the Company’s internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation.

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Sputtered Films, Inc. v. Advanced Modular Sputtering, et al., filed in Santa Barbara County Superior Court.

On December 22, 2003, Sputtered Films, Inc. (“SFI”), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, filed an action against two former employees, Sergey Mishin and Rose Stuart-Curran, and a company they formed after leaving their employment with SFI named Advanced

Modular Sputtering, Inc. (“AMS”). Sergey Mishin and Rose Stuart-Curran had each signed confidentiality and non-disclosure agreements regarding information obtained while employed by SFI. The action contains causes of action for specific performance, breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, misappropriation of trade secrets, unfair competition, unfair business practices, interference with prospective economic advantage, conversion, unjust enrichment, and declaratory relief. These claims arise out of information SFI received evidencing that AMS possessed and used SFI’s confidential, proprietary and trade secret drawings, specifications and technology to manufacture the sputtering tool marketed by AMS.

The parties stipulated to a protective order in order to commence the discovery phase, and SFI has responded to the discovery propounded by AMS. SFI was prevented from commencing discovery against AMS or other third party witnesses (in particular, Agilent Technologies, Inc.) due to the trial court’s ruling that SFI had not yet described its trade secrets in sufficient detail pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 2019(d) (now Section 2019.210). In February 2005, the trial court ruled that SFI could proceed with discovery related to all causes of action, other than the trade secret cause of action. AMS petitioned the Court of Appeal for a writ reversing the trial court’s order that SFI could conduct discovery on the non-trade secret causes of action, and SFI petitioned the Court of Appeal for a writ reversing the trial court’s order that SFI’s trade secret identification was not in compliance with Section 2019.210. On September 13, 2005, the Court of Appeal ruled that SFI’s trade secret identification was in compliance with Section 2019.210. The trial court independently reviewed SFI’s Section 2019.210 identification and indicated that it is in compliance with the standards articulated by the Court of Appeal, and also indicated that it plans to order AMS and Agilent to respond to SFI’s discovery as soon as the case is returned to the trial court. Prior to the trial court being able to enter such an order, AMS petitioned the Supreme Court of California seeking to reverse the Court of Appeal decision. As of November 4, 2005, the Supreme Court has not yet decided whether it will grant review of the Court of Appeal decision. Pending a decision from the Supreme Court, no action is being taken in the trial court, and no trial date or other deadlines have been set.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

On September 13, 2005, the Company held its annual meeting of the stockholders. There were present at the meeting, in person or by proxy, the holders of 51,872,472 shares of common stock of the Company, representing 87.32% of the total votes eligible to be cast, constituting a majority and more than a quorum of the outstanding shares entitled to vote.

The following individuals were re-elected to the board of directors:

| | Votes for | Votes Withheld |
|--------------------|------------|----------------|
| Edward A. Dohring | 50,177,533 | 1,694,939 |
| Jeffrey M. Krauss | 50,205,433 | 1,667,039 |
| Brad Mattson | 50,435,778 | 1,436,694 |
| H. Duane Wadsworth | 50,219,954 | 1,652,518 |

The proposal to adopt an amendment to the Company’s 1998 Equity Participation Plan to increase the maximum authorized shares of common stock from 10,000,000 to 20,000,000 and to increase the maximum number of shares that may be subject to awards granted to any individual under the plan in any fiscal year from 1,600,000 to 4,000,000 was approved by the stockholders as follows:

| | |
|-----------------|------------|
| For | 13,460,762 |
| Against | 6,208,044 |
| Abstain | 129,896 |
| Broker Non Vote | 32,073,770 |

The proposal to adopt an amendment to the Company’s Option Plan for Outside Directors to increase the maximum authorized shares of common stock from 1,600,000 to 4,000,000 was approved by stockholders as follows:

| | |
|-----------------|------------|
| For | 15,103,575 |
| Against | 4,569,611 |
| Abstain | 125,506 |
| Broker Non Vote | 32,073,780 |

The proposal to adopt an amendment to the Company’s Certificate of Incorporation to increase the maximum authorized shares of common stock from 100,000,000 to 200,000,000, was approved by stockholders as follows:

| | |
|---------|------------|
| For | 48,579,214 |
| Against | 2,402,078 |
| Abstain | 891,180 |

The proposal to approve a financing transaction in which the company may sell and issue, to a group of private investors, (a) up to 28,315,385 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.65 per share and (b) five-year warrants to purchase up to 14,157,692 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per shares, was approved by stockholders as follows:

| | |
|-----------------|------------|
| For | 17,966,499 |
| Against | 1,655,703 |
| Abstain | 176,500 |
| Broker Non Vote | 32,073,770 |

The proposal to adopt an amendment to the Company’s Certificate of Incorporation to allow the Board of Directors to adopt, amend or repeal the Company’s Bylaws, was approved by stockholders as follows:

| | |
|-----|------------|
| For | 17,966,499 |
|-----|------------|

| | |
|---------|------------|
| For | 47,534,598 |
| Against | 4,113,392 |
| Abstain | 224,482 |

The proposal to ratify the appointment of Moss Adams LLP as Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2006.

| | |
|---------|------------|
| For | 51,108,406 |
| Against | 635,953 |
| Abstain | 128,113 |

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

| | |
|------|--|
| 31.1 | Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certifications of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32 | Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TEGAL CORPORATION
(Registrant)

Dated: November 14, 2005

/s/ CHRISTINE HERGENROTHER
Christine Hergenrother
Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT 31

CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Thomas R. Mika, certify that:

- I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Tegal Corporation;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-14(e)) for the registrant and we have:
 - designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation;
 - disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
- (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

Date: November 14, 2005

/s/ Thomas R. Mika

Chief Executive Officer and President

**CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Christine Hergenrother, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Tegal Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-14(e)) for the registrant and we have:
 - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation;
 - (c) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

Date: November 14, 2005

/s/ Christine Hergenrother

Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT 32

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Tegal Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), on Form 10-Q for the quarter ending June 30, 2005 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report"), I, Thomas R. Mika, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. § 1350), that to my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

/s/ Thomas R. Mika

Chief Executive Officer and President

November 14, 2005

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
(18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350)**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Tegal Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), on Form 10-Q for the quarter ending June 30, 2005 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report"), I, Christine Hergenrother, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. § 1350), that to my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

/s/ Christine Hergenrother

Chief Financial Officer

November 14, 2005