

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

---

**FORM 10-Q**

---

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011**

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**Commission File Number: 0-26824**

**TEGAL CORPORATION**

*(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)*

**Delaware**

*(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)*

**68-0370244**

*(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)*

**140 Second Street, Suite 318**

**Petaluma, California 94954**

*(Address of Principal Executive Offices)*

**Telephone Number (707) 763-5600**

*(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)*

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Sec.232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of October 18, 2011, there were 1,688,807 of the Registrant's common stock outstanding. The number of shares outstanding reflects a 1-for-5 reverse stock split effected by the Registrant on June 15, 2011.

TEGAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Page

Item 1.	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	<a href="#">Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2011 and March 31, 2011</a>	3
	<a href="#">Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010</a>	4
	<a href="#">Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010</a>	5
	<a href="#">Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</a>	6
Item 2.	<a href="#">Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</a>	18
Item 3.	<a href="#">Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</a>	25
Item 4.	<a href="#">Controls and Procedures</a>	26

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A.	<a href="#">Risk Factors</a>	26
Item 6.	<a href="#">Exhibits</a>	28
	<a href="#">Signatures</a>	29

## PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

**TEGAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
**(Unaudited)**  
**(In thousands, except share data)**

	<u>September 30,</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>March 31,</u> <u>2011</u>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 6,458	\$ 7,575
Restricted cash	200	200
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	64	139
Other assets of discontinued operations	456	1,129
Total current assets	<u>7,178</u>	<u>9,043</u>
Property and equipment, net	61	112
Investment in unconsolidated affiliate	1,714	2,046
Total assets	<u>\$ 8,953</u>	<u>\$ 11,201</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 25	\$ 262
Common stock warrant liability	12	26
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	109	94
Liabilities of discontinued operations	758	1,410
Total current liabilities	<u>904</u>	<u>1,792</u>
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock; \$0.01 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding	-	-
Common stock; \$0.01 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 1,688,943 and 1,687,623 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2011 and March 31, 2011, respectively.	17	17
Additional paid-in capital	129,058	128,977
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(138)	(167)
Accumulated deficit	(120,888)	(119,418)
Total stockholders' equity	<u>8,049</u>	<u>9,409</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 8,953</u>	<u>\$ 11,201</u>

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**TEGAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**(Unaudited)**  
**(In thousands, except per share data)**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>		<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Revenue - related party	\$ 19	\$ --	\$ 38	\$ -
Cost of revenue	--	--	--	-
Gross profit	<u>19</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>-</u>
Operating expenses:				
General and administrative expenses	568	511	1,441	1,187
Total operating expenses	<u>568</u>	<u>511</u>	<u>1,441</u>	<u>1,187</u>
Operating loss	(549)	(511)	(1,403)	(1,187)
Equity in (earnings) loss of unconsolidated affiliate	170	--	320	--
Other income, net	<u>(2)</u>	<u>(141)</u>	<u>(14)</u>	<u>(341)</u>
Loss before income tax benefit	(717)	(370)	(1,709)	(846)
Income tax expense (benefit) expense	<u>--</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>--</u>	<u>7</u>
Net loss from continuing operations	(717)	(375)	(1,709)	(853)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	<u>241</u>	<u>509</u>	<u>239</u>	<u>(1,481)</u>
Net loss	<u>\$ (476)</u>	<u>\$ 134</u>	<u>\$ (1,470)</u>	<u>\$ (2,334)</u>
Net loss per share from continuing operations:				
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.42)	\$ (0.22)	\$ (1.01)	\$ (0.51)
Net income (loss) per share from discontinued operations and asset disposition:				
Basic and diluted	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.14	\$ (0.88)
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.28)	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.87)	\$ (1.39)
Weighted average shares used in per share computation:				
Basic	1,689	1,688	1,688	1,683
Diluted	1,689	1,692	1,688	1,683

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**TEGAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**(Unaudited)**  
**(In thousands)**

	Six Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (1,470)	\$ (2,334)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Stock compensation expense	80	258
Stock issued under stock purchase plan	--	1
Fair value adjustment of common stock warrants	(14)	(341)
Depreciation and amortization - continuing operations	4	--
Depreciation and amortization - discontinued operations	--	209
Provision for doubtful accounts and sales returns allowances - discontinued operations	(71)	(128)
Loss on disposal of property and equipment - continuing operations	51	--
Loss on disposal of property and equipment - discontinuing operations	--	146
Change in value of unconsolidated affiliate	332	--
Changes in Continuing operating assets and liabilities:		
Prepaid expenses and other assets	75	31
Accounts payable	(237)	31
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	15	(59)
Current assets and liabilities from discontinued operations	(409)	(869)
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(1,644)</u>	<u>(3,055)</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Acquisition of property and equipment - continuing operations	(4)	--
Acquisition of property and equipment - discontinued operations	--	(176)
Net cash received on OEM asset disposition - discontinued operations	502	250
Net cash provided by investing activities:	<u>498</u>	<u>74</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>--</u>	<u>--</u>
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	29	56
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(1,117)	(2,925)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	7,575	7,298
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 6,458</u>	<u>\$ 4,373</u>

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

TEGAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

(All amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**1. Basis of Presentation:**

In the opinion of management, the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the March 31, 2011 audited consolidated financial statements and include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to fairly state the information set forth herein. The statements have been prepared in accordance with the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), but omit certain information and footnote disclosures necessary to present the statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. The results of operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the entire year.

Tegal Corporation and its subsidiaries' ("Tegal", "the Company", "we", "us" or "our") consolidated financial statements contemplate the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future. We incurred net losses of (\$1,470) and (\$2,334) for the six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We used (\$1,644) and (\$3,055) of cash in operating activities for the six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We believe that our existing balances of cash and cash equivalents, combined with continued cost containment, will be adequate to fund operations through fiscal year 2012.

Throughout most of fiscal 2011, our operations consisted mainly of the sale and support of the Deep Reactive Ionic Etch ("DRIE") product lines which we had acquired from Alcatel Micro Machining Systems ("AMMS") in fiscal 2009, including the operation of our Tegal France subsidiary, which had been engaged in several joint development projects partially supported by customers and the government of France. Tegal France had also been the center for the majority of our product and process development efforts and engineering activities related to the improvement of our DRIE product lines.

DRIE systems sales and the associated spares and service revenue represented the sole source of the Company's revenue in fiscal 2011. For all of fiscal 2010, DRIE sales represented approximately 47% of our total revenues. Since the DRIE markets were seriously impacted by the downturn in the semiconductor markets and the lack of available capital for new product development globally, DRIE sales alone were not enough to continue supporting the Company, even with significant reductions in our operating expenses resulting from the sale of our legacy etch and PVD business, as well as the implementation of further cost containment measures. Accordingly, while we focused our efforts on the operation of the DRIE business in the first half of fiscal 2011, we continued to seek and evaluate strategic alternatives, which included a continued operation of the Company as a stand-alone business with a different business plan, a merger with or into another company, a sale of all or substantially all of our remaining assets, and the liquidation or dissolution of the Company, including through a voluntary dissolution or a bankruptcy proceeding.

On January 14, 2011, the Company, se2quel Partners and Sequel Power entered into a Formation and Contribution Agreement. The Company contributed \$2 million in cash to Sequel Power in exchange for an approximate 25% ownership interest in Sequel Power. Sequel Power is focused on the promotion of solar power plant development projects worldwide, the development of self-sustaining businesses from such projects, including but not limited to activities relating to and supporting, developing, building and operating solar photovoltaic fabrication facilities and solar farms, and the consideration of other non-photovoltaic renewable energy projects.

Following our investment in Sequel Power, and as a result of our continuing efforts to reduce our operating losses, on February 9, 2011, the Company and SPP Process Technology Systems Limited ("SPTS") entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement. That agreement included the sale of all of the shares of Tegal France, SAS, the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary and product lines and certain equipment, intellectual property and other assets relating to the DRIE Etch plasma etch systems and certain related technology. The other major asset remaining after the sale of our legacy etch and physical vapor deposition ("PVD") business and our DRIE business was our Nano-Layer Deposition ("NLD") intellectual property portfolio. Having discontinued the implementation of NLD during fiscal 2010, we instead began to offer this asset for sale to third parties. Concurrently, we began the process of closing and/or liquidating all of our other wholly-owned subsidiary companies, including SFI and Tegal GmbH, along with branches in Taiwan, Korea and Italy. As a result, all of our activities related to our legacy etch and PVD business, our DRIE business, our NLD development activities and our subsidiaries and branches are now included in discontinued operations.

The process of consolidation and transition, driven by the financial crisis and worsened by our relatively weak strategic and financial position in the semiconductor and micro-electro-mechanical systems (“MEMS”) capital equipment sectors, continues. Our main objective has been to preserve as much value for stockholders as possible as we transitioned to a business model that avoids high fixed costs, but retains our capabilities to attract and exploit emerging technologies. So far, we have invested in one opportunity in a high-growth sector related to semiconductors – photovoltaic (“PV”) based solar. The Sequel Power model for large scale PV-based solar projects is unique in the industry and has won significant acclaim from governments, industrial companies and industry advocates for its innovation and prospect for success. By investing in this new company, we expect to employ our capital equipment know-how, a portion of our cash and potentially the tax benefits of our NOLs. We intend to support the activities of Sequel Power through our direct efforts and through related operations and investments that we may make in the future, should the circumstances be favorable. In addition, we are actively evaluating opportunities for partnerships with other diversified technology-based companies in order to exploit our shared experience and to enhance our value as a public company.

We cannot assure you that we will be successful in pursuing any of these strategic alternatives. If our efforts do not succeed, we may need to raise additional capital which may include capital raises through the issuance of debt or equity securities. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of preferred stock or debt, these securities could have rights, privileges or preferences senior to those of our common stock, and debt covenants could impose restrictions on our operations. Moreover, such financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all. Failure to raise any needed funds would materially adversely affect us. It is not possible to predict when our business and results of operations will improve. In consideration of these circumstances, the Company may be forced to consider a merger with or into another company or the liquidation or dissolution of the Company, including through a bankruptcy proceeding. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in pursuing any of these strategic alternatives. If we were to liquidate or dissolve the Company through or outside of a bankruptcy proceeding, you could lose all of your investment in Tegal common stock.

### **Reclassifications**

As a result of the sale of the Company’s DRIE assets in the prior fiscal year, and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the DRIE business operations related to the designing, manufacturing, marketing and servicing of systems and parts within the semiconductor industry has been reclassified to discontinued operations in our condensed consolidated financial statements. Amounts for the prior periods have been reclassified to conform to this presentation. The exit from the DRIE operation was essentially completed by the end of the fourth quarter of our 2011 fiscal year.

### **Concentration of Credit Risk**

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash investments. Prior to the sale of the DRIE assets, the Company’s accounts receivable balance was also subject to credit risk. Substantially all of the Company’s liquid investments are invested in money market funds. The Company’s accounts receivable are derived primarily from sales to customers located in the United States, Europe and Asia. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and generally requires no collateral. The Company no longer maintains reserves for potential credit losses as such risk has been determined to be immaterial. Write-offs during the periods presented have been insignificant.

As of September 30, 2011, three customers accounted for 100% of the accounts receivable balance. As of September 30, 2010, three customers accounted for approximately 70% of the accounts receivable balance. The full amount of balances in accounts receivable for the period ended September 30, 2010 is included in discontinued operations.

For the six months ended September 30, 2011, Sequel Power accounted for 100% of total revenue, which is included in continuing operations. For the six months ended September 30, 2010, a leading precision timing device manufacturer, STMicroelectronics SA, and Uppsala University accounted for 39%, 30%, and 23%, respectively, of total revenue. Total revenue for the three and six months ended September 30, 2010 is included in discontinued operations.

The balance on the Company’s note receivable was paid in full during the first quarter of the current fiscal year. Previously, the note receivable consisted of the outstanding payments owed by OEM Group in connection with our sale to them of our legacy etch and PVD assets completed in March 2010. The Company was entitled to receive an additional contingent payment of \$440 related to the terms of the sale, which was paid in full in two installments. The first installment of \$300 was paid on July 5, 2011, and the second installment of \$140 was paid on October 7, 2011. The first installment was classified as a gain on sale of discontinued operations in the second quarter of the current fiscal year. The second installment will be classified as a gain on sale of discontinued operations in the third quarter of the current fiscal year.

## Derivative Instruments

In June 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) ratified the Emerging Issues Task Force (“EITF”) consensus on EITF Issue No. 07-05, *Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock* (“EITF Issue 07-05”) (Topic 815) which applies to the determination of whether any freestanding financial instruments or embedded features that have the characteristics of a derivative, as defined by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 133 (Topic 815), *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and to any freestanding financial instruments are potentially indexed to an entity’s own common stock. EITF Issue No. 07-05 (Topic 815) became effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted Topic 815 as of April 1, 2009. As a result, warrants to purchase 285,454 shares of our common stock previously treated as equity pursuant to the derivative treatment exemption were no longer afforded equity treatment. The warrants had exercise prices ranging from \$30.00-\$495.00 and expire between February 2010 and September 2013. As such, effective April 1, 2009, the Company reclassified the fair value of these warrants, which had exercise price reset features, from equity to liability status as if these warrants were treated as a derivative liability since their date of issue between February 2000 and January 2006. On April 1, 2009, the Company reclassified \$346 from additional paid-in capital, as a cumulative effect adjustment, to beginning accumulated deficit, and \$502 to common stock warrant liability to recognize the fair value of such warrants on such date. As of March 31, 2011, the fair value of the warrants was estimated using the Black-Scholes pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: risk-free interest rate of 2.24%; expected life of 1.1 years; an expected volatility factor of 79.1%; and a dividend yield of 0.0%. At September 30, 2011, the fair value of the warrants was \$12, which was calculated using the Black-Scholes pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: risk-free interest rate of 0.96%; expected life of 1.86 years; an expected volatility factor of 151%; and a dividend yield of 0.0%. The Company recorded a non-cash gain related to the warrants of \$3 in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 and a non-cash gain of \$141 in the quarter ended September 30, 2010.

## Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliate

The Company evaluates its joint venture arrangements to determine whether they should be recorded on a consolidated basis. The percentage of ownership interest in the joint venture, an evaluation of control and whether a variable interest entity (“VIE”) exists are all considered in the consolidation assessment.

We account for our investment in joint ventures where we own a non-controlling interest or where we are not the primary beneficiary of a VIE using the equity method of accounting. Under the equity method, our cost of investment is adjusted for our share of equity in the earnings or losses of the unconsolidated affiliate and reduced by distributions received.

Any differences between the cost of our investment in an unconsolidated affiliate and our underlying equity as reflected in the unconsolidated affiliate’s financial statements generally result from a different basis in assets contributed to the joint venture. The net difference between our investment in unconsolidated affiliates and the underlying equity of unconsolidated affiliates is generally amortized over a period of ten years, which is determined to be the estimated useful life of the underlying intangibles which created the difference in carrying amount. The net difference at March 31, 2011 was \$1,730. The amortization expense related to this difference for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 was \$45. The amortization expense related to this difference for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2011 was \$43 and \$86, respectively. There was no amortization expense related to this investment for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

On a periodic basis, we assess whether there are any indicators that the fair value of our investments in unconsolidated affiliates may be impaired. An investment is impaired only if our estimate of the fair value of the investment is less than the carrying value of the investment, and such decline in value is deemed to be other than temporary. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss is measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the investment over the fair value of the investment. Our estimates of fair value for each investment are based on a number of assumptions such as future revenue projections, operating forecasts, discount rates and capitalization rates, among others. These assumptions are subject to economic and market uncertainties. As these factors are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter our assumptions, the fair values estimated in the impairment analyses may not be realized. No impairments of investments in unconsolidated affiliates were incurred during the year ended March 31, 2011 or the six month period ended September 30, 2011.

## Intangible Assets

Intangible assets include patents and trademarks that are amortized on a straight-line basis over periods ranging from 5 years to 7 years. The Company performs an ongoing review of its identified intangible assets to determine if facts and circumstances exist that indicate the useful life is shorter than originally estimated or the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If such facts and circumstances exist, the Company assesses the recoverability of identified intangible assets by comparing the projected undiscounted net cash flow associated with the related asset or group of assets over their remaining lives against their respective carrying amounts. Impairment, if any, is based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of those assets. As of fiscal year end 2011, all of the Company’s remaining intangible assets were included in the asset sale of the DRIE product line to SPTS, except for those that were internally developed, which have a carrying value of zero.

**Impairment of Long-Lived Assets**

Long-lived assets are reviewed for indicators of impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable, as well as at fiscal year end. If undiscounted expected future cash flows are less than the carrying value of the assets, an impairment loss is recognized based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets. No impairment charges were recorded for fixed assets for the six months ended September 30, 2011.

**Pension Obligations**

Going into fiscal year 2011, the Company began the process of closing and/or liquidating all of our wholly-owned subsidiary companies, not already sold, including Tegal Germany. The subsidiaries are now included in discontinued operations. The Company has recognized an ongoing liability for pensions related to the Tegal Germany subsidiary. However, in fiscal year 2011, the Company recognized an additional liability for the independent third-party administration of the pension program once this subsidiary is closed. The total pension liability for the period ended September 30, 2011 was \$662. The pension liability decreased by \$38 from the prior period as a result of foreign currency changes. The pension liability was settled on October 6, 2011. The settlement of the pension obligation will be classified as a reduction of liabilities of discontinued operations. Any related foreign exchange gain or loss will be classified as a gain or loss on the sale of discontinued operations in the third quarter of the current fiscal year.

**Stock-Based Compensation**

We have adopted several stock plans that provide for issuance of equity instruments to our employees and non-employee directors. Our plans include incentive and non-statutory stock options and restricted stock awards. These equity awards generally vest ratably over a four-year period on the anniversary date of the grant, and stock options expire ten years after the grant date. Certain restricted stock awards may vest on the achievement of specific performance targets. We also have an Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") that allows qualified employees to purchase Tegal shares at 85% of the fair market value on specified dates.

Total stock-based compensation expense related to stock options and restricted stock units ("RSUs") for the six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$81 and \$258, respectively. The total compensation expense related to non-vested stock options and RSUs not yet recognized at September 30, 2011 is \$517.

The Company used the following valuation assumptions to estimate the fair value of options granted for the three month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively:

<b>STOCK OPTIONS:</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Expected life (years)	6.0	6.0
Volatility	151.3%	75.1%
Risk-free interest rate	0.96%	1.27%
Dividend yield	0%	0%

ESPP awards were valued using the Black-Scholes model with expected volatility calculated using a six-month historical volatility. No ESPP awards were made in the six month period ended September 30, 2011. The valuation assumptions are included for comparison only.

<b>ESPP:</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Expected life (years)	0.5	0.5
Volatility	141.1%	86.2%
Risk-free interest rate	0.02%	0.14%
Dividend yield	0%	0%

**Valuation and Other Assumptions for Stock Options**

*Valuation and Amortization Method.* We estimate the fair value of stock options granted using the Black-Scholes model. We estimate the fair value using a single option approach and amortize the fair value on a straight-line basis for options expected to vest. All options are amortized over the requisite service periods of the awards, which are generally the vesting periods.

*Expected Term.* The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that the options are expected to be outstanding. We estimate the expected term of options granted based on our historical experience of exercises including post-vesting exercises and termination.

*Expected Volatility.* We estimate the volatility of our stock options at the date of grant using historical volatilities. Historical volatilities are calculated based on the historical prices of our common stock over a period at least equal to the expected term of our option grants.

*Risk-Free Interest Rate.* We base the risk-free interest rate used in the Black-Scholes option valuation model on the implied yield in effect at the time of option grant on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with remaining terms equivalent to the expected term of our option grants.

*Dividends.* We have never paid any cash dividends on common stock and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

*Forfeitures.* We use historical data to estimate pre-vesting option forfeitures. We record stock-based compensation expense only for those awards that are expected to vest.

The Company does not use multiple share-based payment arrangements.

During the three months ended September 30, 2011, no stock option awards were granted.

**Stock Options & Warrants**

There was no stock option and warrant activity during the quarter ended September 30, 2011. A summary of the balances is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Beginning outstanding	151,245	\$ 21.63		
Ending outstanding	151,245	\$ 21.63	5.26	\$ -
Ending vested and expected to vest	151,176	\$ 21.60	5.00	\$ -
Ending exercisable	132,937	\$ 22.93	5.00	\$ -

The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options and warrants outstanding at September 30, 2011 is calculated as the difference between the exercise price of the underlying options and the market price of our common stock as of September 30, 2011.

The following table summarizes information with respect to stock options and warrants outstanding as of September 30, 2011:

Range of Exercise Prices		Number Outstanding As of September 30, 2011	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable As of September 30, 2011	Weighted Average Exercise Price As of September 30, 2011
\$ 2.90	\$ 6.00	5,831	8.92	\$ 5.16	4,580	\$ 5.16
6.25	11.70	57,435	6.78	11.48	42,043	11.48
17.20	26.30	64,562	4.45	21.55	62,941	21.55
28.10	61.80	19,421	3.25	42.49	19,413	42.49
61.94	151.94	3,936	0.88	90.23	3,914	90.23
152.21	285.00	58	1.73	174.00	46	174.00
286.72	300.27	2	0.00	-	-	-
<b>\$ 2.90</b>		<b>151,245</b>	<b>5.26</b>	<b>\$ 21.63</b>	<b>132,937</b>	<b>\$ 22.93</b>

As of September 30, 2011, there was \$20 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding options and warrants which the Company expects to recognize over a period of 0.86 years.

### Restricted Stock Units

The following table summarizes the Company's RSU activity for the three months ended September 30, 2011:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Avg. Grant Date Fair Value
Balance July 1, 2011	272,405	\$ 2.18
Granted	-	\$ -
Forfeited	-	\$ -
Vested	-	-
Balance, June 30, 2011	<u>272,405</u>	\$ 2.18

### Unvested restricted stock at September 30, 2011

As of September 30, 2011, there was \$497 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding RSUs, which the Company expects to recognize over a period of 3.33 years.

### 2. Inventories:

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is computed using standard cost, which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis and includes material, labor and manufacturing overhead costs. Any excess and obsolete provision is only released if and when the related inventory is sold or scrapped. The Company did not sell or scrap previously reserved inventory during the six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. The inventory provision balance for the periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$0 and \$729, respectively. The inventory provision for the six month period ended September 30, 2010 was related to the DRIE products, which were sold in the prior fiscal year.

Net inventories for the periods presented were zero. All remaining inventory was included in the sale of the DRIE related assets in the prior fiscal year.

Prior to the sale of the DRIE assets in the prior fiscal year, the Company periodically analyzed any systems that were in finished goods inventory to determine if they were suitable for current customer requirements. At that time, the Company's policy was that, if after approximately 18 months, it determines that a sale will not take place within the next twelve months and the system would be useable for customer demonstrations or training, it is transferred to fixed assets. Otherwise, it was expensed. Currently the Company's inventory consists of the NLD hardware, which is held for sale, and has a current book value of zero.

### 3. Product Warranty:

Prior to the sale of the DRIE assets in the prior fiscal year, the Company provided warranties on all system sales based on the estimated cost of product warranties at the time revenue was recognized. The warranty obligation was affected by product failure rates, material usage rates, and the efficiency by which the product failure was corrected. There is no warranty activity related to continuing operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

As part of the consideration paid in the sale of the DRIE assets in the prior fiscal year, all existing customer contracts, including all installation and warranty obligations of existing customers, were assumed by the buyer of those assets.

### 4. Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share (EPS):

Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common stockholders (numerator) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding (denominator) for the period. Diluted EPS gives effect to all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted EPS uses the average market prices during the period. All amounts in the following table are in thousands except per share data.

Basic net loss per common share is computed using the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding.

The following table represents the calculation of basic and diluted net loss per common share (in thousands, except per share data):

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30,</b>		<b>Six Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Net loss from continuing operations	\$ (717)	\$ (375)	\$ (1,709)	\$ (853)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	241	509	\$ 239	\$ (1,481)
Net (loss) income applicable to common stockholders	<u>\$ (476)</u>	<u>\$ 134</u>	<u>\$ (1,470)</u>	<u>\$ (2,334)</u>
Basic and diluted:				
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	<u>1,689</u>	<u>1,688</u>	<u>1,688</u>	<u>1,683</u>
Weighted-average common shares used in diluted net (loss) income per common share	<u>1,689</u>	<u>1,692</u>	<u>1,688</u>	<u>1,683</u>
Net loss per share from continuing operations:				
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.42)	(0.22)	\$ (1.01)	\$ (0.51)
Net income (loss) per share from asset sale and discontinued operations:				
Basic and diluted	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.14	\$ (0.88)
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.28)	0.08	\$ (0.87)	\$ (1.39)

Outstanding options and RSUs of 442,177 and 190,608 shares of common stock at a weighted-average exercise price per share of \$8.77 and \$23.55 on September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted net (loss) income per common share for the three month periods presented as a result of their anti-dilutive effect. Such securities could potentially dilute earnings per share in future periods.

## **5. Financial Instruments:**

The carrying amount of the Company's financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable, notes receivable, accrued expenses and other liabilities approximates fair value due to their relatively short maturity. The Company sold products in various global markets. As a result, the Company was exposed to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The Company does not hold derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes. Foreign currency transaction gains and (losses) included in other income (expense), were \$29 and \$56 for the six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. On September 30, 2011, the Company had no open foreign exchange contracts to sell Euros or any other foreign currencies. On September 30, 2011, the Company had 41,741 warrants outstanding with an exercise price of \$30.00 expiring between June 2013 and September 2013. The Company recorded a non-cash gain of \$3 and \$141 in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to these warrants.

## **6. Asset Acquisitions and Sales:**

On September 16, 2008, the Company acquired certain assets from AMMS and Alcatel Lucent (together, the "Sellers"). With this acquisition, we entered the DRIE market. DRIE is a highly anisotropic etch process used to create deep, steep-sided holes and trenches in wafers, with aspect ratios of 20:1 or more. DRIE was developed for micro-electro-mechanical systems ("MEMS"), which require these features, but is also used to excavate trenches for high-density capacitors for DRAM and more recently for creating TSVs in advanced 3-D wafer level packaging technology. The acquisition was designed to enable us to pursue the high-growth markets in MEMS and certain segments of integrated semiconductor device manufacturing and packaging. Current end-markets include production of a variety of MEMS and power devices, memory stacking (flash and DRAM), logic, RF-SiP, and CMOS image sensors. The Company paid \$1,000 in cash and \$4,000 in shares of the Company's common stock. The 208,877 shares of common stock issued by the Company was calculated by obtaining the quotient of (a) \$4,000 divided by (b) the average of the closing sales prices of the Common Stock as reported on the Nasdaq Capital Market on the five (5) consecutive trading days immediately prior to (but excluding) the closing date.

In connection with this acquisition, the Company and Alcatel Lucent entered into an intellectual property agreement providing for the transfer of specified intellectual property rights to the Company, a trademark license agreement allowing for the limited use of the AMMS trademark by the Company, and a preferred supplier agreement pursuant to which the Company will purchase certain equipment from an affiliate of the Sellers. AMMS designated Mr. Gilbert Bellini to serve as a member of the Company's board of directors. AMMS' designation right terminates upon the later of (a) the termination or expiration of certain customer services related agreements, and (b) when AMMS beneficially owns less than 5% of the number of shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding (including the shares to be issued to the Sellers). AMMS' right to designate a member of the Company's board of directors terminated upon the absorption of AMMS into its parent, Adixen Vacuum Technology, and its subsequent sale to Pfeiffer Vacuum GmbH on December 31, 2010.

The purchase price was allocated as follows (in thousands):

Assets acquired:	
Trademarks	\$ 428
Patents	2,648
Total Intangible Assets	<u>3,076</u>
Fixed Assets	24
Inventory	1,900
Total Tangible Assets	<u>1,924</u>
Total Acquired Assets	<u>\$ 5,000</u>

Beginning in the fiscal third quarter of 2009, following the acquisition of the DRIE product lines from AMMS, the Company experienced a sharp decline in revenues related to its legacy Etch and PVD products, a result of the overall collapse of the semiconductor capital equipment market and the global financial crisis. The management and the Board of Directors of the Company considered several alternatives for dealing with this decline in revenues, including the sale of assets which the Company could no longer support. On March 19, 2010, the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary, SFI, sold inventory, equipment, intellectual property and other assets related to the Company's legacy Etch and PVD products to OEM Group Inc. ("OEM Group"), a company based in Phoenix, Arizona that specializes in "life cycle management" of legacy product lines for several semiconductor equipment companies. The sale included the product lines and associated spare parts and service business of the Company's 900 and 6500 series plasma etch systems, along with the Endeavor and AMS PVD systems from SFI. In connection with the sale of the assets, OEM Group assumed the Company's warranty liability for recently sold legacy Etch and PVD systems.

The Company and OEM Group entered into related agreements for the transfer and licensing of patents, trademarks and other intellectual property associated with the legacy Etch and PVD products. These included a Trademark Assignment Agreement for certain trademarks used in the legacy Etch and PVD Products, a royalty-free Trademark License Agreement allowing for the limited use of the Tegal trademark by the purchaser solely in connection with future sales of legacy Etch and PVD products and solely in combination with the trademarks transferred to purchaser, a Patent Assignment Agreement for the transfer of certain patents related to the Etch and PVD products, and a perpetual, irrevocable, non-exclusive, worldwide, fully-paid, royalty-free, Intellectual Property Cross License Agreement, pursuant to which the Company granted OEM Group a license to certain intellectual property owned by the Company for use in OEM Group's manufacture and sale of the legacy Etch and PVD products, and OEM Group licensed back to the Company certain intellectual property for the Company's continued use.

The consideration paid by OEM Group consisted of the following (in thousands):

- Cash in the amount of \$250 paid at closing, which occurred on March 19, 2010;
- An aggregate of \$1,750 cash payable to the Company by four installment payments of \$250, \$500, \$500 and \$500 on July 1, 2010, October 1, 2010, January 1, 2011 and April 1, 2011, respectively; and
- A contingent payment in cash of up to \$1,000 payable to the Company by April 15, 2011 based on the following percentage of applicable bookings of Etch and PVD products in excess of \$6,000 received by the Company or OEM Group during the period beginning March 19, 2010 through March 31, 2011:
  - o if applicable bookings are greater than or equal to \$6,000 but less than \$8,000, the contingent payment will be 5% of the applicable bookings in excess of \$6,000;
  - o if applicable bookings are greater than or equal to \$8,000 but less than \$10,000, the contingent payment will be \$100 *plus* 10% of the applicable bookings in excess of \$8,000;
  - o if applicable bookings are greater than or equal to \$10,000 but less than \$12,000, the contingent payment will be \$300 *plus* 15% of the applicable bookings in excess of \$10,000; and
  - o if applicable bookings are greater than or equal to \$12,000, the contingent payment will be \$600 *plus* 20% of the applicable bookings in excess of \$12,000.

In no case will the contingent payment exceed \$1,000.

On June 21, 2011, the Sellers, OEM Group and Purchaser entered into an amendment (the "Amendment") to the Purchase Agreement which, among other things, revises the payment schedule of the Contingent Payment such that \$300 of the Contingent Payment shall be due July 1, 2011 and the remaining \$140 shall be due October 1, 2011. The \$300 due on July 1, 2011 was paid on July 5, 2011. The \$140 due on October 1, 2011 was paid on October 7, 2011. Unpaid portions of the Contingent Payment accrued interest from April 15, 2011 at a variable rate of the Wall Street Journal Prime Rate (currently 3.25%) plus 1%. The description of the Amendment provided above is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Amendment, a copy of which was filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K report filed on June 23, 2011.

The Company retained the DRIE products which it had acquired from AMMS, along with the Compact™ cluster platform and the NLD technology that it had developed over the past several years. The DRIE markets were seriously impacted by the downturn in the semiconductor markets, and as those markets recover the Company is not in a position to make the needed investments to improve its competitive position. In addition, it was not clear that even with additional investment and significant reductions in operating expenses DRIE sales alone would be enough to support the Company. As a result, the Company evaluated various other alternative strategies, including sale of its DRIE products, Compact™ platform and NLD technology, the transition to a new business model, a sale of all or substantially all of our assets, or the liquidation or dissolution of the Company, including through a bankruptcy proceeding. On February 9, 2011, the Company sold its DRIE and Compact related assets to SPTS, but retained its NLD technology. See "The SPTS Transaction" below.

#### The Sequel Power Transaction

On January 14, 2011, Tegal, se2quel Partners LLC, a California limited liability company, and Sequel Power LLC, a newly formed Delaware limited liability company ("Sequel Power"), entered into a Formation and Contribution Agreement. Sequel Power is focused on the promotion of solar power plant development projects worldwide, the development of self-sustaining businesses from such projects, including but not limited to activities relating to and supporting, developing, building and operating solar photovoltaic fabrication facilities and solar farms, and the consideration of other non-photovoltaic renewable energy projects. se2quel Partners is owned by Ferdinand Seemann, who previously served as an independent member of the Company's Board of Directors. Pursuant to the Formation and Contribution Agreement, Tegal contributed \$2 million in cash to Sequel Power in exchange for an approximate 25% ownership interest in Sequel Power. In addition, Tegal issued warrants ("Warrants") to se2quel Partners and se2quel Management GmbH, a German limited liability company, to purchase an aggregate of 185,777 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$3.15 per share. The Warrants are exercisable for a period of four years.

The descriptions of the Formation and Contribution Agreement and the Warrants are qualified in their entirety by reference to the full text of such documents, copies of which were filed as exhibits to the Form 8-K report filed on January 21, 2011.

#### The SPTS Transaction

On February 9, 2011, Tegal and SPP Process Technology Systems Limited, ("SPTS"), a company incorporated and registered in England and Wales, entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") pursuant to which the Company sold to SPTS all of the shares of Tegal France, SAS, the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, and product lines and certain equipment, intellectual property and other assets relating to the Company's DRIE systems and certain related technology SPTS also assumed existing customer contracts, including all installation and warranty obligations of existing customers, and other liabilities arising after the closing of the transaction (the "Assumed Liabilities").

In connection with the sale, the Company and SPTS entered into related agreements for the transfer and licensing of patents, trademarks and other intellectual property associated with the business, including a royalty-free Trademark License Agreement allowing for the limited use of the Tegal trademark by SPTS solely in connection with future sales related to the business and solely in combination with the trademarks transferred to SPTS, as well as written assignments to SPTS of all rights in the patents and trademarks that are part of the sale.

The transaction closed immediately after execution of the Purchase Agreement. The consideration paid by SPTS totaled approximately \$2,100, comprised of approximately \$500 of Assumed Liabilities and \$1,600 in cash, of which \$200 in cash will be held in escrow for one year after the closing of the transaction to satisfy any indemnification obligations of the Company under the Purchase Agreement.

The descriptions of the Purchase Agreement and the Trademark License Agreement provided above are qualified in their entirety by reference to the full text of such agreements, copies of which have been filed as Exhibits 10.1 and 10.2, respectively, to the Company's 8-K filed on February 15, 2011 and are incorporated herein by reference.

### Discontinued Operations

The assets and liabilities of discontinued operations are presented separately under the captions "Assets of discontinued operations," "Assets held for sale -- discontinued operations," "Long term assets of discontinued operations", and "Liabilities of discontinued operations," respectively, in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2011 and March 31, 2011 and consist of the following:

	<u>September 30,</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>March 31,</u> <u>2011</u>
<b>Assets of Discontinued Operations:</b>		
Accounts receivable and other receivables, net of allowances for sales returns and doubtful accounts of \$0 and \$71 at September 30, 2011 and March 31, 2011, respectively	\$ 19	\$ 591
Notes receivable	28	528
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	409	10
Total assets of discontinued operations	<u>\$ 456</u>	<u>\$ 1,129</u>
<b>Liabilities of Discontinued Operations:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$ 522
Deferred revenue	--	130
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	758	758
Total liabilities of discontinued operations	<u>\$ 758</u>	<u>\$ 1,410</u>

In the six months ended September 30, 2011, the Company recognized deferred revenue of \$130, offset by related commission expense, as well as revenue of \$89 from the finalization of the sale of the DRIE assets which occurred in the fourth quarter of the prior fiscal year. In the same period, the Company received \$300 from OEM as one of the installment payments related to the sale of legacy assets, and recognized \$29 in foreign currency transactions. These amounts are recognized in discontinued operations. Total revenue from discontinued operations was \$3,183 and \$3,502 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2010. The total loss from discontinued operations for the six months ended September 30, 2010 was \$1,481 and included the reclassification of operating expenses related to the manufacture, design, marketing and servicing of the DRIE operations including foreign exchange adjustments and income tax expense (benefit).

### **7. Geographical Information:**

The Company operates in one segment for the manufacture, marketing and servicing of integrated circuit fabrication equipment. The Company's chief operating decision-maker has been identified as the President and Chief Executive Officer, who reviews operating results to make decisions about allocating resources and assessing performance for the entire Company.

For geographical reporting, revenues are attributed to the geographic location in which the customers' facilities are located. Long-lived assets consist of property, plant and equipment and are attributed to the geographic location in which they are located. Net sales and long-lived assets by geographic region were as follows:

	Revenue for the Three Months Ended September 30,		Revenue for the Six Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	Sales to customers located in:			
United States	\$ 19	\$ -	\$ 38	\$ -
Total sales	\$ 19	\$ -	\$ 38	\$ -

Revenues for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 are all part of continuing operations. Revenues of \$3,183 and \$3,502 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, have been reclassified to discontinued operations.

	September 30 2011	March 31 2011
Long-lived assets at period-end:		
Discontinued Operations:		
United States	\$ -	\$ -
Europe	-	-
Continuing Operations:		
United States	61	112
Total Long-lived assets	\$ 61	\$ 112

## 8. Recent Accounting Pronouncements:

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*. ASU No. 2010-06 amends ASC 820 and clarifies and provides additional disclosure requirements on the transfers of assets and liabilities between Level 1 (quoted prices in active market for identical assets or liabilities) and Level 2 (significant other observable inputs) of the fair value measurement hierarchy, including the reasons for and the timing of the transfers. Additionally, the guidance requires a roll forward of activities on purchases, sales, issuance, and settlements of the assets and liabilities measured using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 fair value measurements). The effective date for the new disclosures and clarifications is for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009 except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. Other than requiring additional disclosures, adoption of this new guidance did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

In July 2010, the FASB adopted an update regarding disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses. The enhanced disclosures are designed to assist financial statement users in assessing an entity's credit risk exposure and in evaluating the adequacy of an entity's allowance for credit losses. Entities will be required to provide enhanced disclosures regarding (i) the nature of the credit risk inherent in the receivable, (ii) how the entity analyzes and assesses credit risk to estimate the allowance for credit losses and (iii) changes in both the receivable and the allowance for credit losses and the reasons for those changes. This update did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, *Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*, which amends ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurement*. The purpose of ASU 2011-04 is to clarify the intent about the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements and to change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. We do not expect the provisions of ASU 2011-04 to have a material impact to our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*, which amends ASC Topic 220, *Comprehensive Income*. The objective of ASU 2011-05 is to improve the comparability, consistency and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income. The update will require entities to present items of net income, items of other comprehensive income and total comprehensive income in one continuous statement or two separate consecutive statements, and entities will no longer be allowed to present items of other comprehensive income in the statement of stockholders' equity. Reclassification adjustments between other comprehensive income and net income will be presented separately on the face of the financial statements. We do not expect the provisions of ASU 2011-05 to have a material impact to our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, Intangibles – *Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment*, which permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. We do not expect the provisions of ASU 2011-05 to have a material impact to our consolidated financial statements.

## **9. Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliate**

### **Results of Sequel Power Operations**

#### *Revenue*

Revenue for Sequel Power operations of \$2 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$2 from revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2011. All Sequel revenue was generated from project services.

#### *Gross Profit(Loss)*

Gross loss of \$7 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 decreased by \$8 from the gross profit for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Sequel's gross margin for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was 30% compared to 0% for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Sequel Power's net loss for the three month period ended September 30, 2011 was \$530. The Company's share of net loss is \$133.

## **Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – (Amounts in thousands)**

### **Special Note Regarding Forward Looking Statements**

*Information contained or incorporated by reference in this report contains forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and beliefs and involve numerous risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from expectations. These forward-looking statements should not be relied upon as predictions of future events as we cannot assure you that the events or circumstances reflected in these statements will be achieved or will occur. You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "may," "will", "expect," "anticipate," "estimate" or "continue" or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology which constitutes projected financial information. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions about Tegal Corporation including, but not limited to, industry conditions, economic conditions and acceptance of new technologies. For a discussion of the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements, see "Part II, Item 1A.—Risk Factors" and the "Liquidity and Capital Resources" section set forth in this section and such other risks and uncertainties as set forth below in this report or detailed in our other SEC reports and filings. We assume no obligation to update forward-looking statements.*

Until February 9, 2011, Tegal Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Tegal" or the "Company"), designed, manufactured, marketed and serviced specialized plasma etch systems used primarily in the production of micro-electrical mechanical systems ("MEMS") devices, such as sensors and accelerometers as well as power devices. The Company's Deep Reactive Ion Etch ("DRIE") systems were also employed in certain sophisticated manufacturing techniques, such as 3-D interconnect structures formed by intricate silicon etching, also known as Deep Silicon Etch ("DSE") for so-called Through Silicon Vias ("TSVs"). Prior to its fiscal year 2011, Tegal also sold systems for the etching and deposition of materials found in other devices, such as integrated circuits ("ICs") and optoelectronic devices found in products like smart phones, networking gear, solid-state lighting, and digital imaging.

In the recent past, our business objective has been to utilize the technologies that we have developed internally or acquired externally in order to increase our market share in process equipment for MEMS and power device fabrication, advanced 3-D packaging, and certain areas of semiconductor manufacturing. In September 2008, we acquired the products lines of AMMS and the related intellectual property of Alcatel, in order to pursue more fully the smaller, but higher-growth markets of MEMS and 3-D packaging. Our acquisition of these products served two purposes: (i) to increase revenue, and (ii) to enable us to focus our various technologies on specific applications that served the common markets of MEMS and 3-D device manufacturing and packaging.

Beginning in the fiscal third quarter of 2009, following the acquisition of the DRIE product lines from AMMS, the Company experienced a sharp decline in revenues related to its legacy Etch and PVD products, a result of the collapse of the semiconductor capital equipment market and the global financial crisis. The management and the Board of Directors of the Company considered several alternatives for dealing with this decline in revenues, including the sale of assets which the Company could no longer support. On March 19, 2010, the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary, SFI, sold inventory, equipment, intellectual property and other assets related to the Company's legacy Etch and PVD products to OEM Group Inc. ("OEM Group"), a company based in Phoenix, Arizona that specializes in "life cycle management" of legacy product lines for several semiconductor equipment companies. The sale included the product lines and associated spare parts and service business of the Company's 900 and 6500 series plasma etch systems, along with the Endeavor and AMS PVD systems from SFI. In connection with the sale of the assets, OEM Group assumed the Company's warranty liability for recently sold legacy Etch and PVD systems.

At that time, the Company retained the DRIE products which it had acquired from AMMS, along with the Compact™ cluster platform and the NLD technology that it had developed over the past several years. However, the DRIE products and a small amount of associated spares and service revenue until recently represented the sole source of the Company's revenue. The DRIE markets were seriously impacted by the downturn in the semiconductor markets and as those markets recover the Company determined that it was not in a position to make the needed investments to improve its competitive position. In addition, it was not clear that even with additional investment and significant reductions in operating expenses DRIE sales alone would be enough to support the Company. As a result, the Company evaluated various other alternative strategies, including sale of its DRIE products, Compact™ platform and NLD technology, the transition to a new business model, a sale of all or substantially all of its assets, or the liquidation or dissolution of the Company, including through a bankruptcy proceeding. On February 9, 2011, the Company sold its DRIE and Compact™ related assets to SPTS, but retained its NLD technology, which is being held for sale to a third party.

At the present time we are engaged primarily in supporting the activities of Sequel Power through our direct efforts and through related operations and investments we may make in the future. In addition, we are actively evaluating opportunities for partnerships, mergers or acquisitions with other diversified technology-based companies in order to exploit our shared experience and to enhance our value as a public company.

We cannot assure you that we will be successful in pursuing any of these strategic alternatives. As we pursue various strategic alternatives and determine that some are more or less likely than others, the consequences of such determinations will be reflected in our financial statements as required by generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") or the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB").

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, bad debts, sales returns allowance, inventory, intangible and long lived assets, warranty obligations, restructure expenses, deferred taxes and freight charged to customers. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies are the most significant to the presentation of our consolidated financial statements:

## Revenue Recognition

Until February 9, 2011, each sale of our equipment was evaluated on an individual basis in regard to revenue recognition. We had integrated in our evaluation the related interpretative guidance included in Topic 13 of the codification of staff accounting bulletins, and recognized the role of the consensus on Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 00-21, *Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables* (“EITF Issue 00-21”) (Topic 605). We first referred to EITF Issue 00-21 (Topic 605) in order to determine if there is more than one unit of accounting and then we refer to Staff Accounting Bulletin (“SAB”) 104 (Topic 605) for revenue recognition topics for the unit of accounting. We recognized revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement existed, the seller’s price was fixed or determinable and collectability was reasonably assured.

For products produced according to our published specifications, where no installation was required or installation was deemed perfunctory and no substantive customer acceptance provisions existed, revenue was recognized when title passed to the customer, generally upon shipment. Installation was not deemed to be essential to the functionality of the equipment since installation did not involve significant changes to the features or capabilities of the equipment or building complex interfaces and connections. In addition, the equipment could be installed by the customer or other vendors and generally the cost of installation approximated only 1% of the sales value of the related equipment.

Prior to February 9, 2011, for products produced according to a particular customer’s specifications, revenue was recognized when the product had been tested and it had been demonstrated that it met the customer’s specifications and title passed to the customer. The amount of revenue recorded was reduced by the amount (generally 10%), which was not payable by the customer until installation was completed and final customer acceptance was achieved.

Prior to February 9, 2011, for new products, new applications of existing products, or for products with substantive customer acceptance provisions where performance cannot be fully assessed prior to meeting customer specifications at the customer site, 100% of revenue was recognized upon completion of installation and receipt of final customer acceptance. Since title to goods generally passed to the customer upon shipment and 90% of the contract amount became payable at that time, inventory was relieved and accounts receivable was recorded for the entire contract amount. The Company relieved the entire amount from inventory at the time of sale, and the related deferred revenue liability was recognized upon installation and customer acceptance. The revenue on these transactions was deferred and recorded as deferred revenue. We reserved for warranty costs at the time the related revenue was recognized.

The Company’s return policy applied to spare parts and components only. A right of return did not exist for systems. Customers were allowed to return spare parts if they were defective upon receipt. The potential returns were offset against gross revenue on a monthly basis. Management reviewed outstanding requests for returns on a quarterly basis to determine that the reserves are adequate.

After February 9, 2011, revenues related to rendering services to our unconsolidated affiliate are recognized at the end of each fiscal quarter, when services are completed and invoiced.

All revenue for fiscal 2011 has been reclassified to discontinued operations. Revenue for fiscal 2012 is related to services provided to Sequel Power, the Company’s unconsolidated affiliate.

## Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has adopted several stock plans that provide for issuance of equity instruments to our employees and non-employee directors. Our plans include incentive and non-statutory stock options and restricted stock awards. These equity awards generally vest ratably over a four-year period on the anniversary date of the grant, and stock options expire ten years after the grant date. Certain restricted stock awards may vest on the achievement of specific performance targets. The Company also has an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (“ESPP”) that allows qualified employees to purchase Tegal shares at 85% of the fair market value on specified dates. The stock-based compensation for our ESPP, stock options and restricted stock awards was determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share Based Payment* (“SFAS 123R”) (Topic 718). Valuation and Other Assumptions for Stock Options is provided on page 10.

## Accounts Receivable – Allowance for Sales Returns and Doubtful Accounts

The Company maintained an allowance for doubtful accounts receivable for estimated losses resulting from the inability of the Company’s customers to make required payments. If the financial condition of the Company’s customers were to deteriorate, or even a single customer was otherwise unable to make payments, additional allowances may be required. As of September 30, 2011, three customers accounted for 100% of the accounts receivable balance. As of September 30, 2010, three customers accounted for approximately 82% of the accounts receivable balance. With the sale of the DRIE etch product line and our exit from our historical core operations, we currently have no customers. Accounts receivable balances related to prior operations are captured in Discontinued Operations in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Accounts receivable balances related to ongoing operations are captured in Current Assets in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Currently the Company has a zero reserve for returns.

All revenue for the period ended September 30, 2011 is related to services provided to Sequel Power.

### **Inventories**

Until February 9, 2011, inventories were stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost was computed using standard cost, which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis and includes material, labor and manufacturing overhead costs. Any excess and obsolete provision is only released if and when the related inventory is sold or scrapped. During the six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company did not sell or scrap previously reserved inventory. The inventory provision balance at September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$0 and \$729, respectively. The inventory provision for the period ended September 30, 2010 was related to DRIE products, which were sold in the prior fiscal year.

Prior to the sale of the DRIE assets in the prior fiscal year, the Company periodically analyzed any systems that were in finished goods inventory to determine if they were suitable for current customer requirements. At that time, the Company's policy was that, if after approximately 18 months, it determines that a sale will not take place within the next twelve months and the system would be useable for customer demonstrations or training, it is transferred to fixed assets. Otherwise, it was expensed. Currently the Company's inventory consists of the NLD hardware, which is held for sale, and has a current book value of zero.

The carrying value of systems used for demonstrations or training was determined by assessing the cost of the components that were suitable for sale. Any parts that might have been rendered unsellable as a result of such use were removed from the system and were not included in finished goods inventory. The remaining saleable parts were valued at the lower of cost or market, representing the system's net realizable value. The depreciation period for systems that were transferred to fixed assets was determined based on the age of the system and its remaining useful life (typically five to eight years).

### **Impairment of Long-Lived Assets**

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable as well as at fiscal year end. If undiscounted expected future cash flows are less than the carrying value of the assets, an impairment loss is recognized based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets. No impairment charges were recorded for fixed assets for the six months ended September 30, 2011. No impairment charges were recorded for intangible assets since all intangible assets, other than those internally developed, were included in the sale of the DRIE assets to SPTS in the prior fiscal year.

### **Warranty Obligations**

Prior to the sale of the DRIE assets in the prior fiscal year, the Company provided for the estimated cost of our product warranties at the time revenue was recognized. Our warranty obligation was affected by product failure rates, material usage rates and the efficiency by which the product failure was corrected. The warranty reserve was based on historical cost data related to warranty. Should actual product failure rates, material usage rates and labor efficiencies differed from our estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability might have been required. Actual warranty expense was typically low in the period immediately following installation. As of fiscal 2011, the Company had no warranty liabilities as these liabilities were included in the consideration for the DRIE and associated asset sale to SPTS on February 9, 2011.

### **Pension Obligations**

Going into fiscal year 2011, the Company began the process of closing and/or liquidating all of our wholly-owned subsidiary companies, not already sold, including Tegal Germany. The subsidiaries are now included in discontinued operations. The Company has recognized an ongoing liability for pensions related to the Tegal Germany subsidiary. However, in fiscal year 2011, the Company recognized an additional liability for the independent third-party administration of the pension program once this subsidiary is closed. The total pension liability for the period ended September 30, 2011 was \$662. The pension liability decreased by \$38 from the prior period as a result of foreign currency changes. The pension liability was settled on October 6, 2011. The settlement of the pension obligation will be classified as a reduction of liabilities of discontinued operations. Any related foreign exchange gain or loss will be classified as a gain or loss on the sale of discontinued operations in the third quarter of the current fiscal year.

**Deferred Taxes**

We record a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. Based on the uncertainty of future taxable income, we have fully reserved our deferred tax assets. In the event we were to determine that we would be able to realize our deferred tax assets in the future, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would increase income in the period such determination was made.

**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth certain financial items for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>		<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Revenue - related party	\$ 19	\$ --	\$ 38	\$ -
Cost of revenue	--	--	--	-
Gross profit	<u>19</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>-</u>
Operating expenses:				
General and administrative expenses	568	511	1,441	1,187
Total operating expenses	<u>568</u>	<u>511</u>	<u>1,441</u>	<u>1,187</u>
Operating loss	(549)	(511)	(1,403)	(1,187)
Equity in (earnings) loss of unconsolidated affiliate	170	--	320	--
Other income, net	(2)	(141)	(14)	(341)
Loss before income tax benefit	(717)	(370)	(1,709)	(846)
Income tax expense (benefit) expense	--	5	--	7
Net loss from continuing operations	(717)	(375)	(1,709)	(853)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	241	509	239	(1,481)
Net loss	<u>\$ (476)</u>	<u>\$ 134</u>	<u>\$ (1,470)</u>	<u>\$ (2,334)</u>
Net loss per share from continuing operations:				
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.42)	\$ (0.22)	\$ (1.01)	\$ (0.51)
Net income (loss) per share from discontinued operations and asset disposition:				
Basic and diluted	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.14	\$ (0.88)
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.28)	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.87)	\$ (1.39)
Weighted average shares used in per share computation:				
Basic	1,689	1,688	1,688	1,683
Diluted	1,689	1,692	1,688	1,683

## **Revenue**

Prior to February 9, 2011, our revenue was derived from sales of new and refurbished systems, spare parts and non-warranty service. Comparing revenue for the prior period before reclassification into discontinued operations, revenue of \$19 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 decreased by \$3,164 from revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The revenue decrease was due to our exit from our core historical operations, when the Company sold its DRIE assets to SPTS in the fourth quarter of the prior fiscal year. Revenue of \$38 for the six months ended September 30, 2011 decreased from revenue for the six months ended September 30, 2010 of \$3,502. The revenue decrease for the six months ended September 30, 2011 of (\$3,464) was due to our exit from our core historical operations, when the Company sold its DRIE assets to SPTS in the fourth quarter of the prior fiscal year.

Prior to February 9, 2011, all revenue was generated from the DRIE business and a small amount of associated spares and service. At the present time, our sole potential source of revenue is from the project activities of Sequel Power. In fiscal 2012, Sequel Power generated \$38 in service revenues for the Company. For fiscal year 2011, DRIE sales represented 100% of our total revenues.

As a percentage of total revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2011, international sales were 0%. As a percentage of total revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2010, international sales were approximately 96%. As a percentage of total revenue for the six months ended September 30, 2011, international sales were 0%. As a percentage of total revenue for the six months ended September 30, 2010, international sales were approximately 89%. The decrease in international sales in both period comparisons as a percentage of revenue can be attributed to the sale of our legacy Etch and PVD assets to OEM Group and the sale of our DRIE assets to SPTS.

We expect that international sales will once again account for a significant portion of any future revenue, since Sequel Power's development projects are located in several countries outside the United States.

All DRIE related revenues and product costs are captured in Discontinued Operations in our income statement.

## **Gross Profit**

Comparing gross profit for the prior period before reclassification into discontinued operations, gross profit of \$19 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 decreased from our gross income of \$1,231 for the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Our gross margin for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was 100% compared to 39% for the same period last year.

Prior to February 9, 2011, our gross margin as a percentage of revenue was affected by a variety of factors, including the mix and average selling prices of systems sold and the costs to manufacture, service and support new product introductions and enhancements.

At the present time we are engaged primarily in supporting the activities of Sequel Power through our direct efforts and through related operations and investments we may make in the future. In addition, we are actively evaluating opportunities for partnerships, mergers or acquisitions with other diversified technology-based companies in order to exploit our shared experience and to enhance our value as a public company.

## **Research and Development**

Prior to the sale of the DRIE related assets, research and development ("R&D") expenses consisted primarily of salaries, prototype material and other costs associated with our ongoing systems and process technology development, applications and field process support efforts for our DRIE product line. The spending decrease of \$490 and \$1,460 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010, resulted from the exit from our core historical operations. As a result of the sale of the Company's DRIE related assets, and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the DRIE business operation, including related R&D expenses, have been reclassified to discontinued operations. At the time of the sale, all the Company's R&D expenses were related to the DRIE operations. Currently the Company's R&D expenses are related to the NLD product line, the assets of which are held for sale to third parties.

## **Sales and Marketing**

Prior to the sale of the DRIE related assets, sales and marketing expenses consisted primarily of salaries, commissions, trade show promotion and travel and living expenses associated with those functions. The decrease in sales and marketing spending of \$150 and \$308 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the same period in 2010, was due to the exit from our core historical operations. As a result of the sale of the Company's DRIE related assets in fiscal year 2011, and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the DRIE business operation, including related sales and marketing expenses, have been reclassified to discontinued operations. At the time of the sale, all the Company's sales and marketing expenses were related to the DRIE operations.

**General and Administrative**

General and administrative expenses consist of salaries, legal, accounting and related administrative services and expenses associated with general management, finance, information systems, human resources and investor relations activities. The increase of continuing general and administrative expenses of \$57 for the three month period ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 was due primarily to higher accounting fees and travel expenses, offset by decreases in legal and stock related compensation expenses. The increase of \$254 for the six months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the six months ended September 30, 2010 was due primarily to employee bonuses for key employees in the first quarter of the current fiscal year, offset by decreases in legal and stock related compensation expenses. As a result of the sale of the Company's DRIE related assets, and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the DRIE business operation, including related general and administrative ("G&A") expenses, have been reclassified to discontinued operations. At the time of the sale, approximately 45% of the Company's G&A expenses were related to the DRIE operations.

**Equity in (earnings) loss of unconsolidated affiliate**

The Company recorded a net \$127 loss in earnings of the unconsolidated affiliate and \$43 of amortization expenses related to the difference between the net book value of Sequel's assets and the cost of the investment for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The Company recorded a net \$234 loss in earnings of the unconsolidated affiliate and \$86 of amortization expenses related to the difference between the net book value of Sequel's assets and the cost of the investment for the six months ended September 30, 2011. The Company did not have an investment in an unconsolidated affiliate during the three and six months ended September 30, 2010.

**Other Income (expense), net**

Other income (expense), net consists of the change in fair value of the common stock warrant liability.

**Discontinued Operations**

Discontinued operations consists of interest income, other income, reimbursements for expenses from the French government for research and development, gains and losses on the disposal of fixed assets of discontinued operations, gains and losses on foreign exchange and interest income on money market accounts, as well as the reclassification of net expenses associated with our exit from our historical core operations. For the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010, discontinued operations, net increased by \$268. In the period just ended, discontinued operations included the receipt of OEM's installment payment, offset by R&D expense and foreign exchange loss, the recognition of deferred revenue offset by the related commission expense. In the prior period, discontinued operations included gains and losses on foreign exchange as well as the reclassification of net expenses associated with our historical core operations.

**Contractual Obligation**

The following summarizes our contractual obligations at September 30, 2011, and the effect such obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flows in future periods (in thousands).

Contractual obligations:	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	After 5 Years
Non-cancelable operating lease obligations	\$ 72	\$ 67	\$ 5	\$ -	\$ -
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 72	\$ 67	\$ 5	\$ -	\$ -

We maintain our headquarters, encompassing our executive office and storage areas in Petaluma, California. We have a primary lease for office space, consisting of 2,187 square feet, which expires in August of 2012. We rent storage/workspace areas on a monthly basis. Previously we had a primary lease which encompassed our executive office, manufacturing, engineering and research and development operations, in one leased 39,717 square foot facility in Petaluma, California. Our primary lease expired in September 2010, and we did not extend it further. We own all of the equipment used in our facilities. Such equipment consists primarily of computer related assets.

We had office space in a leased 13,300 square foot facility in San Jose, California. As of February 2010, we no longer leased or rented space in this facility.

We leased sales, service and process support space in Maisach, Germany until January 2010. Thereafter, we rented space on a monthly basis, but discontinued use of this space at the end of March 2010.

We also had a lease for research and development space in a facility in Annecy, France until it was taken over by SPTS as part of the asset sale of Tegal's DRIE etch business.

Certain of our past sales contracts included provisions under which customers would be indemnified by us in the event of, among other things, a third party claim against the customer for intellectual property rights infringement related to our products. There were no limitations on the maximum potential future payments under these guarantees. We have accrued no amounts in relation to these provisions as no such claims have been made, and we believe we have valid, enforceable rights to the intellectual property embedded in its products.

### ***Liquidity and Capital Resources***

For the six months ended September 30, 2011, we financed our operations from existing cash on hand. In fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, we financed our operations through the use of existing cash balances. The primary significant changes in our cash flow statement for the six months ended September 30, 2011 were due to the net loss of (\$1,470), the decreases in the net value of current assets and liabilities of discontinued operations and other assets related to our Sequel Power investment, offset by the decrease in accounts payable. Net cash used in operating activities during the six months ended September 30, 2010 was (\$3,055), due primarily to the net loss of (\$2,334) and the decrease in accounts payable offset by accounts receivable.

The consolidated financial statements contemplate the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future. We incurred net losses of (\$1,470) and (\$2,334) for the six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We used cash flows from operations of (\$1,644) and (\$3,055) for the six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Although we believe that our existing cash balances will be adequate to fund operations through fiscal year 2012, we cannot assure you that we will be successful in pursuing any of the strategic alternatives indicated in Note 1 - Basis of Presentation on page 7. We intend to engage in supporting the activities of Sequel Power through our direct efforts and through related operations and investments that we may make in the future, should favorable circumstances promote that investment. In addition, Tegal is actively evaluating opportunities for partnerships with other diversified technology-based companies in order to exploit our shared experience and to enhance our value as a public company. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in pursuing any of these strategic alternatives. It is not possible to predict when our business and results of operations will improve. In consideration of these circumstances, the Company may be forced to consider a merger with or into another company or the liquidation or dissolution of the company, including through a bankruptcy proceeding. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in pursuing any of these strategic alternatives. If we were to liquidate or dissolve the company through or outside of a bankruptcy proceeding, you could lose all of your investment in Tegal common stock.

### ***Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk***

#### ***Foreign Currency Exchange Risk***

At September 30, 2011 and 2010, all but 3% of the Company's investments were classified as cash equivalents in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The balance of the Company's investments is classified as restricted cash. The investment portfolio at September 30, 2011 and 2010 was comprised of money market funds. With the sale of the DRIE related assets and the closure of the Tegal France subsidiary, our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations has been mostly eliminated. Prior to the sale of the Company's operating assets, our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations was primarily related to purchases in Europe and Japan, which were denominated in the Euro and Yen. Foreign currency transaction gains and (losses) included in other income (expense), net were \$29 and \$56 for the six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Changes in the exchange rate between the Euro and the U.S. dollar could adversely affect our operating results. Exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk may increase over time as our business evolves and our products continue to be sold into international markets. Periodically, the Company enters into foreign exchange contracts to sell Euros, which are used to hedge a sales transaction in which costs are denominated in U.S. dollars and the related revenue is generated in Euros. As of September 30, 2011, there were no outstanding foreign exchange contracts.

## **Interest Rate Risk**

We are only marginally exposed to interest rate risk through interest earned on money market accounts. Interest rates that may affect these items in the future will depend on market conditions and may differ from the rates we have experienced in the past. We do not hold or issue derivatives, commodity instruments or other financial instruments for trading purposes.

## **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

### ***Disclosure Controls and Internal Controls for Financial Reporting***

Disclosure controls are procedures that are designed with the objective of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act such as this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls are also designed with the objective of ensuring that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Internal controls for financial reporting are procedures which are designed with the objective of providing reasonable assurance that our transactions are properly authorized, our assets are safeguarded against unauthorized or improper use and our transactions are properly recorded and reported, all to permit the preparation of our financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP.

### ***Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

As of the period covered by this quarterly report, management performed, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the report we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Based on the evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of September 30, 2011, such disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

### ***Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting***

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2011 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## **PART II — OTHER INFORMATION**

### **Item 1A. Risk Factors**

*We wish to caution you that there are risks and uncertainties that could affect our business. A description of the risk factors associated with our business that you should consider when evaluating our business is included under "Risk Factors" contained in Item 1A. of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2011. In addition to those factors and to other information in this Form 10-Q, the following updates to the risk factors should be considered carefully when evaluating Tegal or our business.*

#### **Tegal Risk Factors**

**We have incurred operating losses and may not be profitable in the future. Our plans to maintain and increase liquidity may not be successful.**

We had net income (loss) of (\$3,130), (\$18,469) and (\$7,902), for the years ended March 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. We used cash flows from operations of (\$74), (\$4,887), and (\$5,541), in these respective years. For the six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, we had a net losses of (\$1,470) and (\$2,334) respectively. Although we believe that our existing cash balances will be adequate to fund operations through fiscal year 2012, we cannot assure you that we will be successful in pursuing any of the strategic alternatives described in this report. We intend to engage in supporting the activities of Sequel Power through our direct efforts and through related operations and investments that we may make in the future, should favorable circumstances promote that investment. In addition, Tegal is actively evaluating opportunities for partnerships with other diversified technology-based companies in order to exploit our shared experience and to enhance our value as a public company.

If our efforts do not succeed, we may need to raise additional capital which may include capital raises through the issuance of debt or equity securities. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of preferred stock or debt, these securities could have rights, privileges or preferences senior to those of our common stock, and debt covenants could impose restrictions on our operations. Moreover, such financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all. Failure to raise any needed funds would materially adversely affect us. In consideration of these circumstances, the Company may be forced to consider a merger with or into another company or the liquidation or dissolution of the company, including through a bankruptcy proceeding.

We cannot assure you that we will be successful in pursuing any of these strategic alternatives. It is not possible to predict when our business and results of operations will improve, if ever. If we were to liquidate or dissolve the company through or outside of a bankruptcy proceeding, you could lose all of your investment in Tegal common stock. Please also see the risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended March 31, 2011.

#### ***Sequel Power Risk Factors***

***If PV technology is not suitable for widespread adoption at economically attractive rates of return, or if sufficient demand for solar modules does not develop or takes longer to develop than we anticipate, Sequel Power may not become a viable entity and may never be able to sustain profitability.***

The solar energy market is at a relatively early stage of development, and the extent to which solar modules will be widely adopted is uncertain. If PV technology proves unsuitable for widespread adoption at economically attractive rates of return or if demand for solar modules fails to develop sufficiently or takes longer to develop than we anticipate, Sequel Power may be unable to grow its business or generate sufficient net sales to sustain profitability. In addition, demand for solar modules in our targeted markets — including the United States, Latin America and the Middle East — may develop to a lesser extent than we anticipate. Many factors may affect the viability of widespread adoption of PV technology and demand for solar modules, including the following:

- cost-effectiveness of the electricity generated by PV power systems compared to conventional energy sources and products, including conventional energy sources, such as natural gas and coal, and other non-solar renewable energy sources, such as wind;
- availability, substance, and magnitude of government subsidies, incentives, and renewable portfolio standards to accelerate the development of the solar energy industry;
- performance and reliability of PV systems and thin-film technology compared to conventional and other non-solar renewable energy sources and products;
- success of other renewable energy generation technologies, such as hydroelectric, tidal, wind, geothermal, solar thermal, concentrated PV, and biomass;
- fluctuations in economic and market conditions that affect the price of, and demand for, conventional and non-solar renewable energy sources, such as increases or decreases in the price of natural gas, coal, oil, and other fossil fuels; and
- fluctuations in capital expenditures by end-users of solar modules, which tend to decrease when the economy slows and when interest rates increase.

***We face intense competition from other companies producing solar energy and other renewable energy products.***

The solar energy market is intensely competitive and rapidly evolving. The number of solar energy product manufacturers is rapidly increasing due to the growth of actual and forecast demand for solar energy products and the relatively low barriers to entry. If we fail to attract and retain customers in our target markets for our current and future development projects, we will be unable to increase our revenue and market share. Some of our competitors have established more prominent market positions, and if we fail to attract and retain customers and establish successful development projects in our target markets, we will be unable to increase our sales.

We may also face competition from new entrants to the solar energy market, including those that offer more advanced technological solutions or that have greater financial resources. Solar development projects are often funded by solar cell or module manufacturers who have significantly greater financial resources and have the ability to finance pre-development, development and project activities, and are inherently more competitive than we are. Furthermore, the entire solar energy industry also faces competition from conventional energy (for example a decline in base grid electricity prices), and non-solar renewable energy providers. Due to the relatively high manufacturing costs compared to most other energy sources, solar energy is generally not competitive without government incentive programs.

Many of our existing and potential competitors have substantially greater financial, technical, manufacturing and other resources than we do. Our competitors' greater size in some cases provides them with a competitive advantage with respect to manufacturing because of their economies of scale and their ability to purchase raw materials at lower prices. As a result, those competitors may have stronger bargaining power with their suppliers and may have an advantage over us in negotiating favorable pricing, as well as securing supplies in times of shortages. Many of our competitors also have greater brand name recognition, more established distribution networks, balance of system capabilities, and larger customer bases. In addition, many of our competitors have well-established relationships with our current and potential developers and have extensive knowledge of our target markets. As a result, they may be able to devote more resources to the research, development, promotion and sale of their products or respond more quickly to evolving industry standards and changes in market conditions than we can. Our failure to adapt to changing market conditions and to compete successfully with existing or new competitors may materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

***Developing solar power projects may require significant upfront investment prior to the signing of a power purchase agreement or an EPC contract, which could adversely affect our business and results of operations.***

Solar power project development cycles, which span the time between the identification of land and the commercial operation of a PV power plant project, vary substantially and can take many months or years to mature. As a result of these long project cycles, we may need to make significant upfront investments of resources (including, for example, large transmission deposits or other payments, which may be non-refundable) in advance of the signing of PPAs and EPC contracts and the receipt of any revenue, much of which is not recognized for several additional months or years following contract signing. Our potential inability to enter into sales contracts with potential customers after making such upfront investments could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Our liquidity may be adversely affected to the extent the project sale market weakens and we are unable to sell our solar projects on pricing, terms and timing commercially acceptable to us.

***We are exposed to risks associated with the ongoing financial crisis and weakening global economy.***

The recent severe tightening of the credit markets, turmoil in the financial markets and weakening global economy are contributing to slowdowns in the industries in which we operate, which slowdowns are expected to worsen if these economic conditions are prolonged or deteriorate further. The markets for international photovoltaic projects depend largely on government spending. Economic uncertainty and government debt levels exacerbates negative trends in credit availability for these projects and may cause our customers to push out, cancel or refrain from placing project orders, which may reduce our revenue. Difficulties in obtaining capital and deteriorating market conditions may also lead to the inability of some customers to obtain affordable financing. These conditions may also similarly affect key suppliers, which could affect their ability to deliver parts and result in delays for our products. Further, these conditions and the uncertainty about future economic conditions make it challenging for us to forecast operating results, make business decisions and identify the risks that may affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. If we are not able to timely and appropriately adapt to changes resulting from the difficult economic environment, our business, financial condition or results of operations will be materially and adversely affected.

#### **Item 6. Exhibits**

##### **Exhibit**

##### **Number      Description**

[31.1](#) Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

[31.2](#) Certifications of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

[32](#) Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101.INS Instance Document

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TEGAL CORPORATION  
(Registrant)

/s/ CHRISTINE T. HERGENROTHER

Christine T. Hergenrother

*Chief Financial Officer*

Date: November 10, 2011

**CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Thomas R. Mika, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Tegal Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

Date: November 10, 2011

/s/ Thomas R. Mika  
\_\_\_\_\_  
President and Chief Executive Officer

---

**CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER  
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Christine Hergenrother, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Tegal Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

Date: November 10, 2011

/s/ Christine T. Hergenrother  
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

---

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002  
(18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350)**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Tegal Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report"), I, Thomas R. Mika, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to Sec. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350), that to my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

/s/ Thomas R. Mika  
Chief Executive Officer and President  
November 10, 2011

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002  
(18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350)**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Tegal Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report"), I, Christine Hergenrother, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to Sec. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350), that to my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

/s/ Christine Hergenrother  
Chief Financial Officer  
November 10, 2011

---